



THE GOVERNOR'S

Economic
and
Demographic
Report
2009-2010

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mark Parkinson".

MARK PARKINSON, GOVERNOR
STATE OF KANSAS

The Governor's
Economic
and
Demographic
Report

2009-2010

Kansas Division of the Budget

January 2010

Acknowledgements

The Governor's Economic and Demographic Report 2009-2010 was prepared under the general direction of Duane A. Goossen, Director of the Budget.

This report was written by Dr. John Wong, Professor of Public Administration, Hugo Wall School of Urban and Public Affairs, Wichita State University and Dr. Rebecca Krahl, former Senior Budget Analyst, Division of the Budget.

Publication coordination and editing were provided by Elaine Frisbie, Deputy Director; Konnie Leffler, Senior Budget Analyst; and Shelly Dechand, Accountant.

Readers of *The Governor's Economic and Demographic Report* can access this information on the Kansas Division of the Budget's website at <http://budget.ks.gov>.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1

The U.S. Economy

2009 U.S. Economic Review	1
2010 U.S. Economic Outlook	6

Chapter 2

Kansas Employment & Income

Overview	12
Kansas Employment Review	14
Comparative Employment in the Plains Region	23
Kansas Personal Income Review	26
Kansas Personal Income Estimates	27
Comparative Personal Income	28

Chapter 3

Local & Regional Employment & Income

Major Labor Market Employment	30
Kansas & Adjacent States Metro Areas' Employment Trends	40
Regional Labor Market Employment	42
County Personal Income	42
The Four Urban Counties	45

Chapter 4

Kansas Demographics

Overview	49
U.S. Census Bureau's Methodology of Estimating State Populations	50
The Decennial Census	51
Poverty	51
School District Populations	52
Health Insurance Coverage	53
Demographics	54
Kansas Population Projections	56

Appendices

A Kansas Personal Income, 2007-2008	59
B State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2006-2008	62
C State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2006-2008	64
D Kansas County Personal Income, 2007	66
E Kansas County Personal Income, 2008	69
F Kansas Certified Population, Certified to the Secretary of State on July 1, 2008	72
G Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2004-2008	121
H Poverty Thresholds in 2008, by Size of Family & Number of Related Children under 18 Years	126
I Kansas School District Populations, 2006	127
J Health Insurance Coverage Status for the U.S. & Kansas, 1990-2008	134
K Kansas Resident Population, 2001 through 2008	135
L Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010-2021	139

Table of Tables

1-1	Major U.S. Economic Trends, 2009 & 2010	2
1-2	U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2008-2009	4
1-3	U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2008-2009	5
1-4	U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2008-2009	6
1-5	U.S. Consumer Prices Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2008-2009	6
1-6	U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2009-2010	8
1-7	U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2009-2010	9
1-8	U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2009-2010	10
1-9	U.S. Consumer Prices Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2009-2010	11
2-1	Major Kansas Economic Trends	12
2-2	Kansas Employment, by Place of Residence, October 2008-October 2009	14
2-3	Kansas Employment, by Place of Work, October 2008-October 2009	15
2-4	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, 2007-2008	24
2-5	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, October 2008-October 2009	24
2-6	Kansas Personal Income, 2008 Actual, 2009 Estimate, & 2010 Forecast	27
2-7	Per Capita Personal Income, 1997-2008	29
3-1	Wichita Metropolitan Area Employment	31
3-2	Topeka Metropolitan Area Employment	35
3-3	Lawrence Metropolitan Area Employment	36
3-4	Kansas City, KS Metropolitan Area Employment	37
3-5	Metropolitan Area Employment Growth Rates, October 2008-October 2009	41
3-6	County Employment, June 2008-June 2009	43

Table of Figures

1-1	U.S. Civilian Labor Force Growth.....	3
1-2	U.S. Employment Growth.....	3
1-3	U.S. Unemployment Rate	3
1-4	Consumer Price Index (CPI-U).....	4
1-5	U.S. Gross Domestic Product Growth	4
1-6	U.S. Real GDP Growth.....	4
1-7	U.S. Personal Income Growth	6
1-8	U.S. Economic Indicators	7
2-1	Kansas Civilian Labor Force Growth	14
2-2	Kansas Employment Growth by Place of Residence.....	15
2-3	Kansas Unemployment Rate.....	15
2-4	Employment by Place of Work.....	15
2-5	Natural Resources Employment	16
2-6	Construction Employment	17
2-7	Manufacturing Employment	17
2-8	Durable Goods Employment	17
2-9	Transportation Equipment Employment.....	17
2-10	Nondurable Goods Employment.....	18
2-11	Food Manufacturing Employment.....	18
2-12	Trade, Transportation, & Utilities Employment	19
2-13	Utilities Employment.....	19
2-14	Transportation & Warehousing Employment.....	19
2-15	Wholesale Trade Employment.....	20
2-16	Retail Trade Employment	20
2-17	Information Employment.....	20
2-18	Financial Activities Employment	21
2-19	Professional & Business Services Employment	21
2-20	Education & Health Services Employment	22
2-21	Leisure & Hospitality Employment.....	22
2-22	Other Services Employment	23
2-23	Government Employment.....	23
2-24	Farm Employment	23
3-1	Percent Change in County Personal Income, 2007-2008	47
3-2	Per Capita Personal Income, 2008	47
3-3	Total Personal Income, by Region, 2007 & 2008.....	48
3-4	Percent Change in Total Income 2007 & 2008 & 2008 per Capita Income, by Region.....	48

2009 U.S. Economic Review

Catastrophic changes in global credit markets at the end of 2008 had a devastating effect on the U.S. economy, precipitating massive reductions in lending and a fundamental reassessment of investment risk and asset valuations. Confidence in global financial systems and institutions plummeted, locking down capital markets, leading to unprecedented global governmental interventions in an attempt to forestall what could be the most severe economic contraction since the Great Depression. These conditions resulted in the loss of nearly \$11 trillion in U.S. household net worth compared to 2007, with equity markets dropping by some \$7 trillion and declines of about \$4 trillion in the value of the nation's housing stock.

On November 28, 2008 the Business Cycle Dating Committee of the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) officially declared that a peak in economic activity occurred in the U.S. economy in December 2007. The peak marks the end of the expansion that began in November 2001 and the beginning of a recession. The expansion lasted 73 months; the previous expansion of the 1990s lasted 120 months.

A recession is a significant decline in economic activity spread across the economy, lasting more than a few months, normally visible in production, employment, real income, and other indicators. A recession begins when the economy reaches a peak of activity and ends when the economy reaches its trough. Between trough and peak, the economy is in an expansion.

Traditionally, recessions are defined as two or more consecutive quarters of economic contraction, which has now happened. However, because a recession is a broad contraction of the economy, not confined to one sector, the NBER emphasizes economy-wide measures of economic activity. The Business Cycle Dating Committee believes that domestic production and employment are the primary measures of economic

activity. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) the economy has lost 7.2 million jobs since the recession began in December of 2007. In October the U.S. unemployment rate reached its highest level in 26 years at 10.2 percent. Using a broader measure of unemployment that includes workers who have given up looking for work and underemployed workers, the unemployment rate would exceed 17.0 percent. The duration of unemployment has also risen to record levels and the number of hours worked has dropped to record levels.

The average length of the 11 post-World War II recessions has been about ten months. The 1973-75 recession followed oil price shocks and failed attempts at government price controls. The recession of 1981-82 was largely caused by high interest rates implemented to contain runaway inflation and a financial crisis caused by a real estate crash. These were the two worst recessions in the postwar period with each lasting 16 months. Another financial and real estate crisis led to the recession of 1990-91. The more recent 2001 recession was largely caused by the collapse of overvalued technology stocks and lasted eight months. The present recession was largely caused by the over inflated growth in home prices and unsustainable rates of new home construction. Under normal circumstances a price correction would occur and the economy would quickly return to growth.

Initially, most of the uncertainty resulted from the fears of lenders to extend credit to banks. Subsequently, the focus of the uncertainty began to shift from the credit markets to the anticipated magnitude of the global recession. As such, the extraordinary monetary and fiscal measures taken by the U.S. and other governments around the world at the end of 2008 were designed to inject financial markets with liquidity, sustain key institutions and companies, and stimulate demand through massive public spending programs. During 2009 these measures did begin to stabilize markets, restore confidence, and provide a clear path to recovery. Low interest rates and infused liquidity have kept mortgage and business borrowing costs low, prevented the

financial panic from spreading and allowed financial intermediation to resume.

By year's end the U.S. economy, bolstered by a significant infusion of federal monetary and fiscal stimuli, appears to have begun a delicate and spotty recovery from the most severe economic downturn since the Great Depression. Although the stock market is up approximately 60 percent since its low in March, it remains about 30 percent below its pre-recession peak. Corporate profits are strengthening, but extended mass layoffs reached an all-time record high in the third quarter of this year.

Although investment in new housing is beginning to show growth, it is starting from levels that are 80 percent below prior peaks, combined with significant excess productive capacity and large inventories and massive foreclosures. In addition, according to Federal Reserve Chairman Ben S. Bernanke, demand for commercial property has dropped as the economy has weakened, leading to significant declines in property values, increased vacancy rates, and falling rents. These poor fundamentals have caused a sharp deterioration in the credit quality of commercial real estate loans and of the loans that back commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS).

Even though interest rates are at historically low rates, commercial real estate financing is in crisis and credit remains unavailable to critical sectors of the economy. Access to credit remains strained for borrowers who are particularly dependent on banks, such as households and small businesses. According to Fed Chairman Bernanke, bank lending has contracted sharply in 2009, and the Federal Reserve's Senior Loan Officers Opinion Survey shows that banks continued to tighten the terms on which they extend credit for most kinds of loans, although recently the tightening has subsided somewhat. Partly as a result of these pressures, household debt has declined for the first time since 1951. Many small businesses have seen their bank credit lines reduced or eliminated, or they have been able to obtain credit only on significantly more restrictive terms. The fraction of small businesses reporting difficulty in obtaining credit is near a record high, and many of these businesses expect credit conditions to tighten further. Difficulties in obtaining credit could hinder the expansion of smaller businesses and dampen business starts. Since small businesses account for a significant

portion of net employment gains during recoveries, tight credit markets could hinder job growth. Thus, employment gains may be modest during the early stages of the expansion.

According to Bernanke, several factors help explain the reluctance of banks to lend, despite general improvement in financial conditions and increases in bank stock prices and earnings. First, bank funding markets were badly impaired for a time, and some banks have accordingly decided (or have been urged by regulators) to hold larger buffers of liquid assets than before. Second, with loan losses still high and difficult to predict in the current environment, and with further uncertainty on how regulatory capital standards may change, banks are being especially conservative in taking on more risk. Third, many securitization markets remain impaired, reducing an important source of funding for bank loans.

Unfortunately, reduced bank lending may well slow the recovery by damping consumer spending, especially on durable goods, and by restricting the ability of some firms to finance their operations.

As shown in Table 1-1, which presents major U.S. economic trends for 2009 and the forecast for 2010, real GDP growth is expected to recover from a 2.4 percent decrease in 2009 to a 2.2 percent increase in 2010, while personal income growth is expected to improve from a 2.4 percent decrease to a 0.2 percent

Table 1 - 1

Major U.S. Economic Trends 2009 & 2010		
	2009	2010
GDP Growth (\$ Constant)	-2.4 %	2.2 %
Personal Income Growth (\$ Current)	-2.4	0.2
Consumer Price Index Increase (CPI-U)	-0.4	1.7
Unemployment Rate (Monthly Average)	9.3	10.6

increase. With the exception of energy and fuel costs, the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) has shown stable yearly increases for the last several years. The inflation rate is expected to resume from a 0.4 percent decrease in 2010 to a 1.7 percent increase in 2009. The only rather large increase occurred in 2008 when it jumped by 3.9 percent. This was the highest rate of increase since 1992 when the CPI-U increased by 4.2 percent. However, the national unemployment rate is expected to increase slightly from 9.3 percent in 2009 to 10.6 percent in 2010.


Employment data are important when analyzing the economy. The initial datum derived is the civilian labor force, which is based on a sample survey of households. Once the civilian labor force is determined, then employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rate are derived. The civilian labor force includes those who are at least 16 years old and either employed or looking for employment. Military personnel, retirees, children, and those not actively seeking work are not included. In 2009, the labor force was unchanged from 2008, compared to a 0.8 percent growth rate in 2008. This is the slowest growth rate since 2004, when the labor force increased only 0.6 percent. Figure 1-1 shows the U.S. civilian labor force growth rate from 1970 through 2009.

Figure 1 - 1




The level of employment is the number of individuals in the civilian labor force who are employed. In 2009, employment in the U.S. decreased by 3.8 percent. This compares to a 0.41 percent decrease in 2008 and a 1.1 percent increase in 2007. This would be the largest annual employment loss since employment statistics began to be reported in 1939. Figure 1-2 presents the U.S. employment growth rate from 1970 through 2009.

Figure 1 - 2



The unemployment rate is expressed as a percentage of the number of people unemployed to the total number of people in the labor force. In 2009, the unemployment rate stood at 9.3 percent, up significantly from the 5.8 percent rate in 2008 and 4.6 percent in 2006 and 2007. This is the highest unemployment rate since 1983 when the unemployment rate was 9.6 percent. In 1992, the unemployment rate was 7.5 percent. The unemployment rate in the U.S. steadily declined every year to 4.0 percent in 2000. Then in 2001, the unemployment rate increased to 4.7 percent. Subsequently, the unemployment rate increased to 5.8 percent in 2002. In 2003, the unemployment increased further to 6.0 percent, but it then dropped back to 5.1 percent in 2005. Figure 1-3 shows the U.S. unemployment rate from 1970 through 2009.


Figure 1 - 3



Inflation is another important economic indicator. In general, inflation is the increase in the price of a given “market basket” of goods. Inflation can be measured in several ways; however, the most commonly used measurement is the Consumer Price Index. This index was first constructed during World War I as a basis for adjusting shipbuilders’ wages, which were under government control during the war. In this report, the CPI-U is used because it is reflective of the typical urban consumer’s purchases and is the most commonly used measurement.

In 2009, the rate of inflation, as measured by the CPI-U, decreased by 0.4 percent compared to a 3.9 percent increase in 2008. This was the first decrease in the CPI-U since 1955, when the index also decreased 0.4 percent. Figure 1-4, on the following page, shows the CPI-U from 1970 through 2009.


Figure 1 - 4



Gross Domestic Product

Gross domestic product, or GDP, is the value of final goods and services produced within the country during a given year. GDP is measured both in real terms and in nominal terms. Nominal GDP is the dollar value of the final goods and services, while real GDP is the value of the final goods and services as adjusted for price changes (inflation) that occurred over the course of that year. U.S. nominal GDP decreased at a 1.1 percent rate in 2009 compared to a 2.6 percent increase in 2008 and a 5.1 percent rate in 2007. This is the first decrease in nominal GDP growth since 1949 when nominal GDP decreased 0.7 percent. Figure 1-5 presents U.S. gross domestic product growth from 1979 through 2009.


Figure 1 - 5



Real GDP decreased by 2.4 percent in 2009, compared to a 0.4 percent increase in 2008 and a 2.1 percent increase in 2007. This is the first decrease in real GDP

since 1991 when real GDP decreased 0.2 percent. Figure 1-6 presents the annualized quarterly growth rate for the U.S. real GDP from the first quarter of 2000 through the fourth quarter of 2009.

Figure 1 - 6



There are five major categories of GDP: consumption, investment, exports, imports (exports and imports constitute international trade), and government spending. Real GDP for 2009 is shown in Table 1-2.

Table 1 - 2

	U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2008-2009 (Dollars in Billions)		
	Real 2009*	Percent Change	
		2007-2008	2008-2009
Gross Domestic Product	12,989.0	0.4 %	(2.4) %
Consumption	9,233.1	(0.2)	(0.6)
Durables	1,099.0	(4.5)	(4.1)
Nondurables	2,035.4	(0.8)	(1.1)
Services	6,090.1	0.7	0.1
Investment	1,644.4	(7.3)	(17.3)
Fixed	1,649.3	(5.1)	(18.3)
Nonresidential	1,290.5	1.6	(17.8)
Structures	396.0	10.3	(18.6)
Equipment	882.7	(2.6)	(17.4)
Residential	360.4	(22.9)	(20.1)
Inventory Change	(4.9)	(232.8)	81.2
Net Exports	(350.3)	23.7	29.1
Exports	(354.9)	5.4	(121.8)
Imports	(4.6)	(3.2)	(100.2)
Government	2,572.7	3.1	2.2
Federal	1,028.0	7.7	5.3
Defense	697.6	7.8	5.8
Nondefense	330.4	7.3	4.4
State and Local	1,544.7	0.5	0.1

*Estimated

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis and Moody's Economy.com

Consumption. The largest component of GDP is consumption, or consumer spending. Changes in consumer spending are affected by a variety of factors, including personal income, the savings rate, debt

accumulation, discretionary income, and consumers' confidence in the economy. Consumer discretionary income was significantly affected by the continuing high cost of gasoline. Although prices have fallen recently, prices during the late summer and early fall were higher than a year ago.

In 2009, real (adjusted for inflation) consumption decreased by 0.6 percent, compared to a 0.2 percent decrease last year. Retail sales and food services declined 6.5 percent, while retail sales and food, less automobiles, decreased 5.1 percent in 2009. Consumption of services increased 0.1 percent in 2009 compared to a 0.7 percent increase in 2008. Consumption of durables decreased by 4.1 percent in 2009 compared to a 4.5 percent decrease in 2008. Within durables, motor vehicle consumption decreased 7.0 percent in 2009 compared to a 13.7 percent drop in 2008. Consumption of nondurables, decreased 1.1 percent in 2009 compared to a 0.8 percent decrease in 2008.

Within services, food services and drinking places increased 1.7 percent in 2009 compared to 3.2 percent in 2008. Food and beverage stores increased 0.6 percent in 2009 compared to a 4.9 percent increase in 2008. On the other hand, building materials, equipment, and supplies declined 11.1 percent, clothing and accessories declined 6.0 percent, and general merchandise decreased 0.1 percent in 2009 compared to a 3.4 percent decrease in 2008. Table 1-3 presents U.S. real personal consumption expenditure growth for 2008 and 2009.

Table 1 - 3

	Expenditures	
	2008	2009
U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth		
Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2008-2009		
Personal Consumption	(0.2) %	(0.6) %
Durables	(4.5)	(4.1)
Motor Vehicles	(13.7)	(7.0)
Nondurables	(0.8)	(1.1)
Services	0.7	0.1
Retail Sales & Food Services	(0.7)	(6.5)
Ret. Sales & Food Less Autos	2.5	(5.1)
Bldg. Mat., Equip., & Supplies	(3.8)	(11.1)
General Merchandise	3.4	(0.1)
Food & Beverage Stores	4.9	0.6
Clothing & Accessories	(1.8)	(6.0)
Food Serv. & Drinking Places	3.2	1.7

Source: Moody's Economy.com

Business Investment. Capital investment has continued to expand at a reasonable rate. Spending on nonresidential construction has been particularly strong, reflecting higher outlays for new office and commercial buildings, as well as a rapid increase in expenditures on drilling and mining structures. Outlays for equipment and software have slowed somewhat, though backlogs for "on order" capital goods, such as industrial machinery and other types of heavy equipment, remain high. Moreover, financial conditions continue to be favorable for investment spending because profitability is high, the cost of capital is relatively low, and significant cash reserves remain on firms' books.

In real terms, overall investment dropped 17.3 percent in 2009 following a 7.3 percent decline in 2008. Specifically, fixed investment plunged 18.3 percent. Nonresidential investment fell 17.8 percent, while residential investment plummeted 20.1 percent, but inventories surged 81.2 percent.


International Trade. In 2009, real imports plunged 100.2 percent following a 3.2 percent decrease in 2008. During that same period, real exports plummeted 121.8 percent in 2009 following a 5.4 percent increase in 2008. The result was a real trade deficit of \$350.3 billion in 2009.

Government Expenditures. Real government spending increased by 2.2 percent in 2009 compared to a 3.1 percent increase in 2008. Specifically, federal government expenditures increased 5.3 percent in 2009, compared to a 7.7 percent increase experienced in 2008. Within the federal government category, national defense expenditures increased 5.8 percent in 2009 compared to a 7.8 percent increase in 2008, and non-defense expenditures increased 4.4 percent in 2009 compared to a 7.3 percent decrease in 2008. At the state and local government levels, expenditures increased at a 0.1 percent rate in 2009 compared to a 0.5 percent increase in 2008.

Personal Income. Personal income is the dollar value of income available to households for consumption expenditures. Total personal income is derived by summing salaries and wages, other labor income, proprietors' income, rental income, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and transfer payments. From this sum, personal contributions for social insurance and personal taxes are deducted. In 2009, nominal personal income decreased by 2.4

percent, compared to a 0.4 percent decrease in 2008. Figure 1-7 presents nominal U.S. personal income growth from 1970 through 2009.

Figure 1 - 7



Rental income rose 26.6 percent in 2009, compared to a 45.2 percent surge in 2008, while dividend income dropped 21.9 percent compared to a 10.3 percent fall in 2008. Interest income in 2009 decreased 5.3 percent, following a 3.3 percent increase in 2008. Disposable personal income is personal income less personal taxes. Disposable income increased 0.3 percent in 2009 compared to a 0.5 percent increase in 2008. Median household income increased 1.4 percent in 2009 compared to a 2.9 percent increase in 2008. Table 1-4 below presents real U.S. personal income composition and growth from 2008 to 2009.

Table 1 - 4

U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2008-2009			
<i>(Dollars in Billions of Chain Weighted 2005 Dollars)</i>			
	2009*	Percent Change	
		2007-2008	2008-2009
Median Household Income	52,443	2.9 %	1.4 %
Personal Income	11,000.0	(0.4)	(2.4)
Disposable Income	9,900.0	0.5	0.3
Dividends	536.2	(10.3)	(21.9)
Interest	1,238.5	3.3	(5.3)
Rent	266.3	45.2	26.6
Personal Saving Rate	3.7 %	1.0	1.0

* Estimated

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com

Personal Savings. Personal savings is derived by subtracting personal outlays from disposable personal income. The personal savings rate is personal savings expressed as a percentage of disposable personal income. In 2009, the personal savings rate was 3.7 percent, compared to a 2.7 percent rate in 2008.

Inflation & Prices. Inflation can be measured using several methods. Two specific methods are the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Price Deflator. Up until 2009, inflation has been less than 4.0 percent since 1992. In 2009, inflation, as measured by the CPI-U, decreased 0.4 percent compared to a 3.8 percent increase in 2008. More specifically, the cost of goods less food and energy increased 1.6 percent in 2009 compared to 2.3 percent in 2008. The cost of shelter increased 1.1 percent in 2009 compared to 2.5 percent in 2008, and the cost of food generally increased 1.9 percent in 2009 compared to a 5.5 percent increase in 2008. The cost of food away from home increased 3.5 percent in 2009 compared to 4.4 percent in 2008. Finally, the cost of medical care increased 3.3 percent in 2009 compared to a 3.7 percent increase in 2008. During that same time frame, inflation, as measured by the GDP Price Index, stood at 1.3 percent in 2009 compared to a 2.1 percent increase in 2008. Table 1-5 presents the seasonally adjusted percent change for U.S. consumer prices for 2008 and 2009.

Table 1 - 5

U.S. Consumer Prices		
Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2008-2009		
	Prices	
	2008	2009
GDP Chain Price Deflator	2.1 %	1.3 %
Consumer Price Index	3.8	(0.4)
Less Food & Energy	2.3	1.6
Shelter	2.5	1.1
Food	5.5	1.9
Food Away from Home	4.4	3.5
Medical Care	3.7	3.3

Source: Moody's Economy.com

Productivity. One major factor contributing to holding inflation in check in recent years has been strong productivity growth. Productivity growth, as measured by output per hour, increased 2.6 percent in 2009, compared to a 1.8 percent growth rate in 2008.


2010 U.S. Economic Outlook

As 2009 drew to a close, the two-year-long recession appeared to be easing. The economy lost more than seven million jobs since the beginning of the recession, which has been quite broad-based. Representing

about 1.6 percent of the peak payroll count, this recession will see comparatively fewer job losses than the last recession, when the economy lost 2 percent of its peak employment level. Although payroll job losses will be fewer, the recession will be more severe as measured by the extent and duration of unemployment. Moody's Economy.com expects an increase in the unemployment rate of just over three percentage points though the first quarter of 2010. During the recessions of 2001 and the early 1990s, the jobless rate increased by 2.4 and 2.2 percentage points, respectively. One has to go back to the twin recessions of the early 1980s to see a rise in the unemployment rate of the size projected now. U.S. economic activity remains anemic with the recovery expected to be modest in 2010. Employment is expected to be relatively flat for most of the year, with continued job losses through the first half of the calendar year potentially offset by job gains in the latter half of 2010.

Four commonly cited predictors of future economic performance are the Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators, the Consumer Confidence Index, the Index of Consumer Sentiment, and the Index of Consumer Expectations. Figure 1-8 presents these indices that were available at the date of publication for 2009.

Figure 1 - 8



The Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators and the Consumer Confidence Index are compiled by the Conference Board, which is a private, not-for-profit organization that conducts business and economic research and forecasting. The Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators is a composite index of ten leading economic indicators that reach cyclical turning points before the actual turning point occurs in

the economy as a whole. Each series included in the Composite Index of Leading Indicators is selected because of its performance on six important characteristics, including economic significance, statistical adequacy, timing consistency at business cycle peaks and troughs, conformity to business expansions and contractions, smoothness, and prompt availability. The Conference Board also compiles the Consumer Confidence Index. This index is based on the Consumer Confidence Survey, which is based on a representative sample of 5,000 U.S. households.

The Index of Consumer Sentiment and the Index of Consumer Expectations are compiled by the Survey Research Center at the University of Michigan. These indices focus on how consumers view prospects for their own financial situation, how they view prospects for the general economy over the near term, and their view of prospects for the economy over the long term.

All four major U.S. economic indicators edged upward during 2009. The Consumer Expectations Index rose from a low of 50.5 in February to a high of 73.5 in September, before falling back to 66.5 in November. The Consumer Sentiment Index rose from a low of 56.3 in February to a high of 73.5 in September, before falling back to 67.4 in November. The index of Consumer Confidence rose from a low of 25.0 in February to a high of 54.1 in August, before dropping back to 47.7 in October. In keeping with this trend, the Index of Leading Economic Indicators has gone up from a low of 97.9 in March to a high 103.8 during October. An economic slowdown is not imminent.

Real GDP is expected to increase 2.2 percent in 2010. Total non-farm employment is expected to decrease 1.0 percent in 2010 compared to a 3.7 percent decrease in 2009. Productivity, as measured by output per hour, is also expected to increase 2.6 percent in 2010, the same rate as in 2009. Business investment spending is expected to increase 1.9 percent in 2010 compared to a 17.3 percent drop in 2009.

Given ongoing weakness in the labor market, many question whether the economy may experience a so-called jobless recovery, in which output is growing but employment fails to increase.

The unemployment rate is expected to increase significantly from 9.3 percent in 2009 to 10.6 percent in 2010. Real personal income is also forecasted to

increase by 0.2 percent in 2010 compared to a 2.4 percent decrease in 2009. Even though inflation in 2009 was negative, inflation is expected to increase at a modest 1.7 percent rate in 2010. Oil prices are expected to increase to the \$75 per barrel range in 2010.

Gross Domestic Product

Moody's Economy.com expects that while the recession is coming to an end and economic growth is beginning to resume, the recovery will be lackluster and remain sporadic in 2010. Thus, the recovery is not likely to begin in earnest until 2011. Moody's Economy.com anticipates that the unemployment rate will rise through at least next summer. Although job growth will accelerate in 2011 and 2012, the economy is not expected to return to full employment until 2013. Table 1-6 presents the nominal and the real U.S. GDP composition and growth estimates for 2009 and the forecasts for 2010.

Table 1 - 6

	Real		Percent Change	%
	(\$ Chained 2005)			
	2009*	2010**		
Gross Domestic Product	12,989.0	13,269.8	2.2	
Consumption	9,233.1	9,314.2	0.9	
Durables	1,099.0	1,118.7	1.8	
Nondurables	2,035.4	2,054.5	0.9	
Services	6,090.1	6,135.6	0.7	
Investment	1,644.4	1,674.9	1.9	
Fixed	1,649.3	1,671.8	1.4	
Nonresidential	1,290.5	1,284.2	(0.5)	
Structures	396.0	367.1	(7.3)	
Equipment	882.7	906.6	2.7	
Residential	360.4	389.5	8.1	
Inventory Change	(4.9)	3.2	165.1	
Net Exports	(350.3)	(362.8)	(3.6)	
Exports	(354.9)	(358.4)	(1.0)	
Imports	(4.6)	4.4	195.5	
Government	2,572.7	2,636.6	2.5	
Federal	1,028.0	1,064.9	3.6	
Defense	697.6	719.7	3.2	
Nondefense	330.4	345.2	4.5	
State and Local	1,544.7	1,571.7	1.7	

*Estimated
**Forecasted

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com

Consumption. The present recession is unique because of its unparalleled effect on consumer spending. The declines in spending were broad based. Reduced wealth, credit availability, and job losses constrained consumer purchases of big-ticket items. In addition, real nondurable goods spending also fell sharply as consumers minimized purchases of nonessential items. Growth in spending on services was very modest with households cutting back on discretionary items like recreation. In addition, the disequilibrium in housing and mortgage markets that underlies the current recession is significantly more widespread than in previous recessions. According to Moody's Economy.com consumer spending is expected to be slow to recover, because improvement in spending will require reduced layoffs and increased hiring; wealth remains substantially below its peak; government assistance to support consumer spending is set to shrink; and, even when employment growth does resume, consumers will still want to rebuild their portfolios.

U.S. consumer spending, representing two-thirds of the economy, continues to be anemic. Until employment stabilizes and income growth resumes, retail spending is likely to continue to be subdued.

Overall, real consumption growth is projected to increase 0.9 in 2010 compared to a 0.6 percent decrease in 2009. Since the last recession in 1991, consumer spending has consistently increased at a more rapid rate than disposable income. The result was that the savings rate fell. This decline implied that most of the spending growth over this period was driven by capital gains and/or consumer credit. In the latter half of the 1990s, the low savings rate did not appear to be a severe problem because stock market investments were not only sustaining, but enriching many households. However, the stock market correction in 2000 ended this trend. Currently, the low savings rate combined with a high debt level indicates that many consumer budgets are tight. The personal savings rate is expected to increase significantly from 3.7 percent in 2009 to 4.0 percent in 2010. Table 1-7, on the following page, presents U.S. personal consumption expenditure growth estimates for 2009 and the forecasts for 2010.

During the unprecedented economic expansion of the 1990s, a tight labor market and low inflation allowed consumers to realize significant gains in real

Table 1 - 7

U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2009-2010		
	Expenditures	
	2009	2010
Personal Consumption	(0.6) %	0.9 %
Durables	(4.1)	1.8
Motor Vehicles	(7.0)	2.8
Nondurables	(1.1)	0.9
Services	0.1	0.7
Retail Sales & Food Services	(6.5)	2.4
Ret. Sales & Food Less Autos	(5.1)	1.7
Bldg. Mat., Equip., & Supplies	(11.1)	(0.2)
General Merchandise	(0.1)	2.3
Food & Beverage Stores	0.6	1.3
Clothing & Accessories	(6.0)	(2.2)
Food Serv. & Drinking Places	1.7	4.6

Source: Moody's Economy.com

purchasing power. Now, however, those particular circumstances are not present. The result is that real disposable income is expected to increase by 1.0 percent in 2010 compared to a 0.3 percent increase in 2009. Real consumer spending growth is expected to increase 0.9 in 2010 after a 0.6 percent decrease in 2009. This trend will be supported largely by a 1.8 percent increase in durables consumption compared to a 4.1 percent decrease in 2009. Automobile purchases are expected to increase 2.8 percent in 2010 compared to a 7.0 percent decline in 2009. Expenditures for nondurable goods are forecasted to increase 0.9 percent in 2010 compared to a 1.1 percent decrease in 2009.

Expenditures for services are forecasted to increase by 0.7 percent in 2010 compared to a 0.1 percent increase in 2009. Retail sales and food services expenditures are expected to increase 2.4 percent in 2010, while retail sales and food excluding autos are expected to increase 1.7 percent. More specifically, expenditures for food services and drinking places are expected to increase 4.6 percent, expenditures for general merchandise are expected to increase 2.3 percent, and expenditures for food and beverage stores are expected to increase 1.3 percent in 2009. On the other hand, expenditures for clothing and accessories are expected to decrease 2.2 percent and expenditures for building materials, equipment, and supplies are expected to decrease 0.2 percent.

Business Investment. According to Moody's Economy.com, fixed business investment will begin to

grow given rising corporate profits and revenues and improving financial conditions. Real equipment spending is expected to increase significantly and inventories should begin clearing as economic activity resumes a more normal course. This, combined with rising exports, should begin to lift the economy out of recession. The increase in capital goods orders should generate significant increases in equipment investment during the course of the year. Nonresidential structures investment is likely to continue to fall, at least until midyear, with a modest recovery expected by year-end as commercial real estate prices begin to rebound. The growth rate of residential investment will also increase in 2010 as the housing market begins to recover. The housing market is not expected to fully stabilize until midyear. The extension of the federal tax credit program and an increase in foreclosure sales should help sustain the recovery. Lower prices, improved credit markets, and stabilizing unemployment will bolster waning demand. Although credit conditions are expected to continue to improve, credit conditions are likely to be tighter than usual for longer, slowing a recovery in business investment.

For 2010, real business investment spending is expected to increase to 1.9 percent compared to a 17.6 percent drop off in 2009. Real fixed investment is expected to increase 1.4 percent, with residential construction expected to increase 8.1 percent, and nonresidential investment forecasted to decrease 0.5 percent. Within nonresidential investment, spending on equipment is expected to increase 2.7 percent, while spending on structures is expected to fall 7.3 percent.

International Trade. The foreign exchange value of the dollar was very volatile over the course of the year. At the peak of the financial crisis, a "flight to quality" resulted in a marked increase in the value of the dollar. More recently, concerns over the rapid expansion of national debt has caused the value of the dollar to plummet. According to Moody's Economy.com the recovery in developed countries will begin 2010 and take hold in 2011, with emerging markets following once the recovery in developed countries is established.

Real trade exports are expected to decrease by 1.0 percent in 2010 compared to a 121.8 percent drop off in 2009. Meanwhile, real trade imports are expected to surge 195.5 percent in 2010 compared to a 100.2

percent drop off in 2009. Nevertheless, the real trade deficit is likely to remain over \$350.0 billion in 2010.

Government Expenditures. The federal government ran a budget deficit of \$1.42 trillion for fiscal year 2009, which ended on September 30. This was the largest deficit ever in nominal dollars and was more than three times greater than the deficit in fiscal year 2008. As a share of GDP the deficit was 10 percent, the largest since World War II. The on-budget deficit was \$1.55 trillion, more than double the on-budget deficit in the prior fiscal year. The combination of a slowly recovering economy and the economic recovery plan will cause the federal government to run a deficit of more than \$1 trillion in the current fiscal year, or about 8 percent of GDP. While this will be substantially smaller than the budget deficit in 2009, it will still be the second-largest deficit as a share of the economy in the post-World War II era.

In real terms, government expenditures are estimated to increase by 2.5 percent in 2010. Total federal government expenditures are estimated to increase by 3.6 percent. Specifically, national defense expenditures are expected to increase 3.2 percent, and non-defense expenditures are expected to increase by 4.5 percent. Real state and local government expenditures are forecasted to increase 1.7 percent.

Personal Income. In 2010, real personal income in the U.S. is forecasted to remain constant. Rents are expected to increase 4.8 percent, and interest is expected to increase 2.0 percent, while dividends are expected to decrease 4.9 percent. Disposable personal income is forecasted to increase 1.0 percent, while the median household income is expected to increase 1.7 percent to \$53,338 in 2010. Table 1-8 presents the

Table 1 - 8

U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2009-2010			
<i>(Dollars in Billions)</i>			
	2009*	2010**	Percent Change
Median Household Income	52,443	53,338	1.7 %
Personal Income	11,000.0	11,000.0	--
Disposable Income	9,900.0	10,000.0	1.0
Dividends	536.2	509.8	(4.9)
Interest	1,238.5	1,263.6	2.0
Rent	266.3	279.1	4.8
Personal Saving Rate	3.7 %	4.0	0.3

* Estimated
** Forecasted

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis and Moody's Economy.com

categories of U.S. personal income growth for 2009 and 2010.

Personal Savings. The rate of personal savings is expected to increase to a 4.0 percent rate in 2010, a 0.3 percentage point increase from 2009.

Inflation & Prices. Many factors affect inflation, including slack in resource utilization, inflation expectations, exchange rates, and the prices of oil and other commodities. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), the price of West Texas Intermediate (WTI) crude oil is expected to average about \$76 per barrel this winter. The monthly average WTI price is expected to dip to \$75 early next year then rise to \$82 per barrel by December 2010, assuming U.S. and world economic conditions continue to improve. Rising crude oil prices are expected to contribute to an increase in the annual average regular-grade gasoline retail price from \$2.35 per gallon in 2009 to \$2.83 in 2010, as pump prices approach \$3 per gallon during next year's driving season. Projected annual average diesel fuel retail prices are \$2.46 and \$2.96 per gallon, respectively, in 2009 and 2010. Average household expenditures on heating oil this winter are expected to increase to \$1,911 from \$1,864 last winter. Projected average household expenditures for propane of \$1,700 this winter are almost 13 percent lower than last winter's \$1,950.

The EIA expects the annual average natural gas Henry Hub spot price for 2010 to be \$4.62 per thousand cubic feet (Mcf). This represents a \$0.67-per-Mcf increase from the estimated 2009 price of \$3.95 per Mcf. Natural gas working inventories reached a new record-high level of 3.837 trillion cubic feet (Tcf) as mild weather throughout much of the country contributed to uncommon storage builds for most of that month. Projected average household expenditures on natural gas total \$778 this winter, compared with \$889 last winter.

According to Moody's Economy.com, although the economy has just emerged from recession, there will be less upward price pressure than normal as a result of the poor job market and higher saving rates. In the absence of an energy price shock, inflation is likely to remain modest. As measured by the CPI-U, inflation is forecasted to be 1.7 percent in 2010. As measured by GDP Chain Price Deflator, it is expected to be 0.5

percent. Table 1-9 presents price changes for 2009 and 2010 as measured by the GDP Price Index.

Table 1 - 9

	Prices	
	2009	2010
GDP Chain Price Deflator	1.3 %	0.5 %
Consumer Price Index	(0.4)	1.7
Less Food & Energy	1.6	0.7
Shelter	1.1	(0.4)
Food	1.9	1.2
Food Away from Home	3.5	1.7
Medical Care	3.3	4.7

Source: Moody's Economy.com

Productivity. Productivity is defined as output per hour of work, thus, a jobless recovery must be a period

of productivity growth. In the jobless recoveries that followed the 1990-91 and 2001 recessions, productivity growth was quite strong. Productivity growth in recent years has been relatively strong even when the economy was contracting. One likely reason for recent productivity gains was the reaction of employers to the economic downturn. Because of the severity of the recent downturn, many employers reduced their workforce more quickly and sharply than in more typical recessions. Thus, the productivity gains this year generally reflected pronounced declines in labor input rather than greater output. If demand, production, and confidence pick up, some firms will find it necessary to begin hiring workers. However, to the extent that firms are able to find further cost-cutting measures as output expands, they may delay hiring. Productivity, as measured by output per hour, is expected to grow at a 2.6 percent rate in 2010, the same rate as in 2009.

Chapter 2

Kansas Employment & Income

Overview

Overall, the Kansas economy entered a significant downturn in 2009, following the global and the U.S. economies. After experiencing slowing employment growth through most of 2008, manufacturing experienced significant job losses during 2009. Major job losses were experienced in the aircraft manufacturing sector, which has been hit particularly hard by the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis. Continuing tight credit markets and negative publicity have had a significant dampening effect on aircraft orders. In addition, consumer spending has slowed significantly in response to stagnant wage growth and limited access to credit, forcing retailers to limit their payrolls. Gross state product (GSP) is forecast to increase by 2.6 percent, and personal income is expected to increase by 0.7 percent in 2010. Table 2-1 presents major Kansas economic trends for 2009 and 2010.

Table 2 - 1

Major Kansas Economic Trends	2009	2010
GSP Growth (\$ Constant)	(1.3) %	2.6 %
Personal Income Growth (\$ Current)	(2.7)	0.7
Employment Growth (Place of Work)	(3.1)	(1.6)
Unemployment Rate (Monthly Average)	7.0	7.3

Source: Kansas Consensus Revenue Estimating Group, Kansas Labor Market Information Services & Moody's Economy.com

Personal income decreased by 2.7 percent in 2009, with the residence adjustment decreasing 15.7 percent, proprietors' income decreasing 10.1 percent, dividend, interest, and rent income decreasing 8.6 percent, wage and salary disbursements decreasing 4.3 percent, and contributions for social insurance decreasing 2.1 percent. However, transfer income did increase 13.5 percent and other labor income increased 1.0 percent. In 2010, personal income growth is expected to be 0.7 percent, with growth in proprietors' income at 3.5 percent, transfers increasing 1.6 percent, the residence adjustment increasing 1.4 percent, dividends, interest, and rent increasing 0.7 percent, and wage and salary disbursements increasing 0.1 percent. Other labor income is expected to decrease 0.5 percent, while contributions for social insurance are expected to

decrease slightly. In 2010 employment by place of work is expected to decrease 1.6 percent, and the unemployment rate is expected to increase to 7.3 percent, up from 7.0 percent in 2009. However, this is still significantly below the 10.6 percent unemployment rate forecast for the U.S. as a whole in 2010.

From October 2008 to October 2009, non-farm salary and wage employment decreased to 1,346,600 jobs, a decrease of 57,700 jobs in that time period. Only two of the eleven reporting industry sectors showed job increases compared to a year ago. Employment in education and health services increased by 1,800 jobs, while employment in other services increased by 1,200 jobs. The state's unemployment rate rose from 4.3 percent in October 2008 to 6.4 percent in October 2009.

From October 2008 through October 2009, annual employment in the goods producing industries fell 12.3 percent, while employment in the services producing industries decreased 2.2 percent. Within the goods producing industries, natural resources employment remained unchanged, while manufacturing employment dropped 13.6 percent, and construction employment declined by 10.4 percent. Within the services producing industries, other services employment increased 2.2 percent and educational and health services employment increased 1.0 percent, while professional and business services employment fell 9.2 percent, trade, transportation and utilities employment decreased 3.2 percent, information employment decreased 2.9 percent, leisure and hospitality services decreased 1.9 percent, financial activities employment decreased 1.4 percent, and government employment decreased 0.7 percent. Farm employment declined 5.7 percent in 2009.

The Kansas economy has slowed due in large part to the overall downturn in manufacturing. In 2009, manufacturing accounted for 12.1 percent of total employment in Kansas. Within the manufacturing industry, the transportation equipment manufacturing sector is significant because of the Wichita area's focus on aircraft manufacturing and the Kansas City area's focus on car and truck manufacturing. The transportation equipment manufacturing sector

accounts for 29.1 percent of all of the state's manufacturing jobs.

The global credit crisis has had a significant negative impact on the state's durable goods manufacturers. Aerospace and machinery manufacturers, which were responsible for much of the state's growth in manufacturing employment over recent years, have been the hardest hit. Customers in these industries depend heavily on credit to finance purchases. In addition, negative publicity related to the use of corporate aircraft has exacerbated the situation. The Federal Reserve's Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey found that in the October survey, domestic banks indicated that they continued to tighten standards and terms over the past three months on all major types of loans to businesses and households. However, the net percentages of banks that tightened standards and terms for most loan categories continued to decline from the peaks reached late last year. A stabilizing global economy and a sharply depreciating dollar may have a stimulating effect on the state's exports in the near future.

There have been some positive developments for manufacturing, as Siemens AG announced it will locate a \$50 million production facility for wind turbine components in Hutchinson. The company will construct a 300,000 square-foot production facility and an adjacent 80,000 square-foot building for service and repairs. The plant will produce nacelles, which house the components that generate electricity, including the gearbox, drive trains and electronics. The plant is expected to make 650 nacelles per year. Siemens is based in Germany and is the third-largest provider of wind turbines in the U.S. The company said the plant would allow it to better meet the demand for wind turbines in North and South America. Siemens noted that Kansas was ranked third among states for its wind energy potential. When fully operational, the plant is expected to employ approximately 400 workers.

Unemployment levels in manufacturing and ongoing tight mortgage lending standards will continue to dampen housing demand. However, the relatively low level of speculative residential construction in the state and more stringent credit standards have allowed most of the state to avert a major inventory accumulation. This is a major factor as to why housing prices in most of the state have not fallen precipitously as they have in many other parts of the country. Nevertheless, housing prices are likely to experience some decline

and will cause some reduction in consumer spending. The traditionally conservative borrowing habits and practical saving habits of Kansans may not be enough to mediate a sharp decline in consumer spending.

Another indication of the breadth of the impact of the financial crisis is that the Hollywood Casino was the only remaining proposal after the recession forced other developers to drop out of the competition for a facility at the Kansas Speedway. As such, Kansas regulators unanimously approved the \$386 million project. Construction is expected to begin late next year, with an opening set for early 2012. The casino will be built near Turn 2 of the speedway. It will include 2,300 slot machines, 86 table games and 28,000 square feet of dining and entertainment options. A second phase calls for a 250-room hotel. The project is expected to generate a total investment of more than half a billion dollars. The project is expected to create 2,000 construction jobs and 1,000 permanent jobs in Wyandotte County.

After two years of discussions among state officials and potential developers, Chisholm Creek is the only remaining bidder for a single Wichita-area casino contract. The Chisholm Creek Partnership's proposal seeks to build and operate a \$225 million casino complex in Sumner County about 20 miles south of Wichita. However, that plan has been put on hold because communication between the developers, the Kansas Lottery Gaming Facility Review Board and others raised concerns about the Wyandotte Nation's plans for a casino in Park City north of the city. The Wyandotte Nation already has a casino with limited gaming in downtown Kansas City, Kansas. Chisholm Creek had planned to open its casino in September 2011, with at least 1,300 slot machines and 30 tables for games such as poker and blackjack. Now Chisholm Creek and Kansas Lottery officials must agree on changes to the proposal, which the review board still must approve. The first casino built since the law passed is set to open this month in Dodge City. The state had expected that expanded gaming would eventually generate an additional \$200 million in revenues.

On a more positive note, Kansas gained final approval for a \$650 million federal biodefense laboratory that officials heralded for its potential to protect the food supply and boost the state's economy. Federal officials recommended that the new National Bio and

Agro-Defense Facility be located in Manhattan. Federal officials agreed that the Manhattan proposal “best met the purpose and need to site, construct and operate” the laboratory complex. The facility will focus on livestock diseases and other threats to the nation’s food supply. Officials estimate construction will bring 1,300 to 1,614 jobs generating \$138.2 million to \$183 million in labor income. Eventual operation is to result in 250 to 350 jobs, with an estimated annual income of \$27 million to \$30 million.

The Kansas agricultural sector produces a wide array of products, with wheat, corn, sorghum, and soybeans as the main crops. Kansas is perennially the nation’s largest wheat producer, harvesting nearly 400.0 million bushels of wheat per year, which is approximately 20.0 percent of the total U.S. wheat output. In addition to the significant contribution Kansas makes to the production of row crops, the state is a large producer of livestock. More than 60.0 percent of the state’s agricultural receipts are derived from cattle production.

According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) 2009 Small Grains Summary, wheat production in 2009 was estimated at 369.6 million bushels or 3.8 percent above 2008. The final yield was 42 bushels per acre or two bushels above 2008. However, acreage harvested for grain was 8.8 million acres or about 100,000 acres less than 2008. The corn crop is forecasted to be 561.2 million bushels, up 15.0 percent from 2008. If this is realized, this would be a new record exceeding the 2007 crop by 53.3 million bushels. Yield is forecasted to be 145 bushels per acre, 11 bushels above 2008. However, the monthly average prices farmers received for wheat, corn, sorghum, and soybeans were well below 2008 levels. Cattle sales have generally been lower this year, although placements are up from a year ago. Much of the decrease in corn prices and production are resulting from moderating fuel prices and reduced speculation for ethanol as an alternative fuel source. Overall, farm income in 2009 is expected to be significantly lower because of lower crops prices and moderating demand for key crops.

Kansas Employment Review

Employment data are compiled in two ways: by place of residence and by place of work. The first

compilation by place of residence is based on a sample survey of households. From the sample survey, the civilian labor force is determined. Once the civilian labor force is determined, then employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rate are derived. Children, retirees, military personnel, and those not actively seeking work are not considered to be part of the civilian labor force. The second compilation is based on place of work. For this compilation, data are gathered directly from firms as part of the unemployment insurance program. Place of work data are further categorized by industry.

Employment by Place of Residence

From October 2008 to October 2009, the Kansas civilian labor force grew 1.7 percent, with employment decreasing 0.5 percent and the unemployment rate increasing 2.1 percentage points from 4.3 percent to 6.4 percent. Table 2-2 presents Kansas employment details for 2008 and 2009.


Table 2 - 2

Kansas Employment, by Place of Residence October 2008-October 2009			
	2008	2009	% Change
Place of Residence Data			
Civilian Labor Force	1,499,141	1,523,961	1.7 %
Employment	1,433,933	1,426,344	(0.5)
Unemployment	65,208	97,617	49.7
Unemployment Rate	4.3	6.4	2.1

Source: Kansas Department of Labor, Labor Market Information Services


Figure 2-1 presents trends in the Kansas civilian labor force from 1977 through 2009.

Figure 2 - 1




The relationship between labor force growth and the business cycle can be clearly observed in this graphic. There have been four recessions over the past 30 years: 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2008. The accompanying declines in the civilian labor force can be seen in these years. It is also noteworthy that the economic slowdown in 2000 was relatively mild compared to the ones in 1982 and 1990. A similar pattern can be seen in Kansas employment growth. Figure 2-2 presents Kansas employment trends by place of residence from 1977 through 2009.

Figure 2 - 2



The unemployment rate is also based on the civilian labor force. The unemployment rate in Kansas increased from 4.3 percent in October 2008 to 6.4 percent in October 2009. The highest rate during the last several decades occurred in 1982 when the unemployment rate reached 6.5 percent and then fell to 6.0 percent in 1983. Rates have not reached those levels for quite some time; however, the low levels experienced in the late 1990s are not anticipated in the near future either. Figure 2-3 presents the Kansas unemployment rate from 1977 through 2009.

Figure 2 - 3



Employment by Place of Work

The classifications of employment by place of work are goods producing industries, services producing industries, and farming. This section presents an overview of employment in the goods producing industries by subcategory. The next section presents employment in the services producing industries followed by farming. Compared to October 2008, employment in Kansas, as measured by place of work, was 4.1 percent lower. Figure 2-4 presents Kansas employment by place of work for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 4




Table 2-3 presents Kansas employment details for 2008 and 2009, by place of work.

Table 2 - 3

Place of Work Data	Kansas Employment, by Place of Work October 2008-October 2009		% Change
	2008	2009	
Total Nonfarm	1,404,300	1,346,600	(4.1) %
Total Private	1,134,700	1,078,900	(4.9)
Goods Producing	263,300	231,000	(12.3)
Natural Resources	10,200	10,200	--
Construction	65,300	58,500	(10.4)
Manufacturing	187,800	162,300	(13.6)
Durable Goods	122,400	98,600	(19.4)
Machinery	18,700	17,900	(4.3)
Electrical Equipment	4,100	3,900	(4.9)
Transp. Equip.	53,600	47,300	(11.8)
Aerospace Product	44,600	37,600	(15.7)
Non-Durable Goods	65,400	63,700	(2.6)
Food Manufacturing	30,900	30,300	(1.9)
Animal Slaughtering	18,000	18,100	0.6
Service-Providing Ind.	1,141,000	1,115,600	(2.2)
Private Service-Providing	871,400	847,900	(2.7)

Table 2 - 3 (cont'd)

Kansas Employment, by Place of Work October 2008-October 2009			
	2008	2009	% Change
Place of Work Data			
Trade, Transp. & Util.	263,100	254,600	(3.2) %
Wholesale Trade	61,900	61,100	(1.3)
Retail Trade	147,200	141,700	(3.7)
Motor Vehicle	18,200	17,500	(3.8)
Grocery Stores	22,600	22,100	(2.2)
General Merchandise	32,800	32,200	(1.8)
Trans. Warehouse	54,000	51,800	(4.1)
Utilities	7,600	7,500	(1.3)
Elec. Power Trans.	5,500	5,500	--
Trans. & Wrhse.	46,400	44,300	(4.5)
Truck Trans.	17,900	17,800	(0.6)
Information	37,500	36,400	(2.9)
Telecommunications	23,800	22,300	(6.3)
Financial Activities	72,800	71,800	(1.4)
Finance & Insurance	57,900	57,100	(1.4)
Credit Intermed.	27,700	27,600	(0.4)
Insurance Carriers	24,500	24,300	(0.8)
Real Estate	14,900	14,700	(1.3)
Professional & Business	149,100	135,400	(9.2)
Prof. & Science	60,300	54,900	(9.0)
Mgmt. of Companies	13,100	12,600	(3.8)
Administrative	75,700	67,900	(10.3)
Ed. & Health Serv.	178,500	180,300	1.0
Health Care & Serv.	160,200	161,300	0.7
Hospitals	43,100	43,800	1.6
Leisure & Hosp.	116,400	114,200	(1.9)
Arts & Entertainment	13,800	13,800	--
Amuse./Gambling	10,500	10,600	1.0
Accommodation	102,600	100,400	(2.1)
Food Services	92,200	90,600	(1.7)
Other Services	54,000	55,200	2.2
Government	269,600	267,700	(0.7)
Federal	25,500	26,300	3.1
State	56,200	55,300	(1.6)
Local	187,900	186,100	(1.0)
Farm Employment	64,900	61,200	(5.7)

Source: KS Dept. of Labor, Labor Market Info. Serv.


Goods Producing Industries

As measured by place of work, employment in the goods producing industries dropped 12.3 percent from October 2008 through October 2009. There are three general categories within the goods producing industries: natural resources, construction, and manufacturing.

Natural Resources & Mining. The natural resources and mining super-sector is made up of two parts: the

agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting sector, and the mining sector. The agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting sector consists of establishments primarily engaged in growing crops, raising animals, harvesting timber, and harvesting fish or other animals from a farm, ranch, or their natural habitats. The mining sector is made up of establishments that extract naturally occurring mineral solids (coal and ores), liquid minerals (crude petroleum), and gases (natural gas). The term mining is used in the broad sense to include quarrying, well operations, beneficiating (e.g., crushing, screening, washing, and flotation), and other preparation that is customarily performed at the mine site, or as a part of mining activity. Growth in natural resources and mining employment slowed significantly during the course of 2009 with employment levels remaining above 2008 levels until October. From October 2008 through October 2009, natural resources mining employment was unchanged. Figure 2-5 compares monthly employment in natural resources for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 5




Construction. The construction sector includes establishments primarily engaged in the construction of buildings or engineering projects (e.g., highways and utility systems). Establishments primarily engaged in the preparation of sites for new construction and establishments primarily engaged in subdividing land for sale as building sites also are included in this sector. Construction work done may include new work, additions, alterations, or maintenance and repairs. Activities of these establishments generally are managed at a fixed place of business, but they usually perform construction activities at multiple project sites.

Monthly construction employment levels for 2009 were well below 2008 levels through October. From

October 2008 through October 2009, construction employment declined 10.4 percent. This particular industry sector is affected greatly by the seasons and the weather. For this reason, it is important to consider the inherent seasonal nature of the industry when analyzing construction employment changes. Figure 2-6 presents trends in construction employment in Kansas for 2008 and 2009.


Figure 2 - 6



Manufacturing. The manufacturing sector consists of establishments engaged in the mechanical, physical or chemical transformation of materials, substances or components into new products.

Figure 2-7 presents trends in manufacturing employment for 2008 and 2009. Monthly manufacturing employment was well below 2008 levels through October and from October 2008 through October 2009 dropped 13.6 percent.


Figure 2 - 7



From October 2008 to October 2009, durable goods manufacturing employment plummeted 19.4 percent.

Durable goods are defined as goods with an expected useful life of more than one year. In 2009, monthly employment in durable goods manufacturing was well below last year's levels through October. Figure 2-8 presents the yearly trends in durable goods manufacturing employment, by month, for both 2008 and 2009.


Figure 2 - 8



Three important subcategories within the durable goods manufacturing are machinery, electrical equipment, and transportation equipment. Employment in transportation equipment manufacturing dropped by 11.8 percent, employment in electrical equipment manufacturing decreased 4.9 percent, and machinery manufacturing decreased 4.3 percent.

The dynamics of aircraft and parts manufacturing employment in the Wichita metropolitan area are of particular interest when analyzing the Kansas economy. As shown in Figure 2-9, monthly transportation equipment manufacturing employment has been below 2008 levels since February.


Figure 2 - 9



Employment trends in transportation equipment, a sub-component of durable goods manufacturing, are important for four reasons. First, transportation equipment manufacturing is a major exporting sub-sector within the Kansas economy. Second, aircraft and related parts manufacturing is a major subcategory of the transportation equipment manufacturing sub-sector. Third, the Wichita metropolitan area's economy is driven largely by aircraft-related manufacturing. Fourth, the Kansas manufacturing industry is driven to a considerable extent by the Wichita metropolitan area's manufacturing employment. For these reasons, employment changes in transportation equipment manufacturing have a potentially significant effect on the Kansas economy. Employment in aerospace products manufacturing plummeted 15.7 percent in 2009.


Nondurable goods are defined as goods with an expected useful life of less than one year. Nondurable goods manufacturing employment has been below 2008 levels for the entire year. From October 2008 to October 2009, employment in nondurable goods decreased by 2.6 percent. Figure 2-10 below shows nondurable goods manufacturing employment for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 10



Within nondurable goods manufacturing, the food manufacturing sector accounts for nearly 50.0 percent of employment in Kansas. Food manufacturing employment decreased 1.9 percent over this period. Monthly food manufacturing employment has remained well below 2008 levels through October. However, within food manufacturing, animal slaughtering employment actually increased by 0.6 percent. Figure 2-11 presents trends in food and kindred products employment in Kansas for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 11



Services Producing Industries

The second broad classification of employment by place of work is the services producing industries. Employment in the services producing industries decreased 2.2 percent in 2009. There are eight major categories within the services producing industries. They include trade, transportation, and utilities; information; finance; professional and technical services; educational and health services; leisure and hospitality services; other services; and government. In 2009, only two of the eight major categories experienced increases in employment. Other services employment increased 2.2 percent and education and health services employment increased 1.0 percent.

Trade, Transportation, & Utilities. The trade super-sector includes the wholesale and the retail trade sectors. The wholesale trade sector is made up of establishments engaged in wholesaling merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services incidental to the sale of merchandise. Wholesalers are organized to sell or arrange the purchase or sale of (1) goods for resale (i.e., goods sold to other wholesalers or retailers), (2) capital or durable non-consumer goods, and (3) raw and intermediate materials and supplies used in production. Wholesalers sell merchandise to other businesses and normally operate from a warehouse or office.

The retail trade sector consists of establishments engaged in retailing merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services incidental to the sale of merchandise. The retailing process is the final step in the distribution of merchandise. Retailers


are, therefore, organized to sell merchandise in small quantities to the general public. Two main types of retailers exist: store and non-store retailers.

The transportation and warehousing sector includes industries that provide transportation of passengers and cargo, warehousing and storage for goods, scenic and sightseeing transportation, and support activities related to modes of transportation. Establishments in these industries use transportation equipment or transportation-related facilities as a productive asset. The type of equipment used depends on the mode of transportation. The modes of transportation are air, rail, water, road, and pipeline.

The utilities sector consists of establishments engaged in providing utility services, specifically electric power, natural gas, steam supply, water supply, and sewage removal. Within this sector, the specific activities associated with the utility services provided vary by utility. Electric power includes generation, transmission, and distribution; natural gas includes distribution; steam supply includes provision and/or distribution; water supply includes treatment and distribution; and sewage removal includes collection, treatment, and disposal of waste through sewer systems and sewage treatment facilities.

Employment in trade, transportation, and utilities decreased 3.2 percent from October 2008 to October 2009. Monthly employment in trade, transportation, and utilities employment has drifted significantly below last year's levels through October. Trends in trade, transportation and public utilities employment for 2008 and 2009 are presented in Figure 2-12.


Figure 2 - 12



Within the trade, transportation, and utilities category, there are four subcategories. These categories include utilities; transportation and warehousing; wholesale


trade; and retail trade. Monthly utilities employment began the year above 2008 levels, but since midyear utilities employment has dropped below last year's levels through October. From October 2008 to October 2009, transportation and warehousing employment decreased 1.3 percent. Figure 2-13 presents trends in utilities employment in 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 13




Monthly employment in transportation and warehousing was well below last year's levels through October. From October 2008 to October 2009, transportation and warehousing employment decreased 4.1 percent. Figure 2-14 presents trends in transportation and warehousing employment in 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 14




Monthly wholesale trade employment was near 2008 at the beginning of the year, but drifted lower as the year progressed through October. From October 2008 to October 2009, wholesale trade employment decreased 1.3 percent. Trends in wholesale trade employment for both 2008 and 2009 are shown on the following page in Figure 2-15.

Figure 2 - 15



Monthly retail trade employment levels began the year near 2008 levels, but drifted significantly lower for the remainder of the year through October. From October 2008 to October 2009, retail trade employment decreased 3.7 percent. Trends in retail trade employment during 2008 and 2009 are shown in Figure 2-16.


Figure 2 - 16



Information. The information sector includes establishments that are engaged in the following processes: (1) producing and distributing information and cultural products, (2) providing the means to transmit or distribute these products, as well as data or communications, and (3) processing data. The first major component of this sector is the publishing industry, which includes software publishing, traditional publishing, and publishing exclusively on the Internet. The second major component is motion picture and sound recording. The third is broadcasting, which includes traditional broadcasting, as well as broadcasting exclusively over the Internet. Telecommunications also is a component of this

sector, as are Internet service providers and web search portals, data processing industries, and the information services industries. Information employment levels were well below 2008 levels for the entire year. From October 2008 to October 2009, information employment was down 2.9 percent. Within that category, telecommunications employment decreased 6.3 percent over that same time. Figure 2-17 shows the 2008 and 2009 trends for information employment.

Figure 2 - 17




Financial Activities. The financial activities super-sector is made up of two parts: the finance and insurance sector, and the real estate and rental and leasing sector. The finance and insurance sector consists of establishments primarily engaged in financial transactions (transactions involving the creation, liquidation, or change in ownership of financial assets) or in facilitating financial transactions. Three principal types of activities are identified: (1) raising funds by taking deposits or issuing securities and, in the process, incurring liabilities; (2) pooling of risk by underwriting insurance and annuities; and (3) providing specialized services that facilitate or support financial intermediation, insurance, and employee benefit programs. The real estate and rental and leasing sector consists of establishments primarily engaged in renting, leasing, or otherwise allowing the use of tangible or intangible assets, and those that provide related services.

The major portion of this sector is made up of establishments that rent, lease, or otherwise allow the use of their own assets by others. This sector also includes establishments primarily engaged in

managing real estate for others, selling, renting or buying real estate for others, and appraising real estate. The main components of this sector are the real estate lessor industries; equipment lessor industries, including motor vehicles, computers, and consumer goods; and lessors of non-financial intangible assets, except copyrighted works.

From October 2008 to October 2009, employment in financial activities decreased by 1.4 percent. Within this category, finance and insurance employment also decreased 1.4 percent, real estate employment decreased by 1.3 percent, insurance carrier employment decreased 0.8 percent, and credit intermediation employment decreased 0.4 percent. As shown in Figure 2-18 financial activities employment has been below last year's levels the entire year.

Figure 2 - 18




Professional & Business Services. The professional and technical services super-sector is made up of three parts: the professional, scientific, and technical services sector; the management of companies and enterprises sector; and the administrative/support and waste management/remediation services sector. The professional, scientific, and technical services sector consists of establishments that specialize in performing professional, scientific, and technical activities for others. These establishments perform activities that include: legal advice and representation; veterinarian services; accounting, bookkeeping, and payroll services; as well as architectural, engineering, and specialized design services. Also included in the professional, scientific, and technical services sector are enterprises that provide services related to computers, consulting, research, advertising, photography, translation, and interpretation. The management of enterprises sector

includes establishments that hold the securities of, or other equity interests in, enterprises for the purpose of owning a controlling interest or influencing management decisions, as well as establishments that administer sections of the enterprise that normally undertake the strategic or organizational planning and decision making role. Government is not included in this sector.

The administrative/support and waste management/remediation services sector is made up of establishments that perform routine support activities for the day-to-day operations of other organizations. These essential activities are often undertaken in-house in many sectors of the economy. Activities performed include office administration, hiring and placing personnel, as well as document preparation and similar clerical services. It also includes solicitation, collection, security and surveillance, cleaning, and waste disposal.

Although professional and business services employment began the year near 2008 levels, employment has declined throughout the year. The result was a year-over-year drop of 9.2 percent. Within this category, employment in administrative services decreased 10.3 percent, employment in professional, scientific, and technical services decreased 9.0 percent, and employment in the management of companies decreased 3.8 percent. Figure 2-19 presents trends in professional and business services employment for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 19




Educational & Health Services. The education and health services super-sector is made up of two parts: the educational services sector and the health care and social assistance sector. Only privately-owned establishments are included here because publicly-

owned establishments that provide education or health services are included in government. The educational services sector is made up of establishments that provide instruction and training in a variety of subjects. This instruction and training is provided by specialized institutions, such as schools, colleges, universities, and training centers. The health care and social assistance sector is made up of establishments that provide health care and social assistance for individuals. The industries in this sector are arranged on a continuum starting with those that provide medical care exclusively, continuing with those that provide health care and social assistance, and finally those that provide only social assistance.

Education and health services employment remained above 2008 levels for most of the year. The result was an overall 1.0 percent gain compared to a year ago. Within this category, hospital employment increased 1.6 percent, while employment in health care and social assistance increased by 0.7 percent. Figure 2-20 presents trends in educational and health services employment for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 20




Leisure & Hospitality Services. The leisure and hospitality super-sector is made up of two parts: the arts, entertainment, and recreation sector, and the accommodation and food services sector. The arts, entertainment, and recreation sector includes a range of establishments that operate facilities or provide services to meet varied cultural, entertainment, and recreational interests. This sector includes establishments that are involved in producing, promoting, or participating in live performances, events, or exhibits intended for public viewing; establishments that preserve and exhibit objects and sites of historical,

cultural, or educational interest; and establishments that operate facilities or provide services that enable patrons to participate in recreational activities or pursue amusement, hobby, and leisure-time interests. The accommodation and food services sector consists of establishments that provide customers with lodging and may prepare meals, snacks, and beverages for immediate consumption. The sector includes both accommodation and food services establishments because the two activities are often combined at the same establishment.

In 2009, employment in leisure and hospitality services began the year above 2008 levels, but dropped below last year's levels by the second quarter and remained below 2008 levels through October. From October 2008 to October 2009 industry employment was down 1.9 percent. Within that sector, employment in amusements and gambling was up 1.0 percent, employment in arts and entertainment was unchanged, while employment in accommodations decreased 2.1 percent, and food services decreased 1.7 percent. Figure 2-21 presents trends in leisure and hospitality employment for 2008 and 2009.


Figure 2 - 21



Other Services. The other services sector consists of establishments engaged in providing services not specifically provided for elsewhere in the North American Industry Classification System. Establishments in this sector are primarily engaged in activities such as equipment and machinery repair; promoting or administering religious activities; grant making; advocacy; and providing dry cleaning and laundry services, personal care services, death care services, pet care services, photofinishing services, temporary parking services, and dating services. Figure 2-22

presents other services employment trends for 2008 and 2009.


Figure 2 - 22



From October 2008 to October 2009, employment in other services increased 2.2 percent. With the exception of July, other services employment remained above 2008 levels through October.

Government. The government sector is made up of publicly-owned establishments. This sector includes federal, state, and local government agencies that administer, oversee, and manage public programs and have executive, legislative, or judicial authority over other institutions. These agencies also set policy, create laws, adjudicate civil and criminal legal cases, and provide for public safety and national defense. Public schools and public hospitals are included in government. Figure 2-23 presents trends in government employment for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 23




Total government employment (civilian only) in Kansas decreased by 0.7 percent from October 2008 to

October 2009. Federal government employment increased 3.1 percent, while state government employment decreased 1.6 percent, and local government employment in Kansas decreased 1.0 percent. Overall government employment began 2009 above 2008 levels and then drifted lower through October. A reduction in government employment typically occurs each summer and is attributable largely to the fact that faculty at public schools and universities are on nine-month appointments.

Farming

Farm employment began the year above 2008 levels, but since midyear has been running below last year's levels through October. Thus, compared to October of 2008, farm employment was down 5.7 percent in 2009. Much of the variation in farm employment during the year is due to the inherently seasonal nature of the industry. Figure 2-24 presents farm employment trends for 2008 and 2009.

Figure 2 - 24



Comparative Employment in the Plains Region

The employment data presented in this section may not be in exact conformity with data presented earlier because the data are compiled from different sources. The information in earlier sections is based on data from the Kansas Department of Labor, Labor Market Information Services, while the information in this section is based on data from the U.S. Department of

Table 2 - 4

	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, 2007-2008							
	KS	IA	MN	Percent Change			SD	Plains
				MO	NE	ND		
Total Nonfarm	0.8 %	0.3 %	(0.5) %	(0.1) %	0.8 %	2.4 %	1.2 %	0.2 %
Construction & Mining	(0.1)	(19.7)	(8.0)	(4.2)	(1.0)	12.7	0.4	(6.0)
Manufacturing	0.8	(0.8)	4.0	(3.6)	0.2	1.1	1.2	0.3
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	0.0	0.2	(1.2)	(1.1)	0.0	2.0	1.2	(0.4)
Wholesale Trade	2.3	1.5	--	0.2	1.9	3.1	2.2	1.0
Retail Trade	(0.5)	(0.2)	(2.1)	(1.4)	--	0.2	0.8	(1.0)
Transportation & Utilities	(1.1)	(0.2)	0.1	(1.6)	(1.6)	6.4	2.3	(0.5)
Information	(5.1)	(0.6)	(0.5)	1.4	(3.1)	(2.6)	(1.4)	(1.1)
Financial Activities	(1.3)	0.4	(1.3)	(0.4)	0.6	2.5	0.6	(0.4)
Professional & Business Services	2.1	0.1	(1.1)	1.6	1.4	3.1	0.7	0.7
Educational & Health Services	3.0	1.8	3.4	2.1	3.0	2.2	3.3	2.7
Leisure & Hospitality	1.1	(1.2)	(1.1)	0.0	0.6	1.8	0.5	(0.2)
Other Services	2.5	--	0.7	0.7	0.9	1.3	0.6	0.9
Government	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.4	1.0	0.5	0.7	1.0
Federal	1.6	0.5	0.9	2.0	1.3	(1.0)	0.9	1.3
State	(0.9)	2.3	1.9	1.1	0.3	0.9	0.6	1.1
Local	1.1	0.9	0.5	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.4	1.0

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. In all cases, this report presents the most recent data available. Table 2-4 above presents employment growth rates for Kansas and the Plains region from 2007 to 2008. The Plains region encompasses seven states, including Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Table 2-5 below shows employment growth rates for Kansas and the Plains region from October 2008 to October 2009.

Employment by Industry Type

Total non-farm employment in Kansas increased at a rate of 0.8 percent in 2008, compared to a 0.2 percent

growth rate for the Plains region as a whole. North Dakota experienced the highest rate of increase at 2.4 percent, followed by South Dakota at 1.2 percent, and Kansas and Nebraska at 0.8 percent, each.

Over the time period October 2008 to October 2009, total non-farm employment in Kansas decreased at a 4.1 percent rate compared to a 3.1 percent decrease for the Plains region as a whole. North Dakota had the smallest decrease in the region at 0.4 percent, followed by South Dakota at 1.9 percent, and Nebraska at 2.4 percent. Changes in Kansas employment that bettered the regional average include: other services with a 2.2 percent increase; leisure and hospitality services with a 1.9 percent decrease; information with a 2.9 percent

Table 2 - 5

	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, October 2008-October 2009							
	KS	IA	MN	Percent Change			SD	Plains
				MO	NE	ND		
Total Nonfarm	(4.1) %	(2.8) %	(4.0) %	(2.8) %	(2.4) %	(0.4) %	(1.9) %	(3.1) %
Construction & Mining	(9.0)	(11.9)	(12.2)	(13.9)	(3.2)	2.0	(8.1)	(10.3)
Manufacturing	(13.6)	(10.1)	(12.3)	(8.5)	(10.2)	(10.9)	(12.0)	(11.0)
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	(3.2)	(1.6)	(4.4)	(2.5)	(3.1)	(0.8)	(1.7)	(2.9)
Information	(2.9)	(1.8)	(4.2)	(2.3)	(4.4)	(2.7)	(2.9)	(3.0)
Financial Activities	(1.4)	0.6	(0.9)	(1.9)	(1.3)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
Professional & Business Services	(9.2)	(6.3)	(7.7)	(3.8)	(8.2)	(2.3)	(3.7)	(6.3)
Educational & Health Services	1.0	2.0	2.6	2.3	3.3	2.7	1.9	2.3
Leisure & Hospitality Services	(1.9)	0.1	(3.5)	(2.7)	(1.9)	0.6	(2.7)	(2.2)
Other Services	2.2	(3.3)	(2.5)	(4.9)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(0.6)	(2.6)
Government	(0.7)	(0.2)	(0.5)	0.8	2.1	1.6	2.9	0.3

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

decrease; and construction and mining with a 9.0 percent decrease. Industries in Kansas that realized employment changes worse than the regional average include education and health services with a 1.0 percent increase; government with a 0.7 percent decrease; financial activities with a 1.4 percent decrease; trade, transportation, and utilities with a 3.2 percent decrease; professional and business services with a 9.2 percent decrease; and manufacturing with a 13.6 percent decrease.

Construction & Mining. Construction and mining employment in the Plains region decreased 6.0 percent in 2008. North Dakota led the region with 12.7 percent increases, followed by South Dakota with a 0.4 percent increase, and Kansas with a 0.1 percent decrease. From October 2008 to October 2009, construction and mining employment in the Plains region dropped by 10.3 percent. North Dakota led the region with a 2.0 percent increase, followed by Nebraska with a 3.2 percent decrease, South Dakota with an 8.1 percent decrease, and Kansas with a 9.0 percent decrease.

Manufacturing. Manufacturing employment in the Plains region increased by 0.3 percent in 2008. Minnesota led the region with a 4.0 percent increase, followed by South Dakota with a 1.2 percent increase, North Dakota with a 1.1 percent increase, and Kansas with a 0.8 percent increase. From October 2008 to October 2009, manufacturing employment in the region plummeted 11.0 percent. Missouri had the smallest decline in the region with an 8.5 percent decrease, followed by Iowa with a 10.1 percent decrease, and Nebraska with a 10.1 percent decrease. Kansas had the largest drop in manufacturing employment in the region at 13.6 percent.

Trade, Transportation, & Utilities. In 2008, trade, transportation, and utilities employment decreased by 0.4 percent in the region. North Dakota recorded the largest employment increase at 2.0 percent, followed by South Dakota at 1.2 percent, and Iowa at 0.2 percent. Kansas and Nebraska followed with no change in trade, transportation, and utilities employment. From October 2008 to October 2009, trade, transportation, and utilities employment in the region decreased by 2.9 percent. North Dakota had the smallest decrease in the seven-state region with a 0.8 percent decrease, followed by Iowa with a 1.6 percent decrease, and South Dakota with a 1.7 percent

decrease. Kansas ranked sixth in the region with a 3.2 percent decrease.

Information. In 2008, information employment in the Plains region decreased by 1.1 percent. Missouri led the region with a 1.4 percent increase, followed by Minnesota with a 0.5 percent decrease, and Iowa with a 0.6 percent decrease. Between October 2008 and October 2009, information employment in the Plains region decreased by 3.0 percent. Iowa experienced the smallest decrease at 1.8 percent, followed by Missouri with a 2.3 percent decrease, North Dakota with a 2.7 percent decrease, followed by Kansas and South Dakota at 2.9 percent each.

Financial Activities. In 2008, financial activities employment decreased 0.4 percent across the region as a whole. North Dakota led the region with a 2.5 percent growth rate, followed by Nebraska and South Dakota with 0.6 percent growth rates each. Kansas and Minnesota tied for last in the region with 1.3 percent decreases each. Between October 2008 and October 2009, financial activities employment in the Plains region decreased 1.0 percent. Iowa led the region with 0.6 percent growth rate, followed by Minnesota with a 0.9 percent decrease, and North Dakota and South Dakota with 1.0 percent decreases each. Kansas ranked sixth in the seven-state region with a 1.4 percent decrease.

Professional & Business Services. Professional and business services employment increased by 0.7 percent in the region as a whole in 2008. North Dakota led the region with 3.1 percent growth, followed by Kansas with 2.1 percent growth, and Missouri with 1.6 percent growth. Between October 2008 and October 2009, the region as a whole experienced a 6.3 percent decline in employment in this category. North Dakota had the smallest decrease in the region at 2.3 percent, followed by South Dakota with a 3.7 percent decrease, and Missouri with a 3.8 percent decrease. Kansas ranked last with a 9.2 percent drop in professional and business employment.

Educational & Health Services. Educational and health services employment in the Plains region as a whole increased 2.7 percent in 2008. Minnesota led the region with a 3.4 percent growth rate, followed by South Dakota with a 3.3 percent growth rate, and Kansas with 3.0 percent growth. Between October 2008 and October 2009, the region as a whole experienced a 2.3 percent growth rate in educational

and health services employment. Nebraska led the region with 3.3 percent growth, followed by North Dakota with 2.7 percent growth, and Minnesota with 2.6 percent growth. Kansas ranked last in the seven-state region with 1.0 percent growth.

Leisure & Hospitality Services. In 2008, leisure and hospitality services employment in the Plains region as a whole decreased at a 0.2 percent rate. North Dakota experienced the highest growth at 1.8 percent, followed by Kansas with 1.1 percent growth, and Nebraska with 0.6 percent growth. Between October 2008 and October 2009, leisure and hospitality services employment decreased by 2.2 percent in the region. North Dakota recorded the highest growth at 0.6 percent, followed by Iowa with a 0.1 percent growth rate, and Kansas and Nebraska with 1.9 percent decreases each.

Other Services. Other services employment increased at a 0.9 percent rate in the Plains region in 2008. Kansas led the region in other services employment growth with a 2.5 percent increase, followed by North Dakota with a 1.3 percent increase, and Nebraska with a 0.9 percent increase. Between October 2008 and October 2009, the region as a whole experienced 2.6 percent decrease in other services employment. Kansas had the largest increase in the region with 2.2 percent growth, followed by South Dakota a 0.6 percent decrease, and Minnesota with a 2.5 percent decrease in other services employment.

Government. Government employment in the Plains region increased 1.0 percent in 2008. Missouri led the region with a 1.4 percent growth rate, followed by Iowa with a 1.2 percent growth rate, Nebraska with 1.0 percent growth, and Kansas and Minnesota with 0.8 percent growth rate each. Between October 2008 and October 2009, government employment in the Plains region increased by 0.3 percent. South Dakota led the region with a 2.9 percent increase, followed by Nebraska with a 2.1 percent increase, and North Dakota with a 1.6 percent increase. Kansas ranked last with a 0.7 percent decrease in government employment.

Kansas Personal Income Review

Personal income is defined as the income received by, or on behalf of, all residents. It consists of income

from all sources received by persons, which includes participation in production, both government and business transfer payments, and government interest, which is treated as a transfer payment. "Persons" is defined as individuals, nonprofit institutions primarily serving individuals, private noninsured welfare funds, and private trust funds.

Personal income is calculated by summing its components, which include salaries and wages, other labor income, proprietors' income, personal rental income, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and personal transfer payments, less personal contributions for social insurance.

A lag of eight months occurs before final estimates of state personal income for the previous calendar year are released. Accordingly, 2008 estimates of Kansas personal income were not available until August 2009. Kansas personal income totaled \$108.8 billion in 2008, which is a 4.8 percent increase over 2007. Personal data for 2009 will be reported in next year's report.

Personal Income by Source

Salaries and wages accounted for over half of Kansas personal income and increased at a 3.7 percent rate in 2008. The second largest source of personal income in Kansas is dividends, interest, and rent. Dividends, interest, and rent increased by 0.1 percent. Other labor income increased 4.5 percent in 2008. This category consists largely of employer payments for health insurance and other benefits. Other labor income reached a level of approximately \$14.0 billion in 2008, indicating the importance of fringe benefits in personal income growth.

Proprietors' income increased 14.4 percent in 2008. Specifically, farm proprietors' income rose by 128.7 percent in 2008, while non-farm proprietors' income increased 1.9 percent. Transfers increased at a 7.9 percent rate in 2008, while personal contributions for social insurance increased 4.7 percent. A significant portion of Kansas personal income is paid to Kansans from out-of-state sources. This portion is particularly noticeable for residents who live in Johnson and Wyandotte Counties and work in Missouri. This significance is reflected in the residence adjustment of \$1,070.4 million, which increased by 10.3 percent in 2008.

Nonfarm Earnings by Industry

Increases in earnings were realized in a number of industries in 2008:

- Mining (16.9 percent),
- Management of Companies & Enterprises (13.6 percent),
- Educational Services (9.9 percent),
- Wholesale Trade (7.8 percent),
- Professional & Technical Services (6.9 percent),
- Utilities (6.2 percent),
- Health Care & Social Assistance (6.0 percent),
- Government & Government Enterprises (5.1 percent),
- Other Services, except Public Administration (4.4 percent),
- Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation (3.9 percent),
- Finance & Insurance (3.4 percent),
- Administrative & Waste Services (3.0 percent),
- Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities, & Other (2.9 percent),
- Accommodation & Food Services (2.9 percent),
- Transportation & Warehousing (1.7 percent),
- Retail Trade (1.7 percent), and
- Manufacturing (1.7 percent).

In 2008, the only decreases in earnings were realized by:

- Real Estate & Rental—Leasing (-1.7 percent),
- Construction (-3.0 percent), and
- Information (-3.2 percent).

Appendix A presents a breakdown of Kansas personal income and growth rates by industry for 2007 and 2008.

Kansas Personal Income Estimates

Personal income in Kansas is estimated to have decreased by 2.7 percent in 2009. Table 2-6 presents Kansas personal income in 2008. In addition, the table shows the estimates for 2009 and the forecasts for 2010.

In 2009, salaries and wages, which are the largest component of Kansas personal income, are estimated to have decreased 4.3 percent, while other labor income increased 1.0 percent, and overall proprietors' income declined 10.1 percent. Specifically, farm proprietors' income dropped by 28.5 percent, while non-farm proprietors' income declined 5.5 percent. During the same time frame, dividends, interest, and rent declined by 8.6 percent, while transfer payments increased by 13.5 percent. Finally, the residence adjustment for income earned by Kansas residents from sources outside the state fell 15.7 percent.

Table 2 - 6

Kansas Personal Income					
2008 Actual, 2009 Estimate & 2010 Forecast					
<i>(Dollars in Millions)</i>					
	2008	2009	2010	Percent Change	
	Actual	Estimate	Forecast	2008-2009	2009-2010
Salaries & Wages Disbursements	\$ 57,489	\$ 55,010	\$ 55,044	(4.3) %	0.1 %
Other Labor Income	14,036	14,175	14,099	1.0	(0.5)
Proprietors' Income:	11,284	10,148	10,507	(10.1)	3.5
Farm	2,226	1,591	2,133	(28.5)	34.0
Nonfarm	9,058	8,557	8,374	(5.5)	(2.1)
Dividends, Interest, & Rent	18,442	16,862	16,984	(8.6)	0.7
Transfers	15,539	17,638	17,927	13.5	1.6
Residence Adjustment	1,070	903	916	(15.7)	1.4
Less: Social Insurance	9,082	8,894	8,893	(2.1)	(0.0)
Total Personal Income	108,779	105,842	106,583	(2.7)	0.7

For 2010, Kansas personal income is forecasted to rebound slightly at a 0.7 percent rate. Salaries and wages are expected to increase slightly at a 0.1 percent rate, while other labor income growth is expected to decrease 0.5 percent. Proprietors' income growth is expected to increase 3.5 percent, while growth in dividends, interest, and rent is expected to increase 0.7 percent. Transfer payments are expected to grow at a 1.6 percent rate in 2010.

Comparative Personal Income

Personal income growth in 2008 for Kansas was 4.8 percent, higher than the growth that occurred in the Plains region at 4.6 percent, and that of the U.S. at 2.9 percent. Within the Plains region, North Dakota was the fastest growing state in the nation with 9.3 percent growth, followed by South Dakota, the third fastest growing state in the nation, with 7.1 percent growth, Iowa, the sixth fastest growing state, with 5.4 percent growth, and Kansas, the ninth fastest growing state, with 4.8 percent growth. Appendix B presents a listing of comparative state personal income and per capita personal income data for all states for 2006 through 2008.

In 2008, Kansas per capita personal income growth at 3.8 percent was lower than that of the Plains region at 3.9 percent, but higher than that of the U.S. at 2.0 percent. Again, North Dakota led the nation and the Plains region with an 8.7 percent increase in per capita personal income, followed by South Dakota, which was third in the nation and the region with a 6.0 percent increase, followed by Iowa, which was sixth in the nation with a 4.8 percent increase, and Kansas which was tenth with 3.8 percent growth.

Personal Income. Nationally, Kansas ranked 31st in total personal income, while Minnesota ranked 16th, Missouri ranked 20th, Iowa ranked 30th, Nebraska ranked 36th, South Dakota ranked 47th, and North Dakota ranked 50th in 2008. In terms of personal income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 4.6 percent growth, was ranked first out of the eight statistical regions. Nationally, Kansas ranked ninth at 4.8 percent, while North Dakota ranked first at 9.3 percent, South Dakota ranked third at 7.1 percent, Iowa ranked sixth at 5.4 percent, Missouri ranked 11th at 4.3 percent, Nebraska ranked 13th at 4.1 percent, and Minnesota ranked 16th at 3.8 percent.

Per Capita Personal Income. In 2008, the Plains region's average per capita personal income at \$39,115 lagged behind the national average of \$40,208, and was ranked fourth out of the eight regions. The New England region had the highest per capita personal income of \$49,146, followed by the Mideast region at \$47,001, and the Far West region at \$42,845.

In 2008, Kansas ranked 24th in the U.S. in per capita personal income at \$38,820. The Plains region state that ranked highest nationally was Minnesota's 12th place with a dollar value of \$43,037. North Dakota was ranked 21st at \$39,870, Nebraska was ranked 23rd at \$39,150, South Dakota was 26th at \$38,661, Iowa was 29th at \$37,402, and Missouri was ranked 30th at \$36,631.

In terms of per capita personal income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 3.9 percent, exceeded the national average of 2.0 percent, and ranked first out of the eight regions in 2008. In 2008 Kansas ranked tenth in per capita personal income growth at 3.8 percent, while North Dakota ranked first at 8.7 percent, South Dakota ranked third at 6.0 percent, Iowa ranked sixth at 4.8 percent, Missouri ranked 11th at 3.7 percent, Nebraska ranked 13th at 3.3 percent, and Minnesota ranked 14th at 3.0 percent.

Disposable Personal Income. Disposable personal income is defined as the amount equal to personal income minus personal taxes. In other words, disposable income is the income available for personal use. Nationally, Kansas ranked 31st in disposable personal income. In 2008, other states in the region that ranked higher than Kansas include Minnesota ranked at 19th, Missouri at 20th, and Iowa at 30th. In 2008, states in the region that ranked lower than Kansas include Nebraska ranked at 36th, South Dakota at 47th, and North Dakota at 49th.

In terms of disposable income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 5.5 percent growth, exceeded the national average of 3.9 percent and was first out of the eight statistical regions. Within the Plains region, North Dakota led the nation with a 10.2 percent growth rate, followed by South Dakota, which was third in the nation with an 8.1 percent growth rate, followed by Iowa with a 6.3 percent growth rate, Kansas with a 5.6 percent growth rate, Missouri with a 5.1 percent growth rate, Nebraska with a 5.0 percent growth rate, and Minnesota with a 4.6 percent growth

rate. Appendix C presents comparative state total and per capita disposable personal income data for the U.S., the eight regions, and each state for 2006 through 2008.

Per Capita Disposable Personal Income. The Plains region's average per capita disposable personal income of \$34,830 lagged behind the national average of \$35,501 in 2008. The Plains ranked fourth regionally. New England had the highest regional per capita personal income at \$42,034, followed by the Mideast region at \$40,279, and the Far West region at \$37,667. Of the Plains region states, Minnesota ranked 14th in per capita personal income at \$37,672, followed by North Dakota at \$36,268, South Dakota at \$35,542, Nebraska at \$35,130, Kansas at \$34,496, Iowa at \$33,656, and Missouri at \$33,733.

In terms of per capita disposable personal income growth, the Plains region averaged 4.7 percent, which exceeded the national average of 2.9 percent. It ranked first out of the eight regions nationally. Of the

Plains region states, North Dakota led the nation with 9.6 percent growth, followed by South Dakota, which was third in the nation with 6.9 percent growth, Iowa with 5.6 percent growth, Kansas with 4.7 percent growth, Missouri with 4.5 percent growth, Nebraska with 4.2 percent growth, and Minnesota with 3.9 percent growth.

Per Capita Personal Income Trends. Kansas per capita personal income in 2008 was \$38,820, which is a 3.8 percent increase from the 2007 level. This increase is above the 2.0 percent growth rate for the U.S. as a whole, but below the 3.9 percent growth rate for the Plains region. Kansas per capita income in 2008 was 0.8 percent below the Plains region's average of \$39,115, and 3.5 percent below the national average. Over the past ten years Kansas per capita income, as a percentage of the national average, has ranged from a high of 96.5 percent in 2008, to a low of 93.5 percent in 2005. Table 2-7 presents historical per capita personal income data for Kansas, the Plains region, and the U.S. from 1997 through 2008.

Table 2 - 7

Per Capita Personal Income, 1997-2008								
Kansas, Plains Region, & U.S.								
Year	Kansas	Plains Region	U.S.	Percentage Change from Prior Year			Kansas as a Percentage of:	
				Kansas	Plains Region	U.S.	Plains Region	U.S.
1997	24,504	24,692	25,654	-- %	-- %	-- %	99.2 %	95.5 %
1998	26,032	26,299	27,258	6.2	6.5	6.3	99.0	95.5
1999	26,826	27,231	28,333	3.1	3.5	3.9	98.5	94.7
2000	28,479	29,018	30,318	6.2	6.6	7.0	98.1	93.9
2001	29,670	29,896	31,149	4.2	3.0	2.7	99.2	95.3
2002	29,759	30,496	31,470	0.3	2.0	1.0	97.6	94.6
2003	30,822	31,667	32,284	3.6	3.8	2.6	97.3	95.5
2004	31,918	33,154	33,899	3.6	4.7	5.0	96.3	94.2
2005	33,130	34,096	35,447	3.8	2.8	4.6	97.2	93.5
2006	35,756	35,926	37,728	7.9	5.4	6.4	99.5	94.8
2007	37,389	37,647	39,430	4.6	4.8	4.5	99.3	94.8
2008	38,820	39,115	40,208	3.8	3.9	2.0	99.2	96.5

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Chapter 3

Local & Regional Employment & Income

Major Labor Market Employment

The economy of Kansas is generally concentrated in urbanized areas, each with a similar economic base. For statistical purposes, these geographic areas include four metropolitan areas and 11 selected counties. The metropolitan areas include the Wichita metropolitan area (Butler, Harvey and Sedgwick Counties), the Topeka metropolitan area (Shawnee County), the Lawrence metropolitan area (Douglas County) and the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area (Franklin, Johnson, Leavenworth, Linn, Miami, and Wyandotte Counties). The selected counties each contain a city that is the major economic base for the region. In addition, the city has a population that is in excess of 12,000 people and constitutes at least 50.0 percent of the total county population. The 11 selected counties and associated cities are Barton County (Great Bend), Crawford County (Pittsburg), Ellis County (Hays), Finney County (Garden City), Ford County (Dodge City), Lyon County (Emporia), McPherson County (McPherson), Montgomery County (Coffeyville-Independence), Reno County (Hutchinson), Riley County (Manhattan), and Saline County (Salina). It should be kept in mind that employment estimates reported in this section are based on data through October 2009, the most recent data available when this report was prepared.

Employment by Place of Residence

Employment by place of residence is based on a sample survey of households. From the sample survey, the civilian labor force is determined. The civilian labor force comprises all individuals 16 years of age and older classified as employed or unemployed. This number is then used as the basis from which employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rates are derived.

From October 2008 to October 2009, the civilian labor force increased in three of the state's four major labor markets. The labor force increased 3.0 percent in the Kansas City, Kansas area, 2.6 percent in the Wichita

area, and 2.0 percent in the Topeka area, while the labor force declined 1.1 percent in the Lawrence area.

However, the level of employment increased in only two of the state's four major labor markets. Employment increased by 1.1 percent in the Topeka area and 0.6 percent in the Kansas City, Kansas area, while employment decreased by 2.1 percent in the Lawrence area and 1.2 percent in the Wichita area.

The unemployment rate increased in all four of the state's metropolitan labor markets from October 2008 to October 2009. The unemployment rate rose from 4.3 percent to 7.9 percent in the Wichita area; from 5.0 percent to 7.2 percent in the Kansas City, Kansas area; from 3.7 percent to 4.7 percent in the Lawrence area; and from 5.2 percent to 6.0 percent in the Topeka area.

Employment by Place of Work

Employment by place of work is determined by compiling data primarily from information obtained from businesses covered by the unemployment insurance program. Employment by place of work data are then categorized by industry type. The two major categories are the goods producing industries and the services producing industries. The following section first presents employment by place of work for Wichita, Topeka, and the Kansas City, Kansas areas. Because of federal budget reductions implemented by the Bureau of Labor Statistics at the U.S. Department of Labor, data are no longer being tabulated for smaller metropolitan areas such as Lawrence. The data for each of the other 11 counties are presented in the following section.

Wichita Metropolitan Area. Based on place of work data, employment in all industries in the Wichita metropolitan area declined 4.3 percent in 2009. Employment in the goods producing industries dropped by 16.1 percent, while employment in the service producing industries increased by 0.1 percent. Notably, employment in transportation equipment manufacturing generally plummeted 23.4 percent and employment in aerospace products manufacturing

specifically plunged 23.5 percent. Table 3-1 presents employment in the Wichita metropolitan area for 2008 and 2009.

Table 3 - 1

Wichita Metropolitan Area Employment			
Butler, Harvey & Sedgwick Counties			
October 2008-October 2009			
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>% Chg.</u>
Place of Residence Data			
Civilian Labor Force	320,040	328,502	2.6
Employment	306,289	302,635	(1.2)
Unemployment	13,751	25,867	88.1
Unemployment Rate	4.3	7.9	3.6
Place of Work Data			
Total Nonfarm	311,500	298,100	(4.3)
Total Private	268,700	255,400	(4.9)
Goods Producing	85,100	71,400	(16.1)
Natural Res. & Const.	16,800	16,100	(4.2)
Manufacturing	68,300	55,300	(19.0)
Durable Goods	60,500	49,200	(18.7)
Machinery	5,700	4,900	(14.0)
Trans. Equipment	42,300	32,400	(23.4)
Aerospace Prod.	42,100	32,200	(23.5)
Service Providing Indus.	226,400	226,700	0.1
Private Service Providing	183,600	184,000	0.2
Trade, Trans. & Util.	51,700	51,000	(1.4)
Wholesale Trade	11,100	11,300	1.8
Retail Trade	32,100	31,300	(2.5)
General Mdse.	7,500	7,300	(2.7)
Trans., Whse., & Util.	8,500	8,400	(1.2)
Information	5,900	5,100	(13.6)
Financial Activities	11,700	11,600	(0.9)
Prof. & Bus. Services	31,600	31,000	(1.9)
Prof., Scientific & Tech.	8,800	8,500	(3.4)
Admin. & Waste	18,900	18,800	(0.5)
Employment Services	5,700	5,000	(12.3)
Educ. & Health Services	44,000	46,100	4.8
Health & Social Assist.	37,700	39,300	4.2
Hospitals	9,800	9,800	--
Leisure & Hospitality	28,000	28,400	1.4
Accom. & Food	24,500	24,200	(1.2)
Food Services	22,100	22,200	0.5
Other Services	10,700	10,800	0.9
Government	42,800	42,700	(0.2)
Federal	5,800	6,100	5.2
State	6,100	5,800	(4.9)
Local	30,900	30,800	(0.3)
Farm Employment	5,000	4,700	(6.0)

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

Employment decreased in five of the eight service producing industries in the Wichita area during 2009.

Specifically, employment in information decreased 13.6 percent; professional and business services decreased 1.9 percent; trade, transportation, and utilities employment decreased 1.4 percent; financial activities employment decreased 0.9 percent; and government employment decreased 0.2 percent. However, employment levels did increase in education and health services (4.8 percent), leisure and hospitality (1.4 percent), and other services (0.9 percent). Farm employment in the Wichita metropolitan area fell by 6.0 percent in 2009.

The Wichita area economy contracted significantly during 2009. Layoffs in the aircraft manufacturing industry peaked in July and seemed to have stabilized toward year's end. These job losses have had a tremendous effect on consumer spending and are expected to have a significant dampening effect on year end holiday spending.

According to Wichita State University's (WSU) Center for Economic Development and Business Research (CEDBR), Wichita's economy outperformed the U.S. economy in 2008. Wichita's employment grew at 1.9 percent last year, while the nation declined 0.4 percent. Early in 2009, Wichita's growth expectations waned as the recession began to affect the area. Although Wichita's decline so far has been less severe than that of the nation, continued employment loss is expected through 2009 for an annual average decline of 1.7 percent, with a slowing annual average decline expected in 2010 of 1.2 percent.

Similarly, according to WSU's Center for Real Estate, the Wichita housing market has fared better than the nation as a whole. It has been slow, but steady, with stable inventories. Home prices in Wichita have wavered only slightly, compared to the nation.

However, the aircraft manufacturing industry has not fared as well. Within the aircraft industry, local manufacturers, such as Bombardier, Cessna, and Hawker Beechcraft have had declines in backlogs and orders this year. Boeing and Spirit have also been affected by delays in the 747-8 and 787 Dreamliner programs. In addition, the global economic climate has forced the need to layoff a significant number of workers. These order backlogs and layoffs have, in turn, negatively affected other areas of Wichita's economy.

Overall, the CEDBR expects the labor market in the Wichita area to continue to be weak, with employment

decreasing by 1.7 percent in 2009. Wichita's employment is projected to decrease 1.2 percent in 2010, losing 3,550 jobs. The production sectors will be driving Wichita's decline with a decrease of 5.3 percent, or 4,125 jobs, followed by the trade sectors, which are expected to decline 0.9 percent, for a net loss of 450 jobs.

Wichita is one of five major aviation clusters in the world along with Seattle, Dallas, Montreal and Toulouse, France. Each cluster does at least \$10 billion a year or more in business. Of the five clusters, Wichita has the greatest exposure in the business jet segment, making it more vulnerable to downturns. Manufacturers here are in the smaller end of the business jet and jetliner markets, areas that decline faster because they are more sensitive to pricing. Wichita's aircraft manufacturing jobs account for more than one-half of the local economy's manufacturing sector. The industry can provide a tremendous boost to the local economy when times are good, but can be potentially disastrous when market conditions are poor. The aviation industry is important not only to Wichita, but Kansas as a whole because manufacturing accounts for nearly one-quarter of all jobs in the Wichita Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA).

According to the CEDBR, manufacturing accounted for 21.9 percent of all jobs in the Wichita MSA during 2008. About 88 percent of manufacturing jobs are in the durable goods sub-sector and dominated by jobs in aviation manufacturing. About 12.0 percent of manufacturing jobs are in the non-durable goods sub-sector, dominated by jobs in food processing. Payroll earnings for the manufacturing industry totaled approximately \$3.89 billion in 2008, with average earnings per job of \$57,928. The aviation manufacturing (transportation equipment) sector accounted for 61.0 percent of manufacturing jobs, with payroll for 2008 totaling more than \$2.7 billion and average earnings per job of \$65,312.

According to CEDBR, each aerospace job generates approximately 3.9 total jobs throughout the state based on both the firm's and the employee's spending. Each aerospace wage dollar generates approximately \$2.60 in the state based on both the firm's and the employee's spending. There have been approximately 13,000 jobs lost at Hawker Beechcraft, Cessna Aircraft and Bombardier Learjet since November 2008. Cessna employs approximately 8,000 workers in the

Wichita area, Spirit Aerosystems employs approximately 10,000 workers, Hawker Beechcraft employs approximately 7,000 workers, Boeing employs approximately 3,000 workers, and Bombardier employs approximately 2,000 workers in the Wichita area.

According to *The 2004-2014 Kansas Occupational Outlook*, manufacturing jobs in south-central Kansas are expected to increase by 16,170 between 2004 and 2014. These jobs are expected to have an annual salary of at least \$40,000. In an effort to fulfill that need, ground was broken for the Kansas Technical Training Initiative, a \$54.0 million project to build an aviation technical training center in Wichita. Sedgwick County has issued bonds to cover the cost of construction, using federal and state grants to pay off the debt. The facility is scheduled to open in early 2010. The 107,000 square-foot training center will consolidate programs from the area's four community and technical colleges. The center will enroll approximately 500 students in a manufacturing program and approximately 100 students in an avionics program. The design includes an assessment center, aviation center, and manufacturing center. Almost 22.0 percent of Wichita's jobs—double the national average—are in manufacturing.

On a positive note, Wichita is ranked sixth in Forbes.com's 100-city list of America's "Best Bang-For-The-Buck" Cities. Wichita ranks high in housing affordability, travel time, real estate taxes and home vacancies, but falls into the middle of the pack on unemployment and the three-year job forecast. Forbes measured metropolitan area foreclosure rates, travel times, unemployment rates and home vacancies for the rankings, also factoring in Moody's Economy.com forecast for job growth from 2009 to 2012.

Nevertheless, Boeing eliminated about 800 jobs—about a quarter of its Wichita work force—during 2009. Boeing's layoffs affected managers as well as hourly and salaried positions. The company employs about 3,000 people in Wichita in a variety of programs. Besides the 767 international tanker program, Boeing works on global transport and executive system programs for the U.S. executive fleet, (such as Air Force One), B-52 refueling systems support, mission planning, engineering support for the Airborne Laser program and the Boeing 747-8, and integrated logistics support.

Boeing successfully protested the award of the KC-X tanker contract to the Northrop Grumman team. The Government Accountability Office (GAO) report said the Air Force failed to evaluate both proposals on the same merits. At year's end Northrop Grumman announced that it would no longer be interested in bidding on the tanker contract unless significant changes are made in the Department of Defense's revised request for proposal criteria. This is the third attempt to replace the Air Force's aging fleet of aerial tankers. Prior attempts have been plagued by scandal and controversy. A Northrop-Airbus team was awarded the contract a year ago, but Boeing appealed the decision, and the GAO agreed that the competition was flawed. In addition, some in Congress do not think a military contract should go to a foreign company. The delay in a bid to replace the Air Force's aerial refueling tankers has had a significant impact. The contract could be worth \$100 billion over the next 30 years. Work on tanker programs for Japan and Italy wound down in 2009. Certification, flight test and development activities also concluded in 2009. Three years ago, the plan was to shift employees assigned to the international tanker programs to the U.S. tanker program. According to Boeing, some finishing work on U.S. Air Force aerial refueling tankers will be done in Wichita if the company wins the contract. However, the company indicated that it could shift finishing work from Wichita to another location to lower costs. If Boeing is ultimately awarded the contract, it could result in 300 to 500 jobs at Boeing Wichita and another 500 jobs for Wichita suppliers.

Significant production delays with Boeing's 787 Dreamliner and 747-8 programs are beginning to be felt in the Wichita area. Boeing originally scheduled the 787's first test flight for the fall of 2007. But production problems have forced the company to postpone trial flights by more than two years. The plane's delays have cost Boeing billions of dollars in expenses related to production delays and penalties for breaking contract obligations to customers. The company has also been experiencing significant delays and cost overruns in its 747-8 program. The new model of the 747 jumbo jet was expected to be flight ready ahead of the troubled 787 Dreamliner; however, the first new 747-8 will not take flight until 2010. Flight tests will follow and first delivery of the plane is now planned for late 2010. Spirit AeroSystems in Wichita builds the forward fuselage section and nacelles on the 747.

Machinist union members at Bombardier Learjet overwhelmingly ratified the company's three-year contract in October. Ninety-five percent of the members voting approved the offer. The union represents nearly 900 hourly employees in Wichita. The new contract includes wage increases of 2.0 percent the first year and 3.0 percent in each of the next two years. It increases pensions by \$6 to \$50 a month for each year worked. And it retains employees' share in the cost of health insurance for the first two years at 15.0 percent of the cost. In 2012, that share increases to 20.0 percent. It also includes a new plan with a 15.0 percent employee contribution. The contract also increases the number of weeks workers are entitled to severance pay if they are laid off as a result of outsourcing or offloading and it extends recall rights. Bombardier Learjet has shed approximately 800 jobs over the course of the year.

Since last year, Hawker Beechcraft has eliminated over 3,500 jobs and Cessna has reduced its workforce by over 8,000, a more than 50.0 percent reduction. This comes a year after state, city and county officials approved an incentive package worth tens of millions of dollars so Cessna would build its Citation Columbus plant in Wichita. Last April, the Kansas Legislature passed a bill that gave Cessna up to \$33 million to build its new Citation Columbus plant in Wichita. The City of Wichita and Sedgwick County together gave Cessna an additional \$10 million. However, in July Textron Inc., the parent company of Cessna Aircraft Co., announced that it was canceling the Columbus wide-body jet project. The project was to have built 600,000-square-foot final assembly plant at Cessna where the majority of the \$27 million business jet would have been built. Construction costs were expected to be \$200 million and bids were put out in March. Once fully operational the facility was expected to create an additional 1,000 jobs.

A proposal for a state-owned casino south of Wichita has been put on hold while the developers revise their proposal in light of plans by the Wyandotte Nation Indian tribe to locate a facility north of the city. The Chisholm Creek Partnership's proposal seeks to build and operate a \$225 million casino complex in Sumner County about 20 miles south of Wichita. However, that plan has been put on hold because communication between the developers, the Kansas Lottery Gaming Facility Review Board and others raised concerns about the Wyandotte Nation's plans for a casino in

Park City north of the city. The Wyandotte Nation, a tribe from northeast Oklahoma, purchased ten acres of land in Park City in 1992 and has been waiting for more than a decade for U.S. Interior Department officials to agree to allow gaming there. The Wyandotte Nation already has a casino with limited gaming in downtown Kansas City, Kansas. That casino operates under federal law. However, a 2007 state law gives the Kansas Lottery authority to hire private developers to build and operate state-owned casinos.

After two years of discussions among state officials and potential developers, Chisholm Creek is the only remaining bidder for a single Wichita-area contract. The state casino would be in Sumner County because Sedgwick County voters rejected the idea. Chisholm Creek had planned to open its casino in September 2011, with at least 1,300 slot machines and 30 tables for games such as poker and blackjack. Now Chisholm Creek and Kansas Lottery officials must agree on changes to the proposal, which the review board still must approve. Interior Department approval would allow the same limited gaming available at the Wyandotte casino in Kansas City. The tribe would still have to negotiate a compact with the state for full, Las Vegas-style gaming.

Moody's Economy.com anticipates that Wichita manufacturing payrolls will not stabilize until the end of the first quarter of 2010, and there will not be a substantial recovery until 2011. Job losses have slowed in the aerospace industry but have not stopped. The near-term outlook is particularly dour for the area's business jet manufacturers. Not only does credit remain scarce and corporate profitability remain low, but there has been a wave of negative public sentiment against companies purchasing business jets during the recession. This has discouraged many firms, particularly financial institutions, from submitting orders for new jets, and some firms have cancelled their existing orders. Data from the General Aviation Manufacturers Association show that shipments of business jets and small propeller aircraft in the second quarter were 45.8 percent below their year-ago level. The most important question facing aerospace manufacturers is whether to return to their pre-recession production levels in Wichita or invest in countries with higher growth potential, particularly in Asia. If this were to happen, out-migration would accelerate sharply, dealing a blow to the consumer-oriented retail and construction industries.

Retail payrolls will decline into next year as consumer spending remains on a downward trend. Since peaking in the fourth quarter of 2007, retail employment has fallen by nearly 4.5 percent, although the pace of decline slowed modestly in the most recent quarter. However, with the unemployment rate at an all-time high in the metro area and incomes stagnating, it is difficult to envision a robust recovery in spending over the next few months.

Wichita's economy will remain in recession for the remainder of the year before recovering in the first half of 2010.

Topeka Metropolitan Area. Based on place of work data, all industries' employment in the Topeka metropolitan area decreased 2.2 percent in 2009. Table 3-2, on the following page, presents employment in the Topeka metropolitan area for 2008 and 2009.

Employment in the goods producing industries fell 10.3 percent, while employment in the services producing industries decreased by 1.1 percent. Within the goods producing industries, natural resources and construction employment dropped 11.3 percent, while manufacturing employment declined 9.5 percent.

Employment decreased in five of eight service producing industries in the Topeka area during 2009. Specifically, employment in professional and business services declined 5.3 percent; trade, transportation, and utilities employment decreased 2.5 percent; financial activities employment decreased 1.4 percent; leisure and hospitality employment decreased 1.2 percent; and government employment decreased 0.3 while employment in other services increased 1.8 percent; and educational and health services employment increased 0.6 percent; and information employment remained unchanged. Farm employment in the Topeka metropolitan area fell by 4.4 percent in 2009.

Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. is the area's ninth largest employer. The Goodyear facility has been a fixture in Topeka since the federal government built it during World War II to make military tires. Goodyear later took over management and produced its first tire at the facility in March 1945, before buying the facility from the government in 1946. The radial truck tire line at the Topeka facility has been very important for many years, but demand tends to be very cyclical.

Table 3 - 2

Topeka Metropolitan Area Employment			
Shawnee County			
October 2008-October 2009			
	2008	2009	% Chg.
Place of Residence Data			
Civilian Labor Force	122,222	124,647	2.0
Employment	115,921	117,170	1.1
Unemployment	6,301	7,477	18.7
Unemployment Rate	5.2	6.0	0.8
Place of Work Data			
Total Nonfarm	112,500	110,000	(2.2)
Total Private	83,300	80,900	(2.9)
Goods Producing	13,600	12,200	(10.3)
Natural Res. & Const.	6,200	5,500	(11.3)
Manufacturing	7,400	6,700	(9.5)
Non-Durable Goods	5,400	5,400	--
Service Providing Indus.	98,900	97,800	(1.1)
Private Service Providing	69,700	68,700	(1.4)
Trade, Tran. & Utilities	19,800	19,300	(2.5)
Wholesale Trade	3,500	3,500	--
Retail Trade	11,800	11,600	(1.7)
Trans. & Warehouse	4,500	4,200	(6.7)
Information	2,000	2,000	--
Financial Activities	7,300	7,200	(1.4)
Finance & Insurance	6,100	6,000	(1.6)
Prof. & Bus. Services	9,400	8,900	(5.3)
Educ. & Health Services	17,200	17,300	0.6
Health & Social Services	16,800	17,000	1.2
Hospitals	6,000	6,100	1.7
Leisure & Hospitality	8,500	8,400	(1.2)
Accom. & Food Serv.	7,500	7,400	(1.3)
Food Services	6,700	6,500	(3.0)
Other Services	5,500	5,600	1.8
Government	29,200	29,100	(0.3)
Federal	3,200	3,300	3.1
State	9,700	9,400	(3.1)
Local	16,300	16,400	0.6
Farm Employment	4,500	4,300	(4.4)

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

In July, it was announced that Goodyear would invest in significant upgrades to its Topeka facilities. The state provided \$14.2 million in incentives for the Goodyear plant through the Kansas Investments in Major Projects and Comprehensive Training program. The incentives will allow Goodyear to make capital investments of up to \$250 million to modernize operations in the Topeka plant and to retain up to 1,400 Topeka employees for at least ten years.

The Legislature approved incentives in 2002 for Goodyear to retool the Topeka facility. At that time,

the money for the state incentive came from payroll withholding tax from the local Goodyear plant. The incentive money was paid to Goodyear only if it maintained the agreed upon employment at the facility. Additional funding was pledged from a local option quarter-cent sales tax designated for economic development. If Goodyear closes the local Topeka plant before a ten-year period is up, the company has to repay the sales tax incentive. In conjunction with these incentives, the company committed to make significant investments in its Topeka plant to upgrade its manufacturing processes.

Goodyear's new Fuel Max Technology is focused on fuel and tire costs. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. manufactures over-the-road tires, military tires and radial truck tires in Topeka, where it employs about 1,600 people. The Topeka Goodyear plant is still producing tires for Humvees for the U.S. military, even though Michelin has the primary contract for providing the tires to the Pentagon. The starting wage at Goodyear is \$13.08 per hour. After three years, employees are qualified to apply for jobs that pay \$20 to \$24 per hour.

In March ground was broken for a new Home Depot distribution center just south of Topeka. The distribution center is expected to employ 400 to 600 workers and generate about \$11 million annually in direct salaries. Base hourly pay will be between \$10 and \$12 an hour plus benefits, with supervisors paid between \$14 and \$16 per hour plus benefits for supervisors, and managers paid approximately \$50,000 a year plus benefits. The package also includes incentives of \$1,500 for each new full-time job created at the distribution center by the end of 2012, up to \$600,000 to be paid out if it creates 400 jobs. The Home Depot distribution center is being built in the Central Crossing Commerce Park near the Target Distribution Center. The facility is called a rapid deployment center and will service Home Depot retail outlets in the area. The center will encompass 465,000 square feet and is being built on about 40 acres. Home Depot is expected to take occupancy of the facility in early 2010.

Moody's Economy.com anticipates that Topeka's economy will remain in recession through the first quarter of next year before recovery sets in around midyear. The primary constraints on consumer spending have been increasing unemployment and stagnant wages. Unlike many larger metropolitan

areas, the decline in house prices in the Topeka area has been modest.

Manufacturing payrolls are expected to increase slowly over the coming months, but consumer spending is likely to stay lackluster in the near future. Thus, retail payrolls are likely to continue to decline through midyear.

State government payrolls will decline over coming months as the state attempts to close the state's budget shortfall. The chief justice of the Kansas Supreme Court has drafted a plan that would require state court employees to take six weeks of unpaid leave in order to close an \$8 million funding shortfall in the judicial branch.

Lawrence Metropolitan Area. As mentioned above, data on employment by place of work are no longer tabulated for the Lawrence metropolitan area. Thus, Table 3-3 presents only employment by place of residence in the Lawrence metropolitan area for 2008 and 2009.

Table 3 - 3

Lawrence Metropolitan Area Employment Douglas County October 2008-October 2009			
	2008	2009	% Chg.
Place of Residence Data			
Civilian Labor Force	62,369	61,674	(1.1)
Employment	60,041	58,774	(2.1)
Unemployment	2,328	2,900	24.6
Unemployment Rate	3.7	4.7	1.0

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

The Lawrence area's economy continues to be in recession with employment levels down significantly from last year due to major slowdowns in construction and manufacturing. The unemployment rate in the area is nearly double that of three years earlier. Moody's Economy.com expects that employment in the Lawrence area will continue to decline through the first half of 2010 before stabilizing in the latter half of the year.

Although the housing market in the Lawrence area has experienced tremendous growth over the past seven years that pushed average home prices up to nearly \$250,000, an excess supply of single-family homes has been building up causing home prices to fall

significantly. Moody's Economy.com predicts that existing home sales will remain down for the near term with housing prices moderating due to weak demand. As such, the housing market in the Lawrence area is expected to remain soft well into next year.

The primary benefit of KU's presence in Lawrence area is the stability it provides the local economy. The large student body provides a steady source of demand for the retail industry during the academic year, and the university provides residents with many stable, high-paying jobs. The university also gives the metro area a large pool of highly skilled graduates, making the area an attractive destination for high value added companies seeking to relocate or expand into Kansas.

The Lawrence area's emerging bioscience industry will be one of the most important drivers of the local economy moving into the future. Not only has KU made a strong commitment to biological research, but the local government has made a concerted effort to develop the area as a regional hub for bioscience industries. The university recently broke ground on a new \$7.25 million bioscience facility, which is scheduled to open next spring and will add 70 to 100 jobs by the middle of 2010. The project received financing from the City of Lawrence and Douglas County, in addition to KU.

Nevertheless, Moody's Economy.com suggests that the grim near-term outlook for the state budget bodes poorly for KU. University officials have suggested that salary reductions are unlikely and that furloughs are the most feasible method of cutting costs. KU's provost has outlined a plan that would force administrators, faculty, and other staff to take unpaid days off.

Kansas City, Kansas Metropolitan Area. Because of changes in statistical reporting areas, Kansas City, Kansas is now regarded as a separate statistical area. The area includes Franklin, Johnson, Leavenworth, Linn, Miami, and Wyandotte Counties. Employment by place of work in the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area decreased 2.5 percent in 2009. Employment in goods producing industries declined 9.2 percent, while employment in service producing industries decreased 1.5 percent.

Within the goods producing industries, durable good manufacturing employment plunged 13.4 percent,

while natural resources and construction employment fell 9.4 percent, and employment in non-durable goods manufacturing decreased 2.7 percent. Within the service providing industries, employment in education and health services increased 1.6 percent; financial activities employment increased 1.2 percent; other services employment increased 0.6 percent; and leisure and hospitality employment increased 0.3 percent. On the other hand, employment in professional and business services employment decreased 3.8 percent; trade, transportation, and utilities employment decreased 2.7 percent; government employment decreased 2.6 percent; and employment in information decreased 1.8 percent. Farm employment in the Kansas City, Kansas fell by 5.6 percent in 2009. Table 3-4 presents employment by place of residence for the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area.

The Kansas City area economy is contracting at an accelerating pace but overall is faring better than many other larger metropolitan areas. One prominent weakness is the area's large professional and businesses services industry which has been declining at an accelerating pace. Job losses in professional and business services have accounted for a majority of the contraction in overall payrolls in the area.

According to the Greater Kansas City Chamber of Commerce's *Current Conditions Report for Greater Kansas City*, the upside of the Kansas City metro area outlook includes:

- Area housing inventories continue to shrink. Combined supply of new and existing homes for the region now stands at about 7.5 months' worth, only slightly above the six-month supply real estate professionals view as "balanced".
- Foreclosures continue to drop for the region.
- The automotive sector has been a substantial bright spot for KC manufacturing. As GM and Ford consolidate and close other facilities, they have concentrated production in Kansas City, especially of popular sedans and hybrid SUVs. Ford workers at Claycomo are already working three shifts, and GM's Fairfax plant is scheduled to add nearly 1,000 full-time workers beginning in January.

However, the downside of the Kansas City metro area outlook includes:

Table 3 - 4

Kansas City, KS Metro. Area Employment			
October 2008-October 2009			
	2008	2009	% Chg.
Place of Residence Data			
Civilian Labor Force	441,793	455,110	3.0
Employment	419,647	422,357	0.6
Unemployment	22,146	32,753	47.9
Unemployment Rate	5.0	7.2	2.2
Place of Work Data			
Total Nonfarm	451,100	439,900	(2.5)
Total Private	390,500	380,900	(2.5)
Goods Producing	57,700	52,400	(9.2)
Natural Res. & Const.	21,300	19,300	(9.4)
Manufacturing	36,400	33,100	(9.1)
Durable Goods	21,700	18,800	(13.4)
Computer & Electronic	4,700	5,000	6.4
Transportation Equip.	3,800	3,200	(15.8)
Non-Durable Goods	14,700	14,300	(2.7)
Service Providing Indus.	393,400	387,500	(1.5)
Private Service Providing	332,800	328,500	(1.3)
Trade, Trans. & Util.	96,200	93,600	(2.7)
Wholesale Trade	25,600	25,600	--
Durable Goods	12,700	12,400	(2.4)
Retail Trade	47,200	45,500	(3.6)
General Merchandise	10,000	9,800	(2.0)
Trans., Warehouse & Util.	23,400	22,500	(3.8)
Trans. & Warehousing	21,500	21,000	(2.3)
Information	21,800	21,400	(1.8)
Telecommunications	16,600	15,500	(6.6)
Financial Activities	33,200	33,600	1.2
Finance & Insurance	26,800	26,800	--
Insurance Carriers	13,400	13,500	0.7
Prof. & Business Services	73,100	70,300	(3.8)
Prof., Scientific & Tech.	33,700	32,100	(4.7)
Admin. & Waste	33,600	32,000	(4.8)
Educ. & Health Services	56,100	57,000	1.6
Health & Social Assist.	49,700	51,300	3.2
Hospitals	14,600	15,100	3.4
Leisure & Hospitality	36,200	36,300	0.3
Accom. & Food	31,100	31,800	2.3
Food Services	28,600	29,200	2.1
Other Services	16,200	16,300	0.6
Government	60,600	59,000	(2.6)
Federal	8,100	8,200	1.2
State	6,800	6,800	--
Local	45,700	44,000	(3.7)
Farm Employment	5,400	5,100	(5.6)

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

- The possibility of a "jobless recovery." *The Chamber's 2010 Economic Forecast* pointed to what's been called a "checkmark recovery"— a sharp downturn, followed by a slow return to trend. Another negative for the

Chamber's forecast for the local economy is a baseline case of around 55,000 net job losses metro-wide extending through mid-2010 before hiring picks up again.

- Sprint Nextel's announcement of between 2,000 and 2,500 job cuts by year-end. In addition, the closing of American Airlines' overhaul base at KCI will result in the loss of 400 high-wage maintenance jobs. Also there is a looming loss of 200 jobs with Fort Dodge's shutdown in the wake of its merger with Pfizer.
- Consumer confidence for Johnson County has remained relatively stagnant during much of the year.

Saying the company cannot cut costs fast enough to offset revenue declines, Sprint Nextel eliminated over 2,500 jobs in the area over the past year. Most of the employees work at Sprint's headquarters in Overland Park. The company employs approximately 7,000 workers in the metro area, about one-half of the level of two years ago. Most full-time employees who were laid off received severance packages that include eight weeks of pay plus two weeks for each year of service.

Notwithstanding, Sprint is expected to spend an additional \$1 billion on its 4G wireless network. The company is also planning to pump \$1.176 billion into Clearwire Corp., the public company building out the fourth-generation WiMax wireless network that Sprint is counting on to boost its own business. Clearwire aims to reach more than 80 markets with more than 120 million people. Also, Sprint Nextel completed a \$483 million deal to add Virgin Mobile USA's 5.25 million prepaid subscribers to the Sprint family. Sprint plans to keep the Virgin brand alongside its Boost Mobile brand, which sports 4.3 million subscribers in the fast-growing prepaid phone business. The prepaid business has been one of Sprint's major successes over the past year, with customer gains offsetting losses in the company's traditional wireless business. In addition, the deal to bring iPCS Inc. into the Sprint Nextel fold cleared its last regulatory hurdles. iPCS, which sells Sprint-branded services, has 700,000 PCS wireless customers and 270,000 wholesale customers. They will become direct customers of Sprint upon completion of the merger.

Another of Kansas City's long time corporate pillars, Hallmark Cards Inc., announced that it would

eliminate 550 to 750 jobs. The employment loss represents six to eight percent of the company's local work force. The layoff was the largest in the privately owned company's history. The company has about 9,200 full-time employees, with about 4,000 at the headquarters in Kansas City. All Hallmark employees laid off were offered a severance package. The company estimated that 350 to 450 of the layoffs were among those eligible for a voluntary severance program in the operations division. Ironically, the company once prided itself on never having layoffs.

In September, American Airlines vacated its seven million square-foot aviation maintenance complex at Kansas City International Airport. The closing resulted in the loss of nearly 500 mechanics, workers and managers. The facility was originally opened by Trans World Airlines (TWA) in 1956. When American bought the financially troubled TWA in 2001, there were 3,500 TWA employees in Kansas City, including 2,600 at the overhaul base. Management and union employees were offered standard severance packages, and many hourly workers were eligible for a \$12,500 early retirement buyout. American said more than 80.0 percent of hourly workers are eligible for the special payout.

The Kansas City Star has also reduced its work force by over 200 positions over the past year. The cuts were in line with overall reductions at *The Star's* parent company, the McClatchy Co. which reduced its overall work force by 1,600 full-time-equivalent employees. The newspaper announced plans to reduce wages for remaining workers. Workers making less than \$100,000 saw their salaries cut by 5.0 percent. Workers making more than \$100,000 saw their wages cut by 10.0 percent. Bonuses for senior managers and other employees were also eliminated. *Star* employees' wages were frozen last fall.

After two decades of steady growth, one of the area's fastest-growing companies, Garmin Ltd., laid off 141 employees, or 5.6 percent of its Kansas City area work force. The layoffs are the first in the 20-year history of the Olathe navigation company. All of the affected employees were in Olathe or in the company's two area customer service centers. Garmin has 2,510 employees in the Kansas City area and about 9,500 worldwide. Employees were laid off from Garmin's human resources, marketing administration and operations divisions. Marketing administration

includes product support employees. Operations includes warehouse, manufacturing and customer repair staff. All employees received severance packages. Garmin had grown at a phenomenal pace since 2001, its first year as a public company. At that time, the company had about 1,400 employees worldwide, including 675 in Olathe. Last year, the company sold 16.9 million products and reported sales of almost \$3.5 billion.

The area's automobile manufacturing facilities experienced a tumultuous year in 2009. In the early part of the year both the General Motors (GM) Fairfax plant and Ford's Claycomo plant were idled several times. However, in the latter part of the year both facilities experienced employment increases. The GM Fairfax facility announced the addition of an unprecedented third shift and 945 new jobs. Essentially all of the new positions would involve transfer of employees from idled or closing GM plants because the Fairfax plant has no laid-off workers to recall. The third shift is in addition to 375 jobs that were added to Fairfax over the summer. Fairfax is one of three GM sites moving to a 24-hour production schedule as the company seeks to make up for output lost at other plants being closed or idled. Autoworkers receive a 5 percent pay premium to work the second shift and a 10 percent premium on the third shift. The influx of higher-paid auto industry employees at Fairfax, however, could generate 3,000 other new jobs in the area. The plant's total employment will climb from 2,700 to more than 3,600 early next year.

The Ford Motor Co. announced that it would add a third shift at its Claycomo plant to build compact SUVs, transferring workers from the F-150 pickup truck production line. The announcement was not a surprise, given the increased demand for the Escape. The Escape was one of the top sellers in the now-ended Cash for Clunkers program, which boosted sales in a depressed auto industry. Once the changes at Claycomo are made, about 3,000 production employees will be building the Escape and Mariner, and about 1,000 will be on the single F-150 shift. Union leaders have complained that in addition to having a staggered schedule for the third shift, Ford was trying to eliminate jobs with the added shift and was imposing uncompensated work and that safety issues became more pronounced. Ninety-seven percent of members of United Auto Workers Local 249 voted at year end to authorize a strike at the Ford

Claycomo plant, but a union leader stated that a strike is not likely to happen. Ford has about 4,000 Claycomo production employees.

According to the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Railway, the proposed intermodal transportation hub in Gardner – part of a \$750 million project – will be delayed because of the faltering economy. At one point BNSF had hoped to break ground on its rail hub in 2007, but getting a required federal environmental permit has taken years longer than officials anticipated. More recently, the company hoped to finish construction within 18 months of acquiring the permit. Now the 18-month schedule has been revised in favor of an open-ended timeline that will depend on the economy. The railroad has spent millions to acquire about 1,000 acres for the project, including 492 acres for the rail hub and about 500 acres for warehouse distribution in a logistics park. The company has applied to get a permit to move a stream on the hub property. The Army Corps of Engineers and BNSF have been working on the permit process in earnest since 2007. The Corps is conducting an environmental assessment of the project.

The Hollywood Casino was the only remaining proposal after the recession forced other developers to drop out of the competition for a casino facility at the Kansas Speedway. As such, Kansas regulators unanimously approved the \$386 million project. Construction is expected to begin late next year, with an opening set for early 2012. The casino will be built near Turn 2 of the speedway. It will include 2,300 slot machines, 86 table games and 28,000 square feet of dining and entertainment options. A second phase calls for a 250-room hotel. The project is expected to generate a total investment of more than half a billion dollars and create 2,000 construction jobs and 1,000 permanent jobs in Wyandotte County.

As part of its sales pitch, the developers also pledged to bring a second NASCAR Sprint Cup race and a Grand-Am race to the track. The project is a joint venture of the speedway's owners, International Speedway Corp., and Penn National Gaming, a Pennsylvania-based company that operates casinos around the United States, including the Argosy Casino in Riverside. The developers said they will seek private financing for the project, but can finance it themselves if necessary. A consultant hired by the

state estimated that the casino could generate \$203 million of gambling revenues in its first year. The state will get a minimum 22.0 percent cut of the gross revenue. Another 5.0 percent will go to Wyandotte County government and a fund set up to combat compulsive gambling. After years of failed efforts, Kansas lawmakers authorized a single casino for each of four regions of the state. Under state law, private companies build and operate the facilities, but the state controls the operations. The review board selects the developers. The first casino built since the law passed is set to open this month in Dodge City.

Moody's Economy.com expects the Kansas City area's economy to experience significant improvement in 2010 as the service sector begins to recover. However, the area's large professional and business services industry will continue to be a drag on the Kansas City area's economy well into next year. Home prices in the KC area are likely to continue to decline into next year. However, according to Economy.com, the decline in home prices has been relatively mild, falling 11 percent from their peak so far, compared with 25 percent nationally. In addition, house prices are nearing a bottom and are only expected to fall a total of 16.0 percent peak to trough, compared with 35.0 percent nationally.

Kansas & Adjacent States Metro Areas' Employment Trends

This section presents employment trends through October 2009 by major industry types for the region that includes Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and Oklahoma. In 2005, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) updated Census 2000-based statistical areas using population estimates for 2003. Relative to the 1990s, the updated lists added 49 new Metropolitan Statistical Areas while revising definitions of existing Metropolitan Statistical Areas. The list also identified for the first time 578 Micropolitan Statistical Areas. Additionally, the OMB designated and defined two new sets of statistical areas that include 125 Combined Statistical Areas and 25 Metropolitan Divisions in the most populous Metropolitan Statistical Areas. New England City and Town Areas also are defined as an alternative to the county-based metropolitan and micropolitan areas in the six New England states.

The OMB is responsible for maintaining and updating statistical area classifications, a task it has accomplished every decade since the 1950 census. The OMB establishes and maintains the definitions of these areas solely for statistical purposes. The classification is intended to provide nationally consistent definitions for collecting, tabulating, and publishing federal statistics for a set of geographic areas. Table 3-5, on the following page, presents employment growth rates for 18 of the 24 metropolitan areas in the five-state region from October 2008 through October 2009. As mentioned previously, data are no longer being tabulated for smaller MSA's such as Lawrence, Kansas. Also there are only limited data available for other MSA's such as Columbia, Missouri, Jefferson City, Missouri, Joplin, Missouri, and St. Louis, Missouri – Illinois.

Employment by Industry Type

Total nonfarm employment in the 18 major labor markets in the five-state area decreased 3.1 percent from October 2008 to October 2009. No major labor market in the five-state area experienced an overall increase in total nonfarm employment. Springfield, Missouri led the five-state area with an employment decrease of 0.9 percent, followed by Lawton, Oklahoma with an employment decrease of 1.8 percent, and Tulsa, Oklahoma with an employment decrease of 2.1 percent. Out of the 18 major markets in the five-state area, Topeka ranked fifth with an employment decrease of 2.2 percent, while Kansas City, Kansas ranked eighth with a 2.5 percent decrease in nonfarm employment, and Wichita ranked 14th with a 4.3 percent decrease.

Construction & Mining. Total construction and mining employment in the major labor markets in the five-state area declined 9.7 percent in 2009. Tulsa, Oklahoma led the five-state area in employment growth with a growth rate of 1.3 percent. It was followed by Oklahoma City, Oklahoma with a 1.3 percent decrease in construction and mining employment, and Lincoln, Nebraska with a 2.6 percent employment decrease. Out of the 18 major markets in the five-state area, Wichita ranked fourth with a 4.2 percent decrease, Kansas City, Kansas ranked ninth with a 9.4 percent decrease, and Topeka ranked 12th with an 11.3 percent decrease in construction and mining employment.

Table 3 - 5

Metropolitan Area Employment Growth Rates, October 2008-October 2009											
Kansas & Adjacent States											
	Total Nonfarm	Const. & Mining	Manu- facturing	Trade, Trans, & Utilities	Infor- mation	Finan. Activ.	Prof/Bus Services	Educ./ Health Services	Leisure/ Hosp. Services	Other Services	Govern- ment
Kansas											
Topeka	(2.2)	(11.3)	(9.5)	(2.5)	--	(1.4)	(5.3)	0.6	(1.2)	1.8	(0.3)
Wichita	(4.3)	(4.2)	(19.0)	(1.4)	(13.6)	(0.9)	(1.9)	4.8	1.4	0.9	(0.2)
Kan. City, KS	(2.5)	(9.4)	(9.1)	(2.7)	(1.8)	1.2	(3.8)	1.6	0.3	0.6	(2.6)
Colorado											
Boulder/ Longmont											
	(5.2)	(16.9)	(6.8)	(6.4)	(5.6)	(5.1)	(13.1)	2.5	(3.5)	(3.8)	0.6
Colorado Springs											
	(4.3)	(10.8)	(16.2)	(6.4)	(4.0)	(5.5)	(4.4)	2.1	(6.2)	(4.0)	1.0
Denver/ Aurora											
	(3.9)	(15.4)	(7.6)	(5.5)	(5.4)	(4.3)	(4.7)	2.1	(2.9)	(3.7)	2.0
Fort Collins/ Loveland											
	(3.2)	(14.9)	(9.3)	(6.4)	--	(1.8)	(4.5)	4.2	(4.2)	2.0	1.3
Grand Junction	(7.3)	(27.1)	(15.6)	(6.4)	(10.0)	(5.7)	(6.8)	2.2	(9.3)	--	6.2
Greeley	(5.8)	(20.2)	(6.1)	(4.9)	(9.1)	(8.3)	(7.2)	1.2	(7.1)	--	1.9
Pueblo	(2.5)	(12.8)	(9.3)	(5.5)	--	(9.1)	(1.8)	2.0	(4.7)	--	3.2
Missouri											
Kan. City, MO											
St. Louis	(2.4)	(7.9)	(2.7)	(4.8)	(3.1)	(1.5)	(4.2)	1.5	(1.6)	(3.5)	0.5
MO-IL											
Springfield	(3.2)	(11.3)	(9.7)	(3.2)	(2.3)	(1.8)	(3.2)	1.3	(2.7)	(7.3)	(0.4)
	(0.9)	(7.1)	(8.2)	(2.2)	--	--	0.5	3.6	(1.6)	(2.4)	1.4
Nebraska											
Lincoln											
	(2.4)	(2.6)	(11.6)	(4.9)	(12.0)	0.8	(3.7)	1.8	(2.4)	(2.9)	1.3
Omaha/ Council Bluffs, NE-IA											
	(2.1)	(4.2)	(3.9)	(1.6)	(4.2)	(1.3)	(8.2)	0.7	(3.5)	(1.2)	2.8
Oklahoma											
Lawton											
	(1.8)	(5.9)	(2.9)	(2.7)	(16.7)	(3.7)	(8.3)	--	(4.5)	(12.5)	3.7
Oklahoma City	(2.7)	(1.3)	(4.1)	(1.2)	(1.6)	(0.6)	(10.8)	(1.7)	(5.0)	(4.3)	1.3
Tulsa	(2.1)	1.3	(11.9)	(3.0)	(5.2)	(1.2)	(5.0)	1.7	(1.3)	2.7	4.1
All Area Average	(3.1)	(9.7)	(9.5)	(3.7)	(4.0)	(2.1)	(5.1)	1.6	(2.8)	(3.2)	1.0

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Manufacturing. Manufacturing employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region declined 9.5 percent in 2009. Kansas City, Missouri led the five-state area with a 2.7 percent decrease in manufacturing employment, followed by Lawton, Oklahoma with a 2.9 percent decrease, and Omaha/Council Bluffs, Nebraska/Iowa with a 3.9 percent decrease. Kansas City, Kansas ranked ninth with a 9.1 percent decrease in manufacturing employment, while Topeka ranked 12th with a 9.5 percent decrease, and Wichita ranked last in the five-state area with a 19.0 percent decline in manufacturing employment. Wichita's heavy reliance on aircraft manufacturing distorts its employment levels in this sector, particularly in times of recession.

Trade, Transportation & Public Utilities. Trade, transportation, and public utilities employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region decreased 3.7 percent in 2009. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma led the five-state area with a 1.2 percent decrease in trade, transportation, and public utilities employment, followed by Wichita with a 1.4 percent decrease, and Omaha/Council Bluffs, Nebraska/Iowa with a 1.6 percent decrease. Topeka ranked fifth with a 2.5 percent decrease in trade, transportation, and public utilities employment, while Kansas City, Kansas ranked seventh with a 2.7 percent decrease in trade, transportation, and public utilities employment. This was one sector where Kansas metropolitan areas fared better than the regional average.

Information. Information employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region decreased 4.0 percent in 2009. Springfield, Missouri; Topeka; Pueblo, Colorado; and Fort Collins/Loveland, Colorado led the region with no change in information employment. Kansas City, Kansas ranked sixth with a 1.8 percent decrease and Wichita ranked 17th with a 13.6 percent decline in information employment.

Financial Activities. Financial activities employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region decreased 2.1 percent in 2009. Within the five-state region Kansas City, Kansas experienced the highest growth in financial activities employment at 1.2 percent, followed by Lincoln, Nebraska with 0.8 percent growth, and Springfield, Missouri with no change in financial activities employment. Wichita ranked fifth with a 0.9 percent decrease, while Topeka ranked eighth with a 1.4 percent decrease in financial activities employment.

Professional & Business Services. Professional and business services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region decreased 5.1 percent in 2009. Springfield, Missouri had the highest growth rate in professional and business services employment in the five-state area at 0.5 percent, followed by Pueblo, Colorado with a 1.8 percent decrease, and Wichita with a 1.9 percent decrease in professional and business services employment. Kansas City, Kansas ranked sixth in the five-state area with a 3.8 percent decrease in professional and business services employment, while Topeka ranked 12th with a 5.3 percent decrease in professional and business services employment.

Education & Health Services. Education and health services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region increased 1.6 percent in 2009. Wichita had the highest growth rate in education and health services employment at 4.8 percent, followed by Fort Collins/Loveland, Colorado at 4.2 percent and Springfield, Missouri at 3.6 percent. Kansas City, Kansas ranked 11th with 1.6 percent growth, while Topeka ranked 16th with 0.6 percent growth.

Leisure & Hospitality Services. Leisure and hospitality services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region decreased 2.8 percent in 2009. Wichita had the highest growth rate in leisure and hospitality services employment at 1.4 percent, followed by Kansas City, Kansas with 0.3 percent

growth, and Topeka followed with a 1.2 percent decrease.

Other Services. Other services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region decreased 3.2 percent in 2009. Tulsa, Oklahoma had the highest growth rate in other services employment at 2.7 percent, followed by Ft. Collins/Loveland, Colorado at 2.0 percent, and Topeka at 1.8 percent. Wichita ranked fourth with 0.9 percent growth in other services employment, while Kansas City, Kansas ranked fifth with 0.6 percent growth.

Government. Government employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 1.0 percent in 2009. Grand Junction, Colorado experienced the highest growth in government employment with a 6.2 percent growth rate, followed by Tulsa, Oklahoma with a 4.1 percent growth rate, and Lawton, Oklahoma with a 3.7 percent growth rate. Wichita ranked 15th with a 0.2 percent decrease, while Topeka ranked 16th with 0.3 percent decrease, and Kansas City, Kansas ranked last with a 2.6 percent decrease in government employment.

Regional Labor Market Employment

Table 3-6 on the following page presents employment trends for the 11 selected counties from June 2008 to June 2009. The civilian labor force increased in only three of the state's 11 secondary labor markets during 2009. Riley County experienced the highest rate of increase at 16.6 percent, followed by Montgomery County with a 1.6 percent growth rate, and Barton County with a 0.5 percent increase. However, only Riley County experienced a decrease in unemployment in 2009. More importantly, the unemployment rate increased in all of the state's other ten secondary labor markets in 2009. The unemployment rate increased by 4.0 percentage points in Montgomery County, while the unemployment rate increased 2.7 percentage points in Barton County, and the unemployment rate increased 2.4 percent in Crawford County.

County Personal Income

Both the levels and the components of personal income are important in understanding local

Table 3 - 6

	Percentage Change from June 2008 to June 2009										
	Barton	Craw.	Ellis	Finney	Ford	Lyon	McPher.	Mont.	Reno	Riley	Saline
Place of Residence Data											
Civilian Labor Force	0.5	(2.6)	(3.7)	(2.3)	(3.6)	(9.4)	(1.4)	1.6	(0.7)	16.6	(2.1)
Employment	(4.5)	(4.4)	(4.9)	0.2	1.8	(2.1)	(2.9)	(5.2)	(2.8)	(1.6)	(2.8)
Unemployment	80.1	47.6	5.3	18.5	5.1	(5.7)	31.0	79.0	40.2	3.2	46.4
Unemployment Rate	2.7	2.4	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.2	1.1	4.0	1.6	(0.3)	1.8
Place of Work Data											
All Industries	(5.5)	(3.8)	(6.6)	(0.3)	1.6	(2.9)	(3.8)	(8.0)	(3.6)	(4.7)	(3.4)
Ag., For., Fish., & Hunt.	(31.1)	NA	NA	(1.0)	(4.7)	7.0	3.6	NA	4.3	NA	NA
Mining	(16.8)	(8.3)	(7.6)	(39.4)	NA	(3.2)	(22.6)	(31.3)	(14.9)	NA	NA
Utilities	1.1	NA	NA	2.2	4.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Construction	(25.1)	(10.0)	(1.3)	(14.8)	3.7	(5.8)	(7.9)	1.5	2.5	(5.7)	(2.5)
Manufacturing	(12.7)	(4.9)	(22.9)	NA	1.5	1.0	(2.0)	(14.5)	(12.3)	(39.0)	(8.4)
Wholesale Trade	(1.4)	(8.1)	19.7	5.8	8.4	(10.9)	4.2	(3.6)	(0.1)	(4.6)	(4.2)
Retail Trade	(1.6)	(4.7)	(1.6)	(3.4)	2.9	(4.9)	(5.0)	(4.2)	(5.3)	4.6	(1.7)
Trans. & Warehousing	(5.4)	17.4	1.1	10.6	(4.3)	(12.6)	(15.5)	(7.4)	22.1	6.0	(21.1)
Information	1.0	(8.1)	(0.3)	(11.0)	(7.0)	(12.7)	(12.3)	(10.6)	(4.8)	(12.8)	(6.6)
Finance & Insurance	4.2	2.6	(54.5)	4.3	4.3	(8.1)	(10.8)	(5.2)	5.2	(2.8)	(11.6)
R.E. & Rental & Leasing	--	3.0	3.5	1.1	(5.3)	(1.5)	(25.4)	(18.4)	8.4	(2.6)	(5.5)
Prof. & Tech. Services	2.2	68.1	(11.5)	(3.1)	(2.4)	(13.1)	6.5	(14.1)	(6.0)	(4.0)	(2.9)
Mgmt. of Cos. & Ent.	NA	9.5	3.0	--	NA	NA	(1.6)	NA	(13.3)	11.7	46.5
Adm. & Waste Services	(14.9)	(42.9)	(1.7)	14.3	11.0	(20.0)	(17.1)	(34.7)	(16.8)	(19.2)	(4.9)
Educational Services	NA	NA	(37.5)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	28.4	7.6
Health & Social Assist.	1.7	(1.8)	(3.0)	2.2	3.2	3.8	0.9	4.3	5.3	(0.1)	5.8
Arts, Ent., & Recreation	(13.7)	2.0	1.0	5.2	7.8	3.3	(8.1)	(23.0)	(10.5)	(11.5)	(8.9)
Accom. & Food Serv.	(0.2)	6.7	0.8	6.7	(18.9)	3.9	(11.1)	(2.6)	(5.2)	(5.7)	0.7
Other Services	(4.2)	(6.2)	(11.8)	(0.2)	77.7	18.5	(0.5)	(0.9)	1.5	(0.8)	(5.6)
Government	0.2	(6.3)	3.5	2.5	3.1	0.4	2.3	8.3	0.3	6.1	0.8
Farm Employment	2.9	3.2	6.9	6.5	5.7	6.1	4.3	2.8	3.7	5.6	7.4

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

economies. Because of this effect, county personal income is presented in this section.

Total Personal Income

Total personal income in Kansas grew at a 4.8 percent rate in 2008. Johnson County generated the most personal income with \$29.5 billion, followed by Sedgwick County. Barton, Butler, Cowley, Crawford, Douglas, Ellis, Finney, Ford, Geary, Harvey, Leavenworth, McPherson, Miami, Montgomery, Reno, Riley, Saline, Shawnee, and Wyandotte Counties each generated over \$1.0 billion of personal income in 2008. The lowest levels of income were recorded in Clark, Comanche, and Elk Counties, each with less than \$75.0 million in total personal income.

The highest growth rates of personal income in 2008 were recorded in Stevens County which experienced a

53.6 percent rise, followed by Greeley County with 46.6 percent growth, and Sheridan County with 39.0 percent growth. At the other extreme, Chautauqua and Osage Counties both experienced declines in personal income in excess of 5.0 percent. However, it should be kept in mind that these rates of change may be distorted by erratic fluctuations in the farm economy.

Of the state's major urban counties, Wyandotte County had the highest personal income growth in 2008 at 11.0 percent, followed by Sedgwick County with 5.4 percent growth, Shawnee County with 5.2 percent growth, and Johnson County with a 2.9 percent increase in personal income. Appendix D presents updated county personal income by major components for 2007. Appendix E shows county personal income estimates by major components for 2008. Figure 3-1, which is shown on page 47, presents the percent change in county personal income from 2007 to 2008.

Salaries & Wages. Salaries and wages are distributed across the state in a pattern that generally corresponds to population. The areas with higher population density generally have higher total salaries and wages. Salaries and wages in Kansas grew at a 3.7 percent rate in 2008. Johnson County and Sedgwick County ranked first and second, with salaries and wages of \$16.6 billion and \$11.8 billion, respectively. Shawnee and Wyandotte Counties each generated over \$3.0 billion of salaries and wages in 2008. During that same year, Comanche, Elk, Hodgeman, Wallace, and Woodson Counties had the lowest salaries and wages total with less than \$20.0 million each.

Other Labor Income. While the correlation between salaries and wages disbursements and other labor income is not exact, the two are closely related. County rankings are nearly the same for both components. Other labor income in Kansas grew at a rate of 4.5 percent in 2008. Johnson County ranked first with \$3.4 billion in other labor income, followed by Sedgwick County at \$2.8 billion. At the other end of the spectrum, Comanche, Hodgeman, and Wallace Counties generated less than \$5.0 million of other labor income each.

Farm Proprietors' Income. The relative importance of farm proprietors' income, as a component of total personal income, varies among the 105 Kansas counties. While there are many measured components of farm owners' income, the major determinants are farm production of crops and livestock, profitability of farm operations, and federal government payments to farmers. Farm proprietors' income is larger and out of proportion in agriculturally-oriented counties because of large-scale production, high profitability, and government support payments. In 2008, farm proprietors' income rose 128.7 percent. Haskell County had the highest farm proprietors' income in 2008 at \$151.7 million, followed by Gray County with \$147.8 million, and Stevens County with \$132.8 million.

Nonfarm Proprietors' Income. The net earnings of unincorporated business owners constitute nonfarm proprietors' income. County size also is a major determinant in the distribution of this income component. Nonfarm proprietors' income grew at a 1.9 percent rate in 2008. Johnson County had the highest level of nonfarm proprietors' income at \$2.9 billion, followed by Sedgwick County with \$2.6

billion. Greeley, Hodgeman, Wallace, and Washington Counties had the lowest with less than \$5.0 million of nonfarm proprietors' income each.

Dividends, Interest & Rent. Dividends, interest, and rent increased by 0.1 percent in 2008. This category represents a large component of personal income in Johnson County. In fact, the \$6.1 billion of dividends, interest, and rents in that county account for nearly one-third of the state total. Sedgwick County had over \$3.4 billion of dividends, interest, and rent. Only Comanche, Elk, Hamilton, and Wallace Counties had less than \$10.0 million in dividends, interest, and rents in 2008.

Transfer Payments. Transfer payments in Kansas grew at a 7.9 percent rate in 2008 and are largely Social Security benefits. The distribution of transfer payments across the state generally reflects county population. Collectively, the state's four urban counties received over \$7.0 billion in transfer payments, while Greeley County received less than \$10.0 million.

Residence Adjustment. Because personal income is measured on a residence basis, an adjustment must be made for out-of-county earnings. A residence adjustment is made for salaries and wages, other labor income, and for both farm and nonfarm proprietors' income. The residence adjustment for Kansas declined 10.3 percent in 2008. For the state as a whole, the 2008 residence adjustment was \$1,070.4 million. The positive value indicates that, in total, Kansans earn more income out-of-state than non-Kansans earn in Kansas.

Within Kansas, four factors are present that relate to residence adjustment. First, for most counties, this component is relatively small. Second, there are considerable earnings by Riley County residents in Geary County associated with Fort Riley. The third situation relates to out-of-county earnings provided in two of the large Kansas counties. For example, the Sedgwick County residence adjustment is negative (\$1,212.3 million.) Most of this income appears in bordering counties. For neighboring Butler County, the adjustment is positive (\$670.7 million). Thus, Sedgwick County provides earnings and jobs for Butler County residents. A similar situation exists for Shawnee County. The other such situation exists in the Kansas City area. The Wyandotte County

residence adjustment is negative (\$1,246.9 million), indicating that the county provides net earnings for residents of other counties and perhaps for Missouri residents. Johnson County is unique in that its residence adjustment is positive (\$886.8 million) and nearly equal to the state's overall residence adjustment of \$1,070.4 million. Besides providing jobs for residents of bordering counties, even larger earnings of Johnson County residents come from the Missouri side of the Kansas City area.

Personal Social Insurance Contributions. Personal contributions for social insurance in Kansas grew at a 4.7 percent rate in 2008. Because of the definition of the Social Security tax base, personal contributions for social insurance are closely related to the distribution of salaries and wages, as well as positive proprietors' income. Personal contributions for social insurance exceeded \$500.0 million in each of the state's four major urban counties during 2008. Johnson County's contributions totaled \$2,581.3 million and Sedgwick County's were \$1,899.4 million. Shawnee followed with contributions of \$628.7 million and Wyandotte had \$589.5 million.

Per Capita Personal Income

Per capita income for each county may be obtained by dividing the total personal income of the county by the total population of the county. The population data used for these calculations were estimates of county population as of July 1, 2008, based on the U.S. Bureau of the Census' *Current Population Reports*. Figure 3-2, which is shown on page 47, presents per capita personal income by county for 2008.

In 2008, Kansas per capita income was \$38,820. Wichita County had the highest per capita income at \$78,822, followed by Haskell County at \$70,625, and Sheridan County at \$70,582. The lowest per capita income was in Comanche County at \$19,451. It should be noted that county per capita income may fluctuate dramatically from year to year because of the inherent volatility of the farm economy, coupled with the relatively low population in many rural counties.

The relatively low-income counties in rural Kansas generally tend to have a high reliance on the farm economy. Specifically, these counties have a negative, or low, farm proprietors' income. In the eastern half

of the state, particularly the southeastern portion of Kansas, low-income counties are primarily those that have relatively high population densities, but are not part of major urban areas.

The counties with high per capita incomes are associated with two conditions. First, most relatively high per capita income counties are rural and agricultural and, for the most part, are in the southwestern part of the state. Second, three of the state's major urban counties have high per capita incomes. This income influences not only the core county of the metropolitan area, but also many bordering counties that provide the place of residence for individuals who are employed, but may not live in the core counties.

The Four Urban Counties

In Kansas, it also is important to examine personal income levels and related components for the state's four urban counties. These counties are Sedgwick, Johnson, Wyandotte, and Shawnee.

Total Personal Income

In 2008, Johnson, Sedgwick, Shawnee, and Wyandotte accounted for 48.0 percent of the state's population and 55.7 percent of the state's total personal income. This indicates that per capita income is higher in the four urban counties than in the state as a whole. Wyandotte County per capita income of \$28,652 is significantly below the state average of \$38,820, while Sedgwick County at \$41,701 is significantly above the state average, and Shawnee County at \$37,185 is slightly below the state average. Johnson County at \$55,213 is 42.2 percent above the state average. For the four urban counties, the average per capita income is \$44,981, or 15.9 percent, higher than the state average.

These four counties generate 65.4 percent of non-farm proprietors' income; 63.2 percent of Kansas' salaries and wages; 62.7 percent of personal contributions for social insurance; 59.5 percent of dividend, interest, and rent income; 57.8 percent of the other labor income; and 45.0 percent of Kansas' transfer payments. Only for farm proprietors' income and residence adjustment do these four counties sum to a

small share of the Kansas total. Johnson or Sedgwick rank either first or second among the 105 counties in most income components, as well as total income. Shawnee and Wyandotte rank third and fourth.

Regional Personal Income

Because boundaries of economic activity do not necessarily respect political boundaries, comparisons of county income do not necessarily provide an accurate measure of the economic performance of a geographic area. Income that is concentrated in a small area is subject to greater variability than is income spread over a wide area. For example, personal income measures the income received by individuals on the basis of their residence location and is not directly concerned with the location of earnings. Thus, a residence adjustment is necessary for each county for two reasons. First, the residence adjustment is necessary to account for inter-county commuting to work. Second, it is necessary to account for some income components, such as farm proprietors' income, where the location of the residence may be far removed from the location of the income generation.

An additional problem exists for states such as Kansas where farm income is sizeable. Extreme fluctuations in small-area farm earnings occur particularly because of variable weather conditions and the changing location of farm products marketing.

County data provide helpful insights because they may be aggregated over larger regions. For this report, Kansas has been divided into 11 planning regions, which may be considered the "official" aggregation. Figure 3-3 presents total personal income by region for 2007 and 2008, while Figure 3-4 presents the

percentage change in total personal income by region from 2007 to 2008 and per capita income for 2008.

In terms of total personal income, the 2008 average for the 11 regions is \$9.9 billion. Region I, which surrounds Kansas City, has the highest level of personal income with \$50.0 billion. The only other region that is above the average total personal income per region is Region IV, which surrounds Wichita, which had total personal income of \$28.1 billion in 2008. The lowest personal income was realized in Region VIII in northwest Kansas at \$1.3 billion.

Generally, regional population rankings correspond to regional personal income rankings. High incomes are associated with large populations. Thus, population and personal income are highly concentrated in the state. Accordingly, 71.8 percent of the total personal income is located in Regions I and IV. Only 1.2 percent of the state's total is located in Region VIII.

Recent income and population growth have been uneven across Kansas. In 2008, total personal income rose by 4.8 percent across the state. The most rapid growth was in Regions VIII, VII, and VI with 22.4 percent, 15.8 percent, and 11.3 percent growth, respectively. Ironically, Region I experienced the smallest increase in personal income in 2008 at 3.3 percent.

In terms of per capita personal income, Region VIII ranked first at \$46,019, followed by Region I at \$42,684. Region II, in southeast Kansas, had the lowest per capita income at \$28,990. The extent of the inequality in regional income is evident because a 58.7 percent difference exists in per capita income from the highest income region to the lowest income region. This is significant by any measure.

Figure 3-1. Percent Change in County Personal Income, 2007-2008

29.1 CHEYENNE	14.5 RAWLINS	7.8 DECATUR	4.5 NORTON	11.1 PHILLIPS	5.6 SMITH	20.5 JEWELL	19.5 REPUBLIC	13.9 WASHINGTON	4.9 MARSHALL	16.5 NEMAHA	7.4 BROWN	6.9 DONIPHAN
16.1 SHERMAN	25.6 THOMAS	39.0 SHERIDAN	29.8 GRAHAM	16.8 ROOKS	12.6 OSBORNE	7.8 MITCHELL	2.7 CLOUD	1.0 CLAY	3.9 RILEY	2.0 POTTAWATOMIE	-0.4 JACKSON	6.2 ATCHISON
35.0 WALLACE	12.7 LOGAN	15.0 GOVE	10.5 TREGO	4.9 ELLIS	4.9 RUSSELL	3.7 LINCOLN	0.8 OTTAWA	0.4 DICKINSON	32.5 GEARY	-3.3 WABAUNSEE	5.2 SHAWNEE	-4.4 JEFF.
46.6 GREELEY	34.5 WICHITA	10.7 SCOTT	26.9 LANE	12.4 NESS	6.6 RUSH	4.3 BARTON	5.6 ELLSWORTH	5.0 SALINE	-1.2 MORRIS	3.1 LYON	-5.5 OSAGE	1.0 DOUGLAS
33.1 HAMILTON	9.1 KEARNY	5.9 FINNEY	30.0 HODGEMAN	14.3 PAWNEE	2.7 STAFFORD	2.1 RENO	2.5 RICE	-1.2 MCPHERSON	0.0 MARION	1.2 CHASE	5.2 COFFEY	1.7 LEAVEN.
33.5 STANTON	9.3 GRANT	26.8 HASKELL	21.0 GRAY	5.7 FORD	27.9 EDWARDS	1.1 HARVEY	0.2 KINGMAN	5.4 SEDGWICK	-2.6 BUTLER	0.8 GREENWOOD	8.4 WOODSON	11.1 WYANDOTTE
5.6 MORTON	53.6 STEMENS	7.3 SEWARD	18.9 MEADE	7.6 CLARK	-1.2 KIOWA	3.9 PRATT	0.2 KINGMAN	5.4 SEDGWICK	-2.6 BUTLER	11.4 ELK	5.8 WILSON	2.9 JOHNSON
					16.5 COMANCHE	7.6 BARBER	-3.4 HARPER	-3.8 SUMNER	2.1 COWLEY	-6.2 CHAUTAQUA	5.2 COFFEY	0.0 FRANKLIN
											0.0 FRANKLIN	-2.1 MIAMI
											5.2 COFFEY	-0.4 ANDERSON
											5.2 COFFEY	-0.6 LINN
											5.2 COFFEY	3.4 BOURBON
											5.2 COFFEY	2.7 CRAWFORD
											5.2 COFFEY	0.8 CHEROKEE

Figure 3-2. Per Capita Personal Income, 2008
(Dollars in Thousands)

45.6 CHEYENNE	42.0 RAWLINS	36.4 DECATUR	29.4 NORTON	41.4 PHILLIPS	34.6 SMITH	44.2 JEWELL	39.4 REPUBLIC	35.9 WASHINGTON	36.2 MARSHALL	44.8 NEMAHA	34.9 BROWN	29.7 DONIPHAN
39.4 SHERMAN	48.4 THOMAS	70.1 SHERIDAN	60.7 GRAHAM	39.1 ROOKS	34.3 OSBORNE	34.4 MITCHELL	27.8 CLOUD	34.6 CLAY	36.4 RILEY	33.0 POTTAWATOMIE	28.9 JACKSON	30.7 ATCHISON
53.9 WALLACE	41.9 LOGAN	42.7 GOVE	27.3 TREGO	37.5 ELLIS	32.9 RUSSELL	27.4 LINCOLN	24.1 OTTAWA	29.8 DICKINSON	44.8 GEARY	29.5 WABAUNSEE	37.2 SHAWNEE	29.4 JEFF.
65.6 GREELEY	78.8 WICHITA	42.1 SCOTT	59.3 LANE	48.7 NESS	31.2 RUSH	36.6 BARTON	30.5 ELLSWORTH	36.7 SALINE	27.0 MORRIS	26.7 LYON	25.2 OSAGE	31.1 DOUGLAS
64.5 HAMILTON	31.5 KEARNY	26.4 FINNEY	58.3 HODGEMAN	39.7 PAWNEE	31.7 STAFFORD	29.9 RENO	25.2 RICE	35.4 MCPHERSON	27.3 MARION	41.5 CHASE	37.3 COFFEY	31.8 LEAVEN.
69.8 STANTON	35.9 GRANT	70.6 HASKELL	54.0 GRAY	30.1 FORD	56.4 EDWARDS	31.7 STAFFORD	29.9 RENO	33.7 HARVEY	34.7 BUTLER	28.7 GREENWOOD	29.3 WOODSON	28.7 WYANDOTTE
34.1 MORTON	68.6 STEMENS	31.1 SEWARD	43.7 MEADE	23.1 CLARK	33.4 KIOWA	33.9 PRATT	27.0 KINGMAN	41.7 SEDGWICK	34.7 BUTLER	23.5 ELK	28.3 WILSON	31.8 LEAVEN.
					19.5 COMANCHE	28.4 BARBER	25.2 HARPER	30.8 SUMNER	31.9 COWLEY	26.3 CHAUTAQUA	31.6 MONTGOMERY	28.7 CRAWFORD
											31.1 DOUGLAS	55.2 JOHNSON
											31.1 DOUGLAS	36.1 MIAMI
											31.1 DOUGLAS	25.9 LINN
											31.1 DOUGLAS	27.0 BOURBON
											31.1 DOUGLAS	27.9 CRAWFORD
											31.1 DOUGLAS	28.3 CHEROKEE

Figure 3-3. Total Personal Income, by Region, 2007 & 2008

(Dollars in Millions)





Figure 3-4. Percent Change in Total Income (2007 & 2008) & 2008 Per Capita Income, by Region



Overview

The U.S. Census Bureau indicates that the population of the Great Plains grew more rapidly than the U.S. population between 1950 and 2007, although, most of its counties lost population during that same period. The Great Plains runs from the Canadian to the Mexican border through parts of ten states, including the western part of Kansas. The Great Plains contains 18 percent of the lower 48 states' land mass and roughly three percent of their population. The population of the Great Plains more than doubled from 1950 to 2007 from 4.9 million to 9.9 million. Counties in the Great Plains' metro areas more than tripled in population since 1950, counties outside the metro area with an urban core with a population of less than 10,000, had a 23 percent decline. Forty-six of the 58 Great Plains counties in Kansas lost population from 1950 to 2007, as did 20 of the 25 Great Plains counties in North Dakota. Prior to 1950, almost 60 percent of the Great Plains counties reached their maximum population with most peaking between 1900 and 1920.

According to the Census Bureau, the total population in the United States was 304,059,724 on July 1, 2008, which is an increase of 0.8 percent from 2007. Kansas had a total population of 2,802,134 on July 1, 2008, which represents 0.9 percent of the total national population and is an increase of 0.9 percent from 2007. This figure was certified on July 1, 2009. By state statute (KSA 11-201), the official population of Kansas is certified from the latest estimates released by the Bureau of the Census. As of April 1, 2000, the Kansas population was 2,688,418. On July 1, 2008, the population for calendar year 2007 was certified at 2,802,134. This certification serves as the official population of the state. The certified population for Kansas, including all counties and townships is shown in Appendix F.

As the population in Kansas continues to increase, the cities with populations of more than 5,000 are realizing the greatest proportion of the increase. Historically, Kansas has been predominantly rural. However, that trend is changing. Of the 627 cities in

Kansas, 58 have populations that exceed 5,000; 569 have populations of less than 5,000; and 428 have populations of less than 1,000 people. The total population of all cities in Kansas is 2,293,779, which represents 81.9 percent of the total population.

Of the 20 largest cities in Kansas, five have populations that exceed 100,000, including Wichita (366,046), Overland Park (171,231), Kansas City (142,562), Topeka (123,446), and Olathe (119,993). These cities are all located in the eastern half of the state. The western half of Kansas encompasses five of the 20 largest cities in Kansas, including Salina (46,483), Hutchinson (40,889), Garden City (28,557), Dodge City (25,689), and Hays (20,368). Of these five cities, only Dodge City populations decreased over the last year. In 2007, six of the 20 largest cities were in western Kansas. Junction City's population of 20,671 is a 24.6 percent increase from 2007 and it replaces the City of Liberal in the 20 largest cities in Kansas for 2008.

The population that resides in the unincorporated, or rural, areas in Kansas totals 508,355 which increased 1,431 since 2007. Also, there are 428 cities with populations of less than 1,000 people which is a decrease of three cities from 2007. These 428 cities have a total combined population of 129,388 which is 3,413 less than last year. The unincorporated areas, when combined with cities with populations of less than 1,000 people, account for 22.8 percent of the total population. This is a slight decrease from last year's level of 23.0 percent of the total population. In 2000, 24.3 percent of the total population resided in unincorporated areas and cities of less than 1,000 people.

There are 569 cities in Kansas that have a population of less than 5,000 people. These cities have a total population of 429,650 which is 1,140 more people residing in this category of cities than last year when the total population was 428,510. When the total population of these cities is combined with the rural areas, 33.5 percent of the total population is represented. Last year, 33.7 percent of the total

population resided in rural areas and cities with populations of less than 5,000.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, Kansas gained 26,137 people in the most recent year and ranked 30th nationally in terms of population gain. The greatest population growth in the U.S. occurred in Texas, which gained 422,594 people during that same period. California ranked second with 203,451, and New York ranked third with an increase of 192,568 people. In terms of percentage growth, Utah outpaced the rest of the nation with growth rates of 3.4 percent. Louisiana was second with a growth rate of 2.7 percent, and Arizona was third with a rate of 2.5 percent. The growth rate for Kansas was 0.9 percent. In terms of percentage decline, Michigan and Rhode Island had the largest decreases in population with a 0.7 percent rate. California remains the most populated state with over 36.8 million residents.

The West North Central (WNC) Region in the Midwest, which includes Kansas, grew at a slower rate (0.6 percent) than the nation as a whole (0.8 percent). The WNC region also includes Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Among the WNC states, South Dakota realized the greatest growth rate (1.0 percent). South Dakota was followed by Kansas (0.9 percent), Missouri (0.6 percent), Iowa and Nebraska (0.5 percent), Minnesota (0.4 percent) and North Dakota (0.3 percent). The resident population for the U.S., national regions, states, and Kansas counties for 2004 through 2008 are shown in Appendix G.

The data used in this report are based on both full count census information and on estimates. Because both methods are presented, a brief discussion of each methodology follows.

U.S. Census Bureau's Methodology of Estimating State Populations

National population estimates are formulated by using the latest decennial census data as a benchmark and incorporating administrative data from federal agencies. Currently, the 2000 census serves as the benchmark. Each year following a decennial census, the benchmark is statistically adjusted using numerous variables, and a national estimate is reached. The next

step is to formulate estimates for each state, county or parish, city, and township in the United States. All of these estimates sum to the national estimate, which is used as a control.

The annual estimates help identify population shifts, as well as trends and potential changes in some federal grants-in-aid formulas. The total state population and a state's proportion of the national total are factors in some formulas used to calculate federal grant-in-aid program allotments, including the Social Services Block Grant and the annual state private activity bond limitations. However, for most grant-in-aid programs, changes in population do not affect allocations directly.

Annual estimates in population change because of two factors: migration and natural growth. Migration refers to the net effect of migration into and out of each state. In 2008, there were 5,225 individuals who migrated into Kansas from another country, a decrease of 792 (13.2 percent) from 2007. During that same year, the internal, or state-to-state, migration for Kansas was 284, compared to -2,550 in 2007. Natural growth refers to the additions to population from births and the subtractions from deaths. There were 42,579 births and 23,321 deaths in Kansas in 2008 compared to 40,828 births and 24,132 deaths in 2007.

The variables used to produce population estimates are based on data series that capture both migration and natural growth. Variables include vital statistics, such as births and deaths, school statistics from state and parochial school systems, and data from federal income tax returns. Also incorporated into the estimates are data pertaining to housing permits issued, certificates of occupancy, and utility hookups. The latter three variables were included in the methodology beginning with the 1997 estimates. The inclusion of these variables is a significant change in the methodology because, prior to 1997, no housing variables were included. Analysis indicates the inclusion has improved the accuracy of the estimates.

The Census Bureau offers cautions about comparing decennial census numbers with intercensal model-based estimates. It is important to note that differences between decennial census estimates and model-based estimates are ambiguous estimates of changes in the levels of income or poverty at the county level. The ambiguity arises because these

differences reflect both changes in the levels of income and poverty and differences in the methods by which the two cross-sectional estimates were made. For more information, see the Bureau's explanation at <http://www.census.gov/did/www/saipe/index.html>.

There is uncertainty associated with all estimates. For this reason, the Bureau quantifies the uncertainty through confidence intervals. A confidence interval is a range of values that describes the uncertainty surrounding an estimate. The Bureau indicates a confidence interval by its endpoints. For example, the 90.0 percent confidence interval for the number of people, of all ages, in poverty in the United States in 1995 based on the *March 1996 Current Population Survey* is "35,534,124 to 37,315,094."

A confidence interval is also itself an estimate. It is made using a model of how sampling, interviewing, measuring, and modeling contribute to uncertainty about the relation between the true value of the quantity that is being estimated and the estimate of that value. How a confidence interval is interpreted is important. The "90.0 percent" in the confidence interval listed above represents a level of certainty about the estimate. If new estimates were made repeatedly using the same procedure, the confidence intervals would contain the average of all the estimates 90.0 percent of the time. This unknown average is treated as valid because the modeling procedure used by the Census Bureau is defined as the official measure, for example, of poverty. In these cases, the Bureau has produced a single estimate in a way that, if repeated indefinitely, would result in 90.0 percent of the confidence intervals formed containing the true value. The Census Bureau routinely employs 90.0 percent confidence intervals.

Confidence intervals are one way to represent how "good" an estimate is; the larger a 90.0 percent confidence interval for a particular estimate, the more caution is required when using the estimate. Confidence intervals are an important reminder of the limitations of the estimates.

The Decennial Census

Each decennial census yields a wealth of data that are important to a diversified user-group. The actual

count for 2000 was completed on April 1, 2000, and is now the benchmark from which all population estimates are derived through 2009. The decennial census assists in determining how much money states will receive in a number of federal grant programs because several of the programs include population count as a component of their distribution formulas.

The electoral effects of the census are understood fairly well because of the effect on congressional seats. Less understood is the interaction between the population count and federal grant programs. The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that for the purposes of reapportioning seats in the House of Representatives, sampling techniques are prohibited. However, the ruling does not prohibit sampling from being used to allocate funds for federal formula grant programs.

According to the General Accounting Office, there are 22 large formula grant programs that rely in part on data derived from the decennial census. Medicaid is the largest program. The three large formula grant programs that do not use census data are special education; the administrative portion of the nutrition program for women, infants, and children; and low-income home energy assistance.

Poverty

Important items that are determined from the decennial census are the poverty thresholds because they serve as a measure of need for a household. Poverty thresholds originated in 1964. The thresholds were derived using the U.S. Department of Agriculture's food budgets, which were designed for families under economic stress. The thresholds also used other data relating to what portion of those families' incomes was spent on food.

Although the methodology has evolved, poverty thresholds are still the dollar amounts used to determine poverty status. Currently, each person or family is assigned one out of 48 possible poverty thresholds. Thresholds vary according to the size of the family and the ages of the family members.

Although the thresholds in some sense reflect families' needs, they are intended for use as a statistical yardstick, not as a complete description of what people

and families need to live. The official measure of poverty was established by the Office of Management and Budget in Statistical Policy Directive 14 and is to be used by federal agencies in their statistical work.

Government aid programs are not required to use the official poverty measure as eligibility criteria. In fact, many government aid programs use a different poverty measure, such as the Department of Health and Human Services Poverty Guidelines or its variants.

Each aid program may define eligibility differently. However, the official poverty data come from the *Annual Social and Economic Supplement to the Current Population Survey*, which formerly was called the *Annual Demographic Supplement* or simply the “March Supplement.”

The same thresholds are used throughout the United States and do not vary geographically. In addition, the thresholds are updated annually for inflation using the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. Appendix H presents the poverty thresholds for 2008. The thresholds are used by comparing total family “money” income with the established thresholds. For individuals who do not live with family members, their own income is compared with the appropriate threshold. If total family income equals or exceeds the threshold, the family (or unrelated individual) is not in poverty. If total family money income is less than the threshold appropriate for that family, the family is in poverty. If a family is determined to be in poverty, all family members have the same poverty status.

Income is the primary component used to compute poverty status. The official measure of income that is used by the U.S. Census Bureau is “money income.” It includes earnings; unemployment compensation; workers compensation; Social Security; Supplemental Security Income; public assistance; veterans payments; survivor benefits; pension or retirement income; interest; dividends; rents; royalties; income from estates; trusts; educational assistance; alimony; child support; assistance from outside the household; and other miscellaneous sources. Non-cash benefits, such as food stamps and housing subsidies, do not count. Income is the total of all these sources before taxes, and it excludes any capital gains or losses. The income of all family members is aggregated to determine the household income. The income earned by non-relatives living in the household, such as housemates, is not included in a household’s income.

For example, a family has five members, including two children, their mother, their father, and the children’s great-aunt. The appropriate threshold is \$26,338 for a five-member household with two related children under the age of 18. Assume that the family members’ income in 2008 was \$30,000, of which \$12,000 was earned by the mother, \$8,000 was earned by the father, and \$10,000 was earned by the great-aunt. Neither child earned any income. To determine this family’s poverty status, the family’s total income is compared with the family’s threshold. Because its income was greater than the threshold, this family is not “in poverty” according to the official definition.

Two other measures that are derived from these data are the Ratio of Income to Poverty and the Income Surplus/Deficit. The ratio is calculated by dividing the family’s total money income by the threshold. The example family’s ratio of income to poverty is 1.13. The difference in dollars between total family income and the family’s poverty threshold is called the Income Surplus/Deficit. For families in poverty, their income is less than the threshold and it is called an “income deficit.” An “income surplus” occurs for families above poverty when its income is greater than the threshold. The example family’s income surplus was \$3,662 (\$30,000 minus \$26,338).

People whose poverty status cannot be determined are not included in any poverty statistics produced by the Census Bureau. Those not included are individuals living in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes), college dormitories, military barracks, and living situations without conventional housing (and who are not in shelters). In addition, unrelated individuals under age 15, such as foster children, are not included. The reason for this exclusion is that income questions are asked of people only age 15 and older.

School District Populations

The school district estimates provided in Appendix I are derived from the U.S. Census Bureau’s school district mapping project. The latest mapping project for which data are available was conducted at the same time as the 2000 Census. The school district boundary survey is conducted biennially. The survey used for

this project asks each state's Department of Education for a list of all school districts and their boundaries.

The population and poverty estimates for each estimate-year are produced for all school districts identified in the most recent boundary update. The Bureau uses the most current list of school districts and associated geography because it allows for efficient allocation of funds under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 for which the estimates are produced.

A listing of the population estimates for each school district in Kansas in 2007 is shown in Appendix I. This is the most recent year for which data are available. Also included in the appendix is the "head count," or actual enrollment numbers for 2007 which was provided by the Kansas Department of Education. It should be noted that the count from the Kansas Department of Education may not match the Census Bureau's estimate. There are several reasons for the difference, but the most significant is that the number of individuals who are in private or parochial schools and those who are home schooled are not included in the Department's figures.

Health Insurance Coverage

The U.S. Census Bureau also provides statistics on health insurance coverage. The Bureau collects health insurance data using two national surveys, which are the *Current Population Survey (CPS)* and the *Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP)*.

The surveys differ in their length because of the detail of the questionnaire, the number of households interviewed, the methodology used to collect and process the data, and, consequently, in the health insurance coverage estimates that are produced. As a result, it is important to understand that different surveys and methods produce different results, and when it is appropriate to use each survey or method.

The CPS is useful mainly for examining timely estimates of the insured and uninsured population at the national level. The CPS also is useful as a source of estimates of the insured and uninsured populations at the state level. The SIPP is useful mainly for

examining the dynamics of health insurance coverage as it changes over time.

The CPS is a monthly survey of approximately 50,000 households conducted by the Census Bureau for the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the data are used primarily to estimate the unemployment rate. The *Annual Social & Economic Supplement (ASEC)* to the CPS, a survey of approximately 78,000 households, includes detailed health insurance questions asked of the household respondent for every household resident. Respondents are asked about health insurance coverage in the previous calendar year. The ASEC provides a consistent historical time series at the national level and can be used to examine state-level trends and differences by using multi-year averages. However, the large sampling errors of state-level data limit its usefulness.

The ASEC is perhaps the most widely used source of data on health insurance coverage in the United States and is the official source of estimates used to allocate federal funding to states for the State Children's Health Insurance Program.

The ASEC provides reliable estimates of the net change in the number of uninsured people from one year to the next. However, it does not show how long a person remains uninsured, what percentage of the uninsured population remains uninsured in the following year, or how many people obtain coverage. Neither does it show any changes in a person's coverage within a given year.

These more dynamic measures of health insurance coverage are available from the SIPP. Unlike the ASEC, which is not designed to follow the same respondents in consecutive years, the SIPP is a longitudinal survey. This means that the SIPP interviews the same respondents three times a year over the course of three to four years.

Nationally, the number of people with health insurance coverage increased by 1.7 million in 2008 from 253.4 million to 255.1 million. The total number insured represents 84.6 percent of the total population. In Kansas, the total number insured represents 87.9 percent of the total population. The number of people in Kansas with health insurance increased by 18,000, which represents a 0.6 percentage point increase in the coverage rate from 2007 to 2008. Appendix J shows

the health insurance coverage status in the U.S. and Kansas from 1991 to 2008.

Demographics

Demographics are the components included in the population. The categories used by the U.S. Census Bureau include age, race, gender, and ethnicity. The demographic makeup of Kansas is becoming more diverse. Historically, the population of Kansas has been predominantly of the White race and non-Hispanic in ethnicity. The same overall make up remains; however, the proportion of Hispanic ethnicity displays an upward trend.

Appendix K presents the dynamics the estimates for 2002 through 2008. Each category, including total population by age, race, gender, and ethnicity is shown. The table also shows each category as a percent of the relevant total population.

Age

This report identifies five age categories, including under five years of age, five to 19 years of age, 20 to 64 years of age, 65 years of age and older, and 85 years of age and older. It should be noted the category of 85 years of age and older is a subcategory of 65 years of age and older.

Analysis indicates the population of Kansas is aging, but at a slower pace than the rest of the United States. As of July 1, 2008, there were 2,802,134 people in Kansas with a median age of 36.2 years, which is only slightly younger than the national median age of 36.8 years. In addition, when the trends for age are examined, most notably, the population of school age children has seen the most significant decrease since 2002, while the older cohorts have steadily increased since 2002.

Included in the 2008 total are 202,529 people who are less than five years of age. This is an increase of 6,391 from 2007. This category remained virtually unchanged from 2002 to 2005, however has steadily increased since 2006. Proportionally, this cohort represents 7.2 percent of the total state population and is up 3.3 percent from the previous year. In 2000, this cohort represented 7.0 percent of the state population.

The population of school age children five to 19 years of age totaled 579,901 in 2008. This is a 1,109 person decrease, or 0.2 percent, since 2007 and a 15,118 person decrease, or 2.5 percent, since 2002. Proportionally, this cohort represents 20.7 percent of the total state population. In 2002, it represented 21.9 percent of the total state population. In 2000, children five to 19 years represented 22.7 percent.

In 2008, the population from 20 to 64 years of age was 1,652,998 and represented 59.0 percent of the total state population. In 2007, it also represented 59.0 percent and in 2000, it represented 57.1 percent. This cohort increased by 14,365 people, since 2007 and increased by 78,283, or 5.0 percent, since 2002.

In 2000, the estimated population of Kansans who were 65 years of age and older was 356,229. In 2008, that number was 366,706, which is an increase of 2.9 percent from the 2000 level and a 1.8 percent increase over the previous year. This cohort represents 13.1 percent of Kansas' total population in 2008. During that same year, there were 38.9 million people over the age of 65 in the nation.

The elderly are generally considered to be those who are 85 years of age or older. In 2000, there was an estimated 4.2 million individuals over the age of 85 in the U.S., representing 12.4 percent of the total U.S. population. During that same year in Kansas, 51,770 individuals were over the age of 85, which represented 1.9 percent of the total state population. In 2008, there were 62,319 individuals who were 85 years of age and older in Kansas which represents 2.2 percent of Kansas' total population. This age cohort realized an increase of 1,607, or 2.6 percent, since 2007 and 10,549, or 20.4 percent, since 2000 and an increase of 9,040 or 17.0 percent since 2002.

Race

Race and Hispanic origin are distinctly different concepts. The concept of race as used by the Census Bureau is one of self-identification and is directly correlated to the race or races with which people most closely identify. These categories are socio-political constructs and should not be interpreted as being scientific or anthropological in nature. In addition, the Census Bureau's race categories include both racial and national-origin groups.

The racial classifications used by the Census Bureau are also used in this report and adhere to the October 30, 1997 *Federal Register* notice entitled “Revisions to the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity.” This report was issued by the Office of Management and Budget. It is important to remember that the data gathered on race in Census 2000 are completely different from previous censuses. Direct comparisons cannot be made. The racial classifications presently used are:

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as “white” or report entries such as Irish, German, Italian, Lebanese, Near Easterner, Arab, or Polish.

Black or African American. A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as “Black, African American, or Negro” or provide written entries such as African American, Afro-American, Kenyan, Nigerian, or Haitian.

American Indian & Alaska Native. This category includes those who have origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America) and who maintain an attachment to their community or tribe.

Asian. A person would choose this category if his or her origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent, including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam. This category includes those who self-identify as Asian Indian, Chinese, Filipino, Korean, Japanese, Vietnamese, and Other Asian.

Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander. This category includes those who have origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific islands. It includes people who indicate their race as Native Hawaiian, Guamanian or Chamorro, Samoan, and Other Pacific Islander.

Some Other Race. This category includes all other responses that are not included in one of the categories listed above. Respondents providing write-in entries such as multiracial, mixed, interracial, Wesort, or a

Hispanic/Latino group (for example, Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban) also are included here.

Two or More Races. This category encompasses the responses where individuals chose to self-identify with two or more races by checking two or more race response check boxes, by providing multiple write-in responses, or by some combination of the two.

Between 2002 and 2007, the demographic makeup by race and by age has remained relatively stable with only minor shifts. In 2008, 88.7 percent of the population self-identified as white alone, while 6.2 percent self-identified as black alone, and 1.0 percent as American Indian or Alaska Native alone. Only 2.2 percent self-identified as Asian alone; only 0.1 percent self-identified as Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander alone; and 1.8 percent self-identified as two or more races.

Gender

This category is determined by self-classification based on gender as either male or female. In 2008, the total Kansas population consisted of 1,391,821 males and 1,410,313 females, which is a ratio of 0.986 male to 1.00 female. This ratio was 0.982:1.00 in 2002. The chronology of data indicates there were more males than females from birth through middle age. By 65 years of age, the number of females is greater than the number of males, with the ratio increasing as the population ages. The percentage breakdown and ratio in each age cohort have remained relatively constant from 2002 through 2008. The 65 years of age and older category is the first to show more females than males. For the population that is 85 years of age and older, the difference between males and females is even more marked.

Ethnicity

The U.S. Bureau of the Census categorizes ethnicity as either Hispanic or non-Hispanic. People who identify with the terms “Hispanic” or “Latino” are those who classify themselves in one of the specific Hispanic or Latino categories—Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban—as well as those who indicate that they are “other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino.” Interestingly, origin can be viewed as the heritage, nationality group,

lineage, or country of birth of the person or the person's parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. Those individuals who self-identify their origin as Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino may be of any race.

In 2008, there were 255,409 Hispanic individuals in Kansas, which represented 9.1 percent of the total population, an increase of 11,103 persons which is a 4.5 percent increase from 2007. In 2002, there were 205,385 Hispanic individuals in Kansas, which was 7.6 percent of the total population. All age cohorts show the same relative increase from 2002 through 2008.

Kansas Population Projections

In Kansas, population estimates and projections are widely used by state agencies, local governments, and individuals. The variety of uses includes a diversified user base that includes all levels of government, the

media, businesses, special interest groups, and individuals.

By state statute, on July 1 of each year Kansas certifies as the official state population the U.S. Census Bureau's most recent population estimates for sub-county areas. Unlike the annual certification, the state's population projections are not required to be produced each year. As a general rule, the Kansas Division of the Budget publishes updated population projections every three to five years.

Since the 2000 Census, the projections have been updated twice. The first set was produced in 2002. The most recent set was produced in November 2006 when the Kansas Division of the Budget contracted with Moody's Economy.com to produce population projections, which allows Kansas to fulfill its obligation to provide timely, accurate, and useful data. This independent method provides valuable feedback to the U.S. Census Bureau. Appendix L shows the population projections for Kansas and all counties, by age, for 2010 through 2021.

Appendix A

Kansas Personal Income, 2007-2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

			Percent Change	
	2007	2008	2007 - 2008	
Personal Income	\$ 103,844,780	\$ 108,778,736	4.8	%
Nonfarm Earnings	77,263,123	80,112,928	3.7	
Farm Earnings	1,451,818	2,696,195	85.7	
Earnings by Place of Work	78,714,941	82,809,123	5.2	
Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	8,674,108	9,082,166	4.7	
Plus: Adjustment for Residence	970,685	1,070,432	10.3	
Equals: Net Earnings by Place of Residence	71,011,518	74,797,389	5.3	
Plus: Dividends, Interest, & Rent	18,432,551	18,442,305	0.1	
Plus: Transfer Payments	14,400,711	15,539,042	7.9	
Equals: Personal Income	103,844,780	108,778,736	4.8	
Salary & Wage Disbursements	55,412,780	57,489,203	3.7	
Other Labor Income	13,436,419	14,036,358	4.5	
Proprietors' Income	9,865,742	11,283,562	14.4	
Farm Proprietors' Income	973,459	2,225,878	128.7	
Nonfarm Proprietors' Income	8,892,283	9,057,684	1.9	
Farm Earnings	1,451,818	2,696,195	85.7	
Nonfarm Earnings	77,263,123	80,112,928	3.7	
Private Earnings	62,639,168	64,737,704	3.4	
Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities, & Other	282,763	291,052	2.9	
Forestry & Logging	(D)	(D)	NA	
Fishing, Hunting, & Trapping	(D)	(D)	NA	
Agriculture & Forestry Support Activities	277,608	285,723	2.9	
Mining	1,102,613	1,288,477	16.9	
Oil & Gas Extraction	669,737	808,060	20.7	
Mining (except Oil & Gas)	85,711	93,080	8.6	
Support Activities for Mining	347,165	387,337	11.6	
Utilities	748,670	795,278	6.2	
Construction	4,552,566	4,413,807	(3.0)	
Construction of Buildings	957,355	906,330	(5.3)	
Heavy & Civil Engineering Construction	684,098	709,279	3.7	
Specialty Trade Contractors	2,911,113	2,798,198	(3.9)	
Manufacturing	12,526,992	12,736,605	1.7	
Durable Goods Manufacturing	8,047,902	8,185,497	1.7	
Wood Product Manufacturing	95,523	92,168	(3.5)	
Nonmetallic Mineral Product Manufacturing	340,598	342,335	0.5	
Primary Metal Manufacturing	178,913	186,875	4.5	
Fabricated Metal Product Manufacturing	713,181	778,310	9.1	
Machinery Manufacturing	1,016,516	1,081,174	6.4	
Computer & Electronic Product Manufacturing	593,157	609,978	2.8	
Electrical Equipment & Appliance Manufacturing	246,161	248,189	0.8	
Motor Vehicle Manufacturing	(D)	(D)	NA	
Trans. Equipment Mfg. Excl. Motor Vehicles	(D)	(D)	NA	
Furniture & Related Product Manufacturing	220,457	213,854	(3.0)	
Miscellaneous Manufacturing	311,671	309,892	(0.6)	
Nondurable Goods Manufacturing	4,479,090	4,551,108	1.6	
Food Manufacturing	1,557,234	1,569,294	0.8	
Beverage & Tobacco Product Manufacturing	30,229	31,981	5.8	
Textile Mills	8,840	8,820	(0.2)	
Textile Product Mills	51,342	55,579	8.3	
Apparel Manufacturing	69,505	66,910	(3.7)	
Leather & Allied Product Manufacturing	5,059	4,248	(16.0)	

Appendix A (cont'd)

Kansas Personal Income, 2007-2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

			Percent Change	
	2007	2008	2007 - 2008	
Nondurable Goods Manufacturing (continued)				
Paper Manufacturing	\$ 154,148	\$ 150,321	(2.5)	%
Printing & Related Support Activities	527,111	504,215	(4.3)	
Petroleum & Coal Products Manufacturing	800,261	863,233	7.9	
Chemical Manufacturing	747,034	777,391	4.1	
Plastics & Rubber Products Manufacturing	528,327	519,116	(1.7)	
Wholesale Trade	4,320,212	4,657,163	7.8	
Retail Trade	4,838,790	4,920,439	1.7	
Motor Vehicle & Parts Dealers	955,770	956,009	0.0	
Furniture & Home Furnishings Stores	234,553	232,240	(1.0)	
Electronics & Appliance Stores	249,091	249,503	0.2	
Building Material & Garden Supply Stores	436,173	428,717	(1.7)	
Food & Beverage Stores	713,635	738,944	3.5	
Health & Personal Care Stores	327,544	338,319	3.3	
Gasoline Stations	288,718	280,810	(2.7)	
Clothing & Clothing Accessories Stores	236,117	239,010	1.2	
Sporting Goods, Hobby, Book, & Music Stores	164,272	165,774	0.9	
General Merchandise Stores	777,199	828,086	6.5	
Miscellaneous Store Retailers	286,483	279,498	(2.4)	
Nonstore Retailers	169,235	183,529	8.4	
Transportation & Warehousing	2,920,122	2,970,686	1.7	
Air Transportation	37,074	39,463	6.4	
Rail Transportation	(D)	(D)	NA	
Water Transportation	(D)	(D)	NA	
Truck Transportation	1,243,859	1,284,512	3.3	
Transit & Ground Passenger Transportation	102,625	105,512	2.8	
Pipeline Transportation	74,690	75,838	1.5	
Scenic & Sightseeing Transportation	(D)	(D)	NA	
Support Activities for Transportation	207,208	218,603	5.5	
Couriers & Messengers	334,589	344,281	2.9	
Warehousing & Storage	363,465	346,176	(4.8)	
Information	3,593,269	3,479,790	(3.2)	
Publishing Industries, except Internet	427,145	435,751	2.0	
Motion Picture & Sound Recording Industries	30,079	31,055	3.2	
Broadcasting, except Internet	472,162	491,804	4.2	
Internet Publishing & Broadcasting	(N)	(N)	NA	
Telecommunications	2,431,405	2,300,498	(5.4)	
ISPs, Search Portals, & Data Processing	192,871	184,389	(4.4)	
Other Information Services	39,607	36,293	(8.4)	
Finance & Insurance	4,220,555	4,361,995	3.4	
Monetary Authorities—Central Bank	(D)	(D)	NA	
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	1,604,605	1,645,402	2.5	
Securities, Commodity Contracts, Investments	\$ 660,184	\$ 690,829	4.6	
Insurance Carriers & Related Activities	1,906,389	1,968,426	3.3	
Funds, Trusts, & Other Financial Vehicles	(D)	(D)	NA	
Real Estate & Rental—Leasing	926,754	911,215	(1.7)	
Real Estate	578,888	546,867	(5.5)	
Rental & Leasing Services	336,456	353,260	5.0	
Lessors of Nonfinancial Intangible Assets	11,410	11,088	(2.8)	
Professional & Technical Services	4,879,915	5,215,212	6.9	
Management of Companies & Enterprises	1,166,591	1,325,278	13.6	
Administrative & Waste Services	3,008,451	3,098,871	3.0	
Administrative & Support Services	2,821,959	2,924,879	3.6	
Waste Management & Remediation Services	186,492	173,992	(6.7)	

Appendix A (cont'd)

Kansas Personal Income, 2007-2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

			<u>Percent Change</u>	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007 - 2008</u>	
Educational Services	\$ 562,074	\$ 617,913	9.9	%
Health Care & Social Assistance	7,676,531	8,139,847	6.0	
Ambulatory Health Care Services	3,671,145	3,871,814	5.5	
Hospitals	2,230,088	2,379,001	6.7	
Nursing & Residential Care Facilities	1,019,725	1,076,614	5.6	
Social Assistance	755,573	812,418	7.5	
Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	314,507	326,814	3.9	
Performing Arts & Spectator Sports	108,458	106,242	(2.0)	
Museums, Historical Sites, Zoos, & Parks	12,609	13,467	6.8	
Amusement, Gambling, & Recreation	193,440	207,105	7.1	
Accommodation & Food Services	2,044,236	2,102,920	2.9	
Accommodations	286,550	272,960	(4.7)	
Food Services & Drinking Places	1,757,686	1,829,960	4.1	
Other Services, except Public Administration	2,953,557	3,084,342	4.4	
Repair & Maintenance	986,244	1,026,186	4.0	
Personal & Laundry Services	776,481	806,233	3.8	
Membership Associations & Organizations	1,063,244	1,118,481	5.2	
Private Households	127,588	133,442	4.6	
Government & Government Enterprises	14,623,955	15,375,224	5.1	
Federal, Civilian	2,171,778	2,197,668	1.2	
Military	2,570,927	2,844,514	10.6	
State & Local	9,881,250	10,333,042	4.6	
State Government	2,619,126	2,711,320	3.5	
Local Government	7,262,124	7,621,722	5.0	

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Estimates of earnings are based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS).

(D) Not shown to avoid disclosure of confidential information, but estimates for this item are included in total.

Appendix B

State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2006-2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Personal Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Personal Income		Percent Change		
	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank
United States	12,225,589,000		5.5	2.9 %		40,208		4.5	2.0 %	
Far West	2,213,838,092	3	5.6	2.4	7	42,845	3	4.6	1.2	8
Great Lakes	1,742,911,863	4	4.1	2.3	8	37,566	6	3.8	2.1	5
Mideast	2,242,857,339	2	6.6	2.8	4	47,001	2	6.3	2.5	2
New England	702,966,446	7	5.4	2.6	5	49,146	1	5.2	2.3	4
Plains	788,785,947	6	5.5	4.6	1	39,115	4	4.8	3.9	1
Rocky Mountain	409,538,019	8	6.4	3.3	3	38,275	5	4.1	1.3	7
Southeast	2,785,178,439	1	5.2	2.6	6	36,336	8	3.7	1.5	6
Southwest	1,339,512,855	5	6.2	4.3	2	36,745	7	4.0	2.4	3
Alabama	157,421,997	25	5.3	3.5	22	33,768	43	4.4	2.7	23
Alaska	30,223,608	48	6.5	7.8	2	44,039	9	5.8	7.0	2
Arizona	223,184,451	17	5.6	2.1	46	34,335	42	2.7	(0.2)	51
Arkansas	92,505,191	33	8.0	3.3	24	32,397	47	7.0	2.4	28
California	1,604,112,764	1	5.1	2.0	47	43,641	10	4.4	1.0	45
Colorado	212,320,185	22	5.7	3.3	23	42,985	13	3.7	1.3	41
Connecticut	197,023,620	23	5.6	1.5	50	56,272	2	5.5	1.2	42
Delaware	35,376,923	45	3.7	2.4	41	40,519	19	2.3	1.1	43
District of Columbia	39,131,118	44	6.8	4.2	12	66,119	1	6.3	3.5	12
Florida	719,707,709	4	3.4	0.9	51	39,267	22	2.3	0.2	49
Georgia	337,960,830	11	5.8	2.4	42	34,893	39	3.5	0.7	46
Hawaii	54,175,210	40	6.4	3.7	17	42,055	16	6.2	2.8	19
Idaho	50,398,859	41	6.4	2.4	43	33,074	45	3.9	0.5	48
Illinois	546,344,259	5	5.7	2.5	40	42,347	15	5.1	1.9	38
Indiana	220,670,002	18	3.3	3.2	28	34,605	41	2.7	2.5	26
Iowa	112,302,300	30	6.0	5.4	6	37,402	29	5.5	4.8	6
Kansas	108,778,736	31	5.4	4.8	9	38,820	24	4.6	3.8	10
Kentucky	136,939,777	28	4.5	3.6	19	32,076	48	3.6	2.8	20
Louisiana	160,658,930	24	8.0	3.9	15	36,424	32	4.8	3.0	15
Maine	47,994,130	42	4.1	4.0	14	36,457	31	4.0	3.9	9
Maryland	272,542,169	15	4.6	3.1	33	48,378	7	4.3	2.8	18
Massachusetts	333,046,494	12	5.8	3.2	25	51,254	4	5.4	2.7	22
Michigan	349,612,178	9	2.6	1.8	49	34,949	38	3.0	2.2	29
Minnesota	224,670,738	16	5.2	3.8	16	43,037	12	4.4	3.0	14
Mississippi	89,331,219	34	6.4	3.5	21	30,399	51	5.5	2.9	16
Missouri	216,546,820	20	4.5	4.3	11	36,631	30	3.7	3.7	11
Montana	33,515,577	46	6.7	3.2	26	34,644	40	5.4	2.1	33
Nebraska	69,820,901	36	6.7	4.1	13	39,150	23	6.1	3.3	13
Nevada	107,079,263	32	7.4	1.9	48	41,182	18	4.5	0.1	50
New Hampshire	57,399,130	39	4.7	2.1	44	43,623	11	4.5	1.8	39

Appendix B (cont'd)

State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2006-2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Personal Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Personal Income		Percent Change		
	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank
New Jersey	445,928,224	7	5.6	2.5	39	51,358	3	5.5	2.2	31
New Mexico	66,336,940	37	6.6	5.0	8	33,430	44	5.2	3.9	8
New York	950,209,504	2	8.6	2.7	35	48,753	5	8.3	2.4	27
North Carolina	325,953,820	13	6.2	3.1	29	35,344	36	3.9	1.1	44
North Dakota	25,575,905	50	9.5	9.3	1	39,870	21	9.2	8.7	1
Ohio	413,732,085	8	3.7	2.1	45	36,021	34	3.6	2.0	34
Oklahoma	131,070,218	29	4.3	5.8	5	35,985	35	3.2	4.8	5
Oregon	137,569,686	27	4.7	3.1	31	36,297	33	3.1	1.6	40
Pennsylvania	499,669,401	6	4.9	3.0	34	40,140	20	4.6	2.8	21
Rhode Island	43,468,678	43	4.2	2.6	37	41,368	17	4.8	2.9	17
South Carolina	146,334,933	26	5.2	3.6	18	32,666	46	3.3	1.9	37
South Dakota	31,090,547	47	9.2	7.1	3	38,661	26	8.1	6.0	3
Tennessee	217,372,834	19	5.3	3.1	32	34,976	37	3.9	2.0	35
Texas	918,921,246	3	6.5	4.6	10	37,774	27	4.4	2.6	25
Utah	87,411,357	35	8.1	3.2	27	31,944	49	4.7	0.6	47
Vermont	24,034,394	51	4.8	2.7	36	38,686	25	4.7	2.6	24
Virginia	343,580,294	10	5.6	3.1	30	44,224	8	4.6	2.2	30
Washington	280,677,561	14	7.5	3.6	20	42,857	14	6.0	2.0	36
West Virginia	57,410,905	38	5.1	5.2	7	31,641	50	5.0	5.0	4
Wisconsin	212,553,339	21	4.3	2.6	38	37,767	28	3.8	2.1	32
Wyoming	25,892,041	49	6.7	5.9	4	48,608	6	4.6	4.0	7

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Appendix C

State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2006-2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Disposable Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Disposable Income		Percent Change		
	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank
United States	10,794,476,000		4.9	3.9 %		35,501		3.9	2.9 %	
Far West	1,946,256,624	2	5.0	3.5	7	37,667	3	4.1	2.3	7
Great Lakes	1,547,005,297	4	3.5	3.2	8	33,344	6	3.2	3.0	5
Mideast	1,922,104,750	3	5.5	3.8	4	40,279	2	5.2	3.4	2
New England	601,238,579	7	3.9	3.8	5	42,034	1	3.7	3.4	3
Plains	702,381,822	6	4.8	5.5	1	34,830	4	4.1	4.7	1
Rocky Mountain	362,840,963	8	5.8	4.3	3	33,911	5	3.4	2.2	8
Southeast	2,500,519,263	1	4.9	3.6	6	32,622	8	3.4	2.4	6
Southwest	1,212,128,702	5	5.9	5.2	2	33,251	7	3.8	3.3	4
Alabama	142,374,130	25	5.1	4.3	22	30,540	43	4.2	3.5	21
Alaska	27,487,457	48	5.6	8.9	2	40,052	8	4.9	8.1	2
Arizona	201,244,794	16	5.8	3.3	42	30,960	41	2.9	0.9	51
Arkansas	83,849,826	33	7.4	3.9	32	29,365	47	6.4	3.0	31
California	1,401,289,639	1	4.5	3.3	41	38,123	12	3.8	2.2	42
Colorado	186,690,736	22	4.9	4.2	24	37,796	13	2.9	2.2	43
Connecticut	163,209,439	23	3.3	2.9	48	46,615	2	3.2	2.5	40
Delaware	31,175,827	45	3.6	3.6	37	35,707	20	2.2	2.3	41
District of Columbia	33,912,353	44	5.7	5.2	11	57,301	1	5.3	4.5	12
Florida	648,005,086	4	3.3	2.1	51	35,355	23	2.2	1.3	48
Georgia	301,539,550	10	5.6	3.4	39	31,132	39	3.3	1.7	46
Hawaii	48,469,233	40	6.4	4.6	16	37,626	15	6.2	3.8	17
Idaho	45,321,135	41	6.4	3.3	40	29,742	45	3.9	1.5	47
Illinois	480,650,476	5	4.7	3.4	38	37,255	16	4.2	2.8	36
Indiana	197,241,758	18	2.7	4.0	28	30,931	42	2.0	3.3	25
Iowa	101,052,775	30	5.3	6.3	6	33,656	28	4.7	5.6	5
Kansas	96,663,257	31	4.3	5.6	9	34,496	25	3.5	4.7	10
Kentucky	122,455,584	27	3.9	4.0	26	28,683	49	3.0	3.2	28
Louisiana	146,427,144	24	8.0	4.6	17	33,197	30	4.8	3.7	18
Maine	43,107,224	42	3.8	4.9	14	32,745	31	3.7	4.8	9
Maryland	235,639,857	15	4.0	3.9	30	41,828	6	3.7	3.7	19
Massachusetts	283,374,310	13	4.2	4.3	23	43,610	4	3.8	3.8	16
Michigan	313,510,545	9	2.0	2.7	50	31,340	38	2.3	3.2	29
Minnesota	196,663,371	19	4.5	4.6	15	37,672	14	3.7	3.9	15
Mississippi	82,306,860	34	6.1	4.0	29	28,009	51	5.2	3.3	27
Missouri	193,502,320	20	3.7	5.1	12	32,733	32	2.9	4.5	11
Montana	30,042,958	46	5.8	4.1	25	31,054	40	4.5	2.9	34
Nebraska	62,651,901	36	6.0	5.0	13	35,130	24	5.4	4.2	13
Nevada	96,271,209	32	7.9	3.1	45	37,025	17	4.9	1.3	49
New Hampshire	51,536,526	39	4.1	3.0	47	39,167	9	3.8	2.7	38

Appendix C (cont'd)

State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2006-2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Disposable Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Disposable Income		Percent Change		
	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank	2008	Rank	06-07	07-08	Rank
	New Jersey	384,631,601	7	4.2	3.7	34	44,299	3	4.1	3.3
New Mexico	60,196,213	37	6.4	5.9	7	30,335	44	4.9	4.8	8
New York	796,409,646	3	7.4	3.7	35	40,862	7	7.1	3.4	24
North Carolina	289,051,327	12	5.4	4.0	27	31,342	37	3.2	2.0	44
North Dakota	23,265,347	49	8.7	10.2	1	36,268	19	8.4	9.6	1
Ohio	367,457,321	8	3.4	3.0	46	31,992	35	3.2	3.0	33
Oklahoma	117,512,216	29	3.7	6.7	5	32,263	33	2.6	5.6	4
Oregon	120,291,048	28	5.2	2.7	49	31,739	36	3.7	1.3	50
Pennsylvania	440,335,466	6	4.1	3.8	33	35,373	22	3.8	3.6	20
Rhode Island	38,620,563	43	3.6	3.7	36	36,754	18	4.2	3.9	14
South Carolina	131,998,336	26	4.8	4.6	19	29,465	46	2.9	2.8	37
South Dakota	28,582,851	47	8.9	8.1	3	35,542	21	7.7	6.9	3
Tennessee	199,546,613	17	5.0	3.9	31	32,108	34	3.7	2.8	35
Texas	833,175,479	2	6.2	5.5	10	34,249	27	4.1	3.4	23
Utah	77,960,112	35	7.6	4.5	20	28,490	50	4.2	1.9	45
Vermont	21,390,517	51	4.1	3.3	43	34,430	26	4.0	3.2	30
Virginia	300,872,907	11	5.1	4.3	21	38,727	10	4.1	3.4	22
Washington	252,448,038	14	6.6	4.6	18	38,546	11	5.2	3.0	32
West Virginia	52,091,900	38	4.7	5.7	8	28,709	48	4.5	5.4	6
Wisconsin	188,145,197	21	4.1	3.2	44	33,430	29	3.5	2.6	39
Wyoming	22,826,022	50	6.1	6.7	4	42,852	5	4.0	4.8	7

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Appendix D

Kansas County Personal Income, 2007

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adj. for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Allen	\$177,768	\$49,150	(\$27,815)	\$38,336	\$56,788	\$92,229	(\$926)	\$30,161	\$355,369
Anderson	60,279	15,231	(4,603)	16,711	29,925	50,501	47,328	11,152	204,219
Atchison	223,809	54,351	22,801	27,793	91,630	92,824	(103)	36,273	476,832
Barber	55,799	14,309	(27,427)	22,684	30,833	35,744	1,653	10,250	123,346
Barton	451,122	100,285	4,622	136,657	185,691	172,623	(3,926)	75,432	971,643
Bourbon	207,606	49,767	(17,502)	26,918	66,435	99,579	(10,143)	34,579	388,080
Brown	153,013	39,810	18,994	21,578	45,632	67,504	2,782	24,324	324,989
Butler	589,759	163,271	7,684	172,442	327,221	305,719	797,939	102,136	2,261,899
Chase	23,764	6,517	13,564	19,922	13,616	17,694	24,882	5,012	114,948
Chautauqua	23,320	6,012	(19,020)	16,374	33,556	31,890	18,627	5,046	105,712
Cherokee	196,353	51,571	5,494	68,195	68,633	145,699	91,340	35,762	591,524
Cheyenne	25,400	5,809	28,096	5,525	14,726	20,441	1,214	4,394	96,817
Clark	27,799	6,764	(21,656)	7,092	11,802	13,637	3,816	4,078	45,175
Clay	88,917	22,316	598	38,995	57,634	53,331	57,858	16,314	303,335
Cloud	103,626	26,530	6,282	13,819	44,372	69,005	9,457	17,613	255,477
Coffey	168,901	48,685	4,353	32,903	40,648	57,331	(27,985)	26,712	298,123
Comanche	17,138	4,651	(13,396)	6,293	7,482	11,958	1,460	3,024	32,563
Cowley	507,886	127,562	(13,582)	56,932	171,988	233,248	64,037	82,421	1,065,650
Crawford	534,234	136,462	2,459	8,303	222,872	248,186	(11,842)	83,220	1,057,454
Decatur	28,840	6,594	6,547	7,275	29,874	21,571	2,640	5,098	98,243
Dickinson	204,289	54,078	(3,551)	15,985	105,036	110,680	122,707	34,677	574,545
Doniphan	95,846	26,765	14,944	9,511	21,814	45,316	16,528	15,179	215,545
Douglas	1,649,096	395,124	(11,743)	211,783	727,155	393,366	413,692	248,128	3,530,344
Edwards	31,261	7,137	50,772	7,363	17,014	21,301	6,152	5,051	135,948
Elk	16,416	5,110	(10,991)	6,701	10,633	24,266	15,473	3,411	64,196
Ellis	490,199	110,444	15,443	125,457	191,349	144,088	(4,394)	79,209	993,377
Ellsworth	72,981	19,056	7,088	14,284	36,301	39,557	3,475	12,092	180,650
Finney	579,751	130,183	43,868	83,792	146,030	152,083	(28,146)	85,428	1,022,133
Ford	544,337	130,721	34,740	59,313	154,042	135,365	(28,642)	80,957	948,919
Franklin	316,192	70,909	(8,476)	38,747	98,024	158,619	159,549	50,603	782,960
Geary	1,280,485	705,483	(18,824)	28,907	130,502	114,394	(1,018,917)	167,445	1,054,584
Gove	34,333	8,469	13,415	9,788	13,507	18,408	2,142	5,482	94,578
Graham	33,531	8,036	28,806	18,984	10,954	23,023	4,266	6,397	121,202
Grant	144,513	31,844	12,386	26,210	33,129	31,854	(15,497)	21,405	243,035
Gray	78,027	18,255	96,652	16,176	22,271	23,353	10,498	11,308	253,923
Greeley	\$19,551	\$4,160	\$19,703	\$3,027	\$6,791	\$7,440	(\$1,362)	\$2,673	\$56,638
Greenwood	52,462	12,963	7,894	19,199	27,002	52,031	34,091	10,066	195,576
Hamilton	32,055	7,324	63,162	7,135	9,143	14,122	(782)	4,599	127,560
Harper	71,696	19,367	(34,927)	23,405	29,301	41,097	15,514	12,778	152,675
Harvey	456,504	105,160	12,140	159,614	179,603	201,396	87,931	81,141	1,121,208
Haskell	56,645	12,388	97,238	12,227	25,302	16,065	6,495	8,000	218,360
Hodgeman	14,911	4,274	36,338	2,904	13,992	11,952	5,363	2,344	87,389
Jackson	129,896	32,765	(18,294)	43,011	47,887	69,682	101,808	22,740	384,015
Jefferson	120,064	30,191	2,790	11,531	72,945	95,833	254,078	20,622	566,809
Jewell	24,923	6,951	31,347	9,996	15,936	22,775	8,067	4,681	115,314

Appendix D (cont'd)

Kansas County Personal Income, 2007

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adj. for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Johnson	15,916,324	3,298,539	6,509	2,838,514	6,020,043	1,975,683	1,051,369	2,452,858	28,654,124
Kearny	46,379	11,312	16,204	5,125	18,638	18,895	10,338	6,629	120,263
Kingman	79,404	19,542	(41,206)	22,612	43,193	49,098	49,372	13,984	208,032
Kiowa	32,954	8,194	(76)	7,115	15,838	21,292	5,907	5,444	85,781
Labette	289,278	74,573	(8,381)	43,681	85,809	191,103	8,842	48,022	636,882
Lane	24,768	5,757	26,846	4,982	11,301	12,282	(871)	3,664	81,400
Leavenworth	1,122,039	443,305	(20,247)	125,100	339,307	323,137	160,675	169,023	2,324,292
Lincoln	23,365	6,912	4,988	6,454	16,171	19,763	12,849	4,348	86,154
Linn	74,517	21,750	(13,547)	11,639	32,579	62,981	74,187	13,162	250,943
Logan	34,897	9,734	14,306	6,752	20,796	17,423	(1,744)	5,648	96,515
Lyon	534,858	136,183	(5,386)	33,024	158,798	176,534	(30,925)	81,470	921,617
McPherson	514,867	138,820	11,445	109,791	190,722	164,720	(6,485)	83,610	1,040,270
Marion	102,984	26,606	7,067	19,170	49,677	77,950	65,457	18,355	330,554
Marshall	171,507	47,151	16,680	29,119	66,875	64,111	(12,303)	31,342	351,798
Meade	50,419	11,411	33,929	8,030	25,941	24,044	13,441	7,181	160,033
Miami	274,714	64,472	(21,318)	23,462	139,537	173,511	533,332	44,731	1,142,978
Mitchell	96,181	23,822	20,346	10,917	36,962	41,208	(13,576)	15,107	200,752
Montgomery	563,712	150,284	(15,682)	69,722	145,674	251,142	(42,575)	94,027	1,028,249
Morris	45,860	12,441	(7,071)	8,845	28,951	36,452	48,187	8,830	164,834
Morton	49,896	12,350	2,750	6,959	14,003	19,030	(1,403)	7,343	96,242
Nemaha	148,462	35,413	74,069	11,545	90,896	55,522	(4,391)	23,082	388,433
Neosho	247,743	59,861	(32,238)	35,515	66,585	113,828	(13,635)	40,331	437,328
Ness	42,765	10,169	13,781	18,804	20,525	22,461	6,780	7,724	127,561
Norton	77,767	19,163	1,345	10,330	27,946	31,378	(5,028)	12,082	150,819
Osage	84,021	23,665	(22,217)	9,010	61,179	97,579	197,489	15,561	435,165
Osborne	\$34,826	\$8,739	\$13,377	\$15,031	\$21,641	\$27,978	\$810	\$6,667	\$115,734
Ottawa	38,046	9,825	(14,114)	8,808	22,914	31,404	54,156	6,882	144,158
Pawnee	106,929	28,279	23,921	12,723	27,659	38,938	(3,619)	16,017	218,814
Phillips	75,621	24,008	18,739	17,348	43,267	36,826	(3,720)	12,938	199,151
Pottawatomie	287,206	67,818	5,554	54,347	94,159	87,469	87,270	45,800	638,024
Pratt	151,796	33,182	(6,109)	32,506	60,973	63,024	(3,372)	24,912	307,088
Rawlins	23,407	6,099	14,412	14,248	16,027	20,263	2,115	4,659	91,912
Reno	931,924	214,938	(11,935)	80,340	361,591	387,337	38,142	146,897	1,855,441
Republic	51,278	13,077	30,068	11,360	23,891	35,030	2,818	8,925	158,597
Rice	107,672	27,417	(5,899)	12,473	41,519	59,238	22,488	17,221	247,688
Riley	963,387	238,914	(745)	51,294	359,059	205,927	810,592	139,275	2,489,154
Rooks	61,181	15,697	25,451	12,403	25,780	35,689	5,856	10,338	171,719
Rush	34,229	9,241	6,310	5,658	15,181	25,565	4,164	5,803	94,545
Russell	81,395	21,911	2,835	11,910	52,892	56,799	(5,284)	14,095	208,365
Saline	1,092,940	260,124	(7,327)	163,848	429,876	292,139	(147,168)	172,850	1,911,583

Appendix D (cont'd)

Kansas County Personal Income, 2007

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adj. for Residence	Less: Personal	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm				Contributions for Social Insurance	
Scott	63,421	12,427	34,146	12,750	33,019	21,619	5,566	9,039	173,910
Sedgwick	11,422,882	2,711,166	(29,513)	2,505,284	3,337,706	2,465,726	(1,502,421)	1,814,631	19,096,200
Seward	408,377	94,001	30,364	77,009	81,710	90,369	(52,885)	62,210	666,735
Shawnee	3,955,781	910,452	1,842	282,839	1,170,931	1,088,093	(625,452)	606,353	6,178,134
Sheridan	27,013	6,631	48,651	14,789	17,850	15,750	1,646	4,903	127,426
Sherman	74,310	17,932	30,803	13,102	31,021	45,727	3,107	12,008	203,995
Smith	37,303	9,677	12,925	8,286	30,876	28,894	6,302	6,581	127,682
Stafford	40,175	10,520	10,901	10,120	24,935	32,129	11,373	6,626	133,526
Stanton	31,031	6,478	41,773	5,066	20,425	10,597	1,010	4,130	112,249
Stevens	68,872	16,214	70,948	13,019	39,792	24,384	2,959	10,320	225,868
Sumner	192,576	51,179	(41,970)	30,619	87,676	140,878	331,280	35,069	757,170
Thomas	118,667	27,017	58,569	11,163	42,097	43,186	(2,577)	17,966	280,157
Trego	33,201	8,658	(10,563)	5,618	14,916	20,796	4,218	5,569	71,274
Wabaunsee	43,986	11,364	(4,128)	11,480	29,428	36,387	90,636	8,020	211,133
Wallace	16,069	3,626	17,148	3,162	7,662	9,672	1,140	2,414	56,065
Washington	52,812	14,526	33,144	3,426	25,723	39,764	22,070	8,865	182,600
Wichita	30,273	6,650	62,129	6,528	12,048	11,545	909	4,221	125,861
Wilson	138,088	35,886	(19,051)	18,701	41,915	69,943	(2,819)	22,780	259,884
Woodson	19,414	5,509	11,455	9,734	11,303	26,151	9,592	4,334	88,824
Wyandotte	3,731,717	933,003	(1,958)	159,276	350,124	925,563	(1,545,702)	571,814	3,980,211
Total	\$55,412,780	\$13,436,419	\$973,459	\$8,892,283	\$18,432,551	\$14,400,711	\$970,685	\$8,674,108	\$103,844,780

Appendix E

Kansas County Personal Income, 2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adj. for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Allen	\$181,976	\$50,944	(\$20,562)	\$39,221	\$54,372	\$99,205	(\$987)	\$31,277	\$372,892
Anderson	62,412	15,923	(2,921)	16,804	28,322	54,355	40,185	11,736	203,343
Atchison	228,181	55,214	41,653	27,550	91,901	99,175	(59)	37,274	506,342
Barber	57,351	14,760	(22,031)	23,747	30,015	38,063	1,526	10,751	132,680
Barton	471,304	104,224	7,634	147,406	181,426	184,594	(3,180)	79,856	1,013,552
Bourbon	212,299	51,322	(16,092)	27,305	63,715	106,533	(8,209)	35,776	401,097
Brown	160,433	41,490	30,555	21,621	43,440	72,228	5,095	25,741	349,120
Butler	624,208	171,388	12,081	175,388	329,261	330,155	670,652	109,661	2,203,471
Chase	24,798	6,792	16,877	20,204	13,121	18,900	20,932	5,319	116,304
Chautauqua	23,573	6,173	(25,403)	16,541	33,548	33,649	16,289	5,253	99,117
Cherokee	203,264	53,590	7,759	68,881	65,886	156,982	77,133	37,455	596,041
Cheyenne	26,280	6,016	54,987	5,494	13,948	21,896	1,020	4,640	125,000
Clark	28,801	7,073	(18,797)	7,120	11,076	14,349	3,265	4,285	48,602
Clay	92,878	23,558	888	39,072	56,282	57,267	53,666	17,287	306,323
Cloud	105,935	27,365	9,895	13,906	41,716	73,519	8,313	18,244	262,405
Coffey	171,810	49,786	6,882	33,934	38,758	62,048	(22,031)	27,595	313,591
Comanche	17,544	4,788	(8,249)	6,219	6,938	12,607	1,225	3,143	37,929
Cowley	523,835	131,466	(13,517)	57,467	170,867	249,723	54,241	85,801	1,088,280
Crawford	539,192	139,312	3,789	8,170	222,385	266,107	(8,475)	84,846	1,085,635
Decatur	31,059	6,977	11,833	7,325	29,152	22,950	2,143	5,572	105,867
Dickinson	208,784	55,807	(2,434)	15,915	102,934	118,959	112,707	35,809	576,863
Doniphan	103,350	29,030	24,003	9,549	20,483	48,337	12,182	16,431	230,504
Douglas	1,682,027	405,805	(10,351)	211,269	745,054	427,847	360,824	255,444	3,567,031
Edwards	31,847	7,285	87,993	7,437	16,438	22,718	5,360	5,232	173,846
Elk	16,539	5,292	(2,956)	6,978	9,981	25,895	13,366	3,571	71,524
Ellis	510,226	114,991	26,039	126,707	196,112	155,118	(3,926)	83,228	1,042,039
Ellsworth	75,915	19,971	12,352	14,647	34,784	42,398	3,377	12,742	190,702
Finney	595,507	133,203	69,356	83,085	147,140	164,102	(22,100)	88,283	1,082,011
Ford	565,449	135,454	51,836	58,928	154,148	145,316	(23,662)	84,467	1,003,001
Franklin	325,800	73,468	(7,079)	38,577	96,735	173,316	134,551	52,553	782,814
Geary	1,457,718	855,501	(14,765)	28,673	131,844	122,437	(994,183)	189,619	1,397,607
Gove	35,785	8,794	25,860	9,944	12,514	19,519	2,127	5,798	108,745
Graham	35,239	8,454	62,536	19,299	10,262	24,503	3,819	6,850	157,261
Grant	152,647	33,402	21,866	26,371	32,916	34,181	(12,908)	22,787	265,688
Gray	80,521	19,006	147,840	16,344	21,143	25,130	9,066	11,808	307,241
Greeley	20,406	4,385	44,726	3,081	6,391	7,919	(1,071)	2,830	83,007
Greenwood	54,035	13,418	10,576	20,002	25,223	55,109	29,284	10,593	197,054
Hamilton	34,109	7,761	102,912	7,308	8,587	15,076	(996)	4,955	169,801
Harper	75,815	20,552	(44,220)	24,600	27,805	43,742	12,832	13,715	147,411
Harvey	465,936	108,100	16,115	159,588	179,598	216,857	70,929	83,825	1,133,297
Haskell	60,031	13,132	151,692	12,738	25,392	17,144	5,280	8,628	276,779
Hodgeman	15,368	4,415	62,257	2,939	13,661	12,819	4,627	2,475	113,609
Jackson	130,659	34,210	(10,280)	42,380	46,238	75,585	86,921	23,290	382,424
Jefferson	125,618	31,579	4,236	11,520	71,543	104,057	215,227	21,862	541,918
Jewell	25,662	7,195	55,828	9,803	14,657	24,184	6,602	4,922	139,008

Appendix E (cont'd)

Kansas County Personal Income, 2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adj. for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Johnson	16,628,832	3,446,830	7,995	2,863,145	6,074,595	2,162,146	886,801	2,581,267	29,489,076
Kearny	49,959	12,239	24,457	5,171	18,086	20,140	8,427	7,268	131,213
Kingman	81,659	20,321	(37,743)	22,550	42,074	52,332	41,901	14,579	208,515
Kiowa	33,273	8,316	(38)	7,188	14,784	21,463	5,386	5,586	84,786
Labette	296,707	77,405	(7,351)	44,186	83,402	205,388	8,337	49,838	658,237
Lane	26,303	6,136	47,051	5,035	10,558	12,931	(788)	3,939	103,287
Leavenworth	1,151,354	471,417	(20,064)	122,746	331,667	351,864	131,058	175,801	2,364,241
Lincoln	24,508	7,278	8,406	6,499	15,321	21,013	10,956	4,648	89,334
Linn	75,439	22,300	(8,339)	11,849	30,800	67,991	63,114	13,616	249,539
Logan	36,286	10,377	26,102	6,762	20,416	18,601	(3,849)	5,937	108,757
Lyon	542,417	138,566	(3,294)	33,289	156,746	190,197	(24,617)	83,322	949,983
McPherson	104,832	27,483	11,277	19,371	47,313	83,453	55,726	19,033	330,422
Marion	181,084	50,176	21,014	29,786	64,010	68,380	(12,406)	33,173	368,872
Marshall	524,117	142,578	14,260	108,058	192,464	177,625	(45,297)	85,947	1,027,857
Meade	53,194	12,109	62,255	8,153	25,350	25,621	11,289	7,674	190,296
Miami	283,214	66,929	(12,690)	23,024	140,467	191,034	473,356	46,701	1,118,631
Mitchell	96,652	24,489	30,976	11,131	35,398	44,011	(10,853)	15,377	216,427
Montgomery	595,133	158,467	(10,441)	71,285	141,550	269,224	(37,940)	99,835	1,087,444
Morris	46,007	12,659	(6,795)	8,779	27,846	39,395	43,978	9,047	162,822
Morton	52,393	13,076	4,765	7,044	13,299	20,557	(1,638)	7,839	101,658
Nemaha	156,931	37,350	128,510	11,552	88,553	59,186	(4,837)	24,527	452,718
Neosho	255,040	61,757	(27,692)	35,767	65,352	122,448	(10,906)	41,919	459,848
Ness	46,114	10,676	26,761	19,339	19,474	23,851	5,582	8,403	143,395
Norton	81,663	20,299	2,360	10,386	26,459	33,412	(4,092)	12,819	157,666
Osage	86,738	24,645	(22,920)	8,964	57,917	105,177	167,093	16,413	411,201
Osborne	35,628	8,959	26,058	15,387	20,372	29,733	1,154	6,951	130,340
Ottawa	39,120	10,180	(6,793)	8,915	21,323	33,663	46,122	7,224	145,308
Pawnee	112,256	30,076	46,865	13,030	26,379	41,775	(3,275)	17,059	250,048
Phillips	78,008	24,260	36,518	17,423	42,375	39,153	(3,026)	13,536	221,174
Pottawatomie	301,347	71,600	8,691	53,969	91,419	94,986	77,160	48,447	650,724
Pratt	160,718	34,958	(4,207)	33,326	59,840	67,793	(6,591)	26,681	319,156
Rawlins	23,744	6,197	27,037	14,323	15,207	21,698	1,837	4,843	105,200
Reno	952,089	220,271	(9,170)	80,089	353,184	417,156	32,704	151,442	1,894,880
Republic	52,409	13,361	59,099	11,460	22,458	37,435	2,595	9,306	189,511
Rice	112,918	28,835	(4,432)	12,846	40,035	63,348	18,694	18,280	253,963
Riley	1,002,206	249,710	(506)	51,327	362,380	221,429	845,725	146,234	2,586,038
Rooks	63,258	16,192	51,932	12,035	24,661	38,049	5,264	10,816	200,574
Rush	34,845	9,460	11,326	5,489	14,136	27,219	4,278	6,001	100,751
Russell	86,455	23,340	5,570	11,907	52,459	60,506	(6,490)	15,175	218,572
Saline	1,123,584	268,846	(5,787)	164,971	438,881	314,243	(119,188)	179,286	2,006,264

Appendix E (cont'd)

Kansas County Personal Income, 2008

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adj. for Residence	Less: Personal	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm				Contributions for Social Insurance	
Scott	65,078	12,695	51,625	12,951	31,851	23,097	4,678	9,454	192,523
Sedgwick	11,803,530	2,772,369	(12,965)	2,626,019	3,391,918	2,666,839	(1,212,279)	1,899,362	20,136,069
Seward	421,127	96,175	47,285	78,502	82,578	96,610	(42,391)	64,753	715,132
Shawnee	4,064,154	941,149	2,919	279,706	1,166,166	1,176,304	(505,125)	628,745	6,496,528
Sheridan	28,292	6,974	97,274	14,578	16,922	17,028	1,316	5,225	177,160
Sherman	74,882	18,297	59,533	13,195	30,612	48,955	3,646	12,301	236,819
Smith	37,918	9,902	19,538	8,261	29,758	30,823	5,511	6,825	134,886
Stafford	41,563	10,861	13,431	9,985	24,107	34,265	9,918	6,960	137,171
Stanton	33,476	6,958	76,212	5,173	20,517	11,336	716	4,532	149,857
Stevens	70,660	16,672	132,777	13,210	39,961	25,954	58,503	10,748	346,990
Sumner	198,721	52,822	(43,493)	30,713	84,201	150,247	291,527	36,577	728,161
Thomas	122,643	27,895	123,605	10,940	41,313	46,429	(2,129)	18,738	351,957
Trego	35,552	9,274	(5,378)	5,421	14,311	22,074	3,528	6,005	78,777
Wabaunsee	47,292	12,244	(2,907)	11,119	28,684	39,228	77,260	8,677	204,243
Wallace	16,917	3,805	35,995	3,158	7,177	10,228	947	2,566	75,662
Washington	54,347	15,081	58,104	3,364	23,883	42,489	20,049	9,278	208,040
Wichita	31,323	6,867	104,321	6,392	11,663	12,188	956	4,400	169,309
Wilson	144,137	37,335	(13,746)	18,697	40,752	74,944	(3,210)	23,966	274,942
Woodson	19,788	5,658	18,484	10,325	10,617	27,844	8,162	4,559	96,319
Wyandotte	3,817,240	954,834	(963)	157,368	338,590	989,962	(1,246,872)	589,476	4,420,684
Total	\$57,489,203	\$14,036,358	\$2,225,878	\$9,057,684	\$18,442,305	\$15,539,042	\$1,070,432	\$9,082,166	\$108,778,736

Appendix F

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Kansas	2,688,418	2,775,997	2,802,134	113,716	26,137	4.1 %	0.9 %
Allen County	14,385	13,414	13,319	(1,066)	(95)	(8.0)	(0.7)
Bassett city	22	21	21	(1)	--	(4.8)	--
Elsmore city	73	66	66	(7)	--	(10.6)	--
Gas city	556	541	537	(19)	(4)	(3.5)	(0.7)
Humboldt city	1,999	1,854	1,832	(167)	(22)	(9.1)	(1.2)
Iola city	6,302	5,843	5,782	(520)	(61)	(9.0)	(1.1)
La Harpe city	706	648	654	(52)	6	(8.0)	0.9
Mildred city	36	35	35	(1)	--	(2.9)	--
Moran city	562	526	522	(40)	(4)	(7.7)	(0.8)
Savonburg city	91	87	86	(5)	(1)	(5.8)	(1.2)
Bal. of Allen County	4,038	3,793	3,784	(254)	(9)	(6.7)	(0.2)
Carlyle township	276	259	259	(17)	--	(6.6)	--
Cottage Grove township	282	264	264	(18)	--	(6.8)	--
Deer Creek township	142	135	136	(6)	1	(4.4)	0.7
Bal. of Elm township	703	657	654	(49)	(3)	(7.5)	(0.5)
Bal. of Elsmore township	296	280	280	(16)	--	(5.7)	--
Geneva township	172	164	164	(8)	--	(4.9)	--
Humboldt township	273	257	256	(17)	(1)	(6.6)	(0.4)
Bal. of Iola township	821	767	761	(60)	(6)	(7.9)	(0.8)
Logan township	225	211	211	(14)	--	(6.6)	--
Bal. of Marmaton township	291	275	275	(16)	--	(5.8)	--
Bal. of Osage township	280	262	262	(18)	--	(6.9)	--
Salem township	277	262	262	(15)	--	(5.7)	--
Anderson County	8,110	7,908	7,984	(126)	76	(1.6)	1.0
Colony city	397	374	377	(20)	3	(5.3)	0.8
Garnett city	3,368	3,207	3,222	(146)	15	(4.5)	0.5
Greeley city	327	319	322	(5)	3	(1.6)	0.9
Kincaid city	178	174	175	(3)	1	(1.7)	0.6
Lone Elm city	27	26	26	(1)	--	(3.8)	--
Westphalia city	165	161	162	(3)	1	(1.9)	0.6
Bal. of Anderson County	3,595	3,647	3,700	105	53	2.8	1.4
Indian Creek township	132	136	139	7	3	5.0	2.2
Jackson township	453	451	454	1	3	0.2	0.7
Lincoln township	208	211	210	2	(1)	1.0	(0.5)
Bal. of Lone Elm township	212	214	218	6	4	2.8	1.8
Monroe township	349	335	341	(8)	6	(2.3)	1.8
North Rich township	112	113	115	3	2	2.6	1.7
Bal. of Ozark township	168	170	172	4	2	2.3	1.2
Putnam township	284	284	288	4	4	1.4	1.4
Reeder township	427	430	437	10	7	2.3	1.6
Bal. of Rich township	168	168	170	2	2	1.2	1.2
Bal. of Walker township	341	340	349	8	9	2.3	2.6
Washington township	268	267	271	3	4	1.1	1.5
Welda township	301	299	303	2	4	0.7	1.3
Bal. of Westphalia township	225	229	233	8	4	3.4	1.7

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Atchison County	16,774	16,571	16,481	(293)	(90)	(1.8)	(0.5)
Atchison city	10,232	10,078	10,402	170	324	1.6	3.1
Effingham city	588	573	575	(13)	2	(2.3)	0.3
Huron city	87	86	86	(1)	--	(1.2)	--
Lancaster city	291	290	289	(2)	(1)	(0.7)	(0.3)
Muscotah city	200	199	197	(3)	(2)	(1.5)	(1.0)
Bal. of Atchison County	5,376	5,345	4,932	(444)	(413)	(9.0)	(8.4)
Bal. of Benton township	488	485	482	(6)	(3)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Center township	676	675	671	(5)	(4)	(0.7)	(0.6)
Bal. of Grasshopper township	388	385	383	(5)	(2)	(1.3)	(0.5)
Kapioma township	271	270	268	(3)	(2)	(1.1)	(0.7)
Bal. of Lancaster township	544	542	540	(4)	(2)	(0.7)	(0.4)
Mount Pleasant township	829	827	823	(6)	(4)	(0.7)	(0.5)
Shannon township	1,753	1,735	1,342	(411)	(393)	(30.6)	(29.3)
Walnut township	427	426	423	(4)	(3)	(0.9)	(0.7)
Barber County	5,307	4,786	4,674	(633)	(112)	(13.5)	(2.4)
Hardtner city	199	183	178	(21)	(5)	(11.8)	(2.8)
Hazelton city	144	132	129	(15)	(3)	(11.6)	(2.3)
Isabel city	108	99	97	(11)	(2)	(11.3)	(2.1)
Kiowa city	1,055	931	908	(147)	(23)	(16.2)	(2.5)
Medicine Lodge city	2,193	1,962	1,917	(276)	(45)	(14.4)	(2.3)
Sharon city	210	193	189	(21)	(4)	(11.1)	(2.1)
Sun City city	81	74	72	(9)	(2)	(12.5)	(2.8)
Bal. of Barber County	1,317	1,212	1,184	(133)	(28)	(11.2)	(2.4)
Aetna township	3	3	3	--	--	--	--
Deerhead township	11	10	10	(1)	--	(10.0)	--
Eagle township	42	38	38	(4)	--	(10.5)	--
Elm Mills township	106	98	96	(10)	(2)	(10.4)	(2.1)
Bal. of Elwood township	76	70	68	(8)	(2)	(11.8)	(2.9)
Bal. of Hazelton township	69	63	62	(7)	(1)	(11.3)	(1.6)
Bal. of Kiowa township	109	100	98	(11)	(2)	(11.2)	(2.0)
Lake City township	83	76	74	(9)	(2)	(12.2)	(2.7)
McAdoo township	29	27	26	(3)	(1)	(11.5)	(3.8)
Bal. of Medicine Lodge township	380	352	342	(38)	(10)	(11.1)	(2.9)
Mingona township	57	52	51	(6)	(1)	(11.8)	(2.0)
Moore township	32	29	29	(3)	--	(10.3)	--
Nippawalla township	26	24	23	(3)	(1)	(13.0)	(4.3)
Bal. of Sharon township	159	150	146	(13)	(4)	(8.9)	(2.7)
Bal. of Sun City township	19	17	17	(2)	--	(11.8)	--
Turkey Creek township	37	34	33	(4)	(1)	(12.1)	(3.0)
Bal. of Valley township	75	69	68	(7)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.5)
Barton County	28,205	27,768	27,703	(502)	(65)	(1.8)	(0.2)
Albert city	181	176	175	(6)	(1)	(3.4)	(0.6)
Clafin city	705	659	654	(51)	(5)	(7.8)	(0.8)
Ellinwood city	2,164	2,048	2,035	(129)	(13)	(6.3)	(0.6)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Barton County (cont'd)							
Galatia city	61	59	59	(2)	--	(3.4)	--
Great Bend city	15,345	15,557	15,564	219	7	1.4	--
Hoisington city	2,975	2,889	2,883	(92)	(6)	(3.2)	(0.2)
Olmitz city	138	134	133	(5)	(1)	(3.8)	(0.8)
Pawnee Rock city	356	332	329	(27)	(3)	(8.2)	(0.9)
Susank city	57	55	55	(2)	--	(3.6)	--
Bal. of Barton County	6,223	5,859	5,816	(407)	(43)	(7.0)	(0.7)
Albion township	58	55	54	(4)	(1)	(7.4)	(1.9)
Beaver township	108	101	101	(7)	--	(6.9)	--
Buffalo township	490	459	456	(34)	(3)	(7.5)	(0.7)
Cheyenne township	238	222	221	(17)	(1)	(7.7)	(0.5)
Clarence township	125	117	117	(8)	--	(6.8)	--
Cleveland township	69	65	64	(5)	(1)	(7.8)	(1.6)
Comanche township	452	427	423	(29)	(4)	(6.9)	(0.9)
Eureka township	116	109	108	(8)	(1)	(7.4)	(0.9)
Bal. of Fairview township	68	64	64	(4)	--	(6.3)	--
Grant township	79	74	74	(5)	--	(6.8)	--
Great Bend township	1,839	1,741	1,730	(109)	(11)	(6.3)	(0.6)
Bal. of Independent township	139	131	130	(9)	(1)	(6.9)	(0.8)
Lakin township	299	280	274	(25)	(6)	(9.1)	(2.2)
Liberty township	321	302	300	(21)	(2)	(7.0)	(0.7)
Logan township	176	165	165	(11)	--	(6.7)	--
North Homestead township	133	126	125	(8)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.8)
Bal. of Pawnee Rock township	188	176	175	(13)	(1)	(7.4)	(0.6)
South Bend township	682	639	634	(48)	(5)	(7.6)	(0.8)
South Homestead township	343	324	321	(22)	(3)	(6.9)	(0.9)
Bal. of Union township	71	67	66	(5)	(1)	(7.6)	(1.5)
Bal. of Walnut township	155	145	144	(11)	(1)	(7.6)	(0.7)
Wheatland township	74	70	70	(4)	--	(5.7)	--
Bourbon County							
Bronson city	346	335	336	(10)	1	(3.0)	0.3
Fort Scott city	8,297	7,915	7,941	(356)	26	(4.5)	0.3
Fulton city	184	178	179	(5)	1	(2.8)	0.6
Mapleton city	98	95	96	(2)	1	(2.1)	1.0
Redfield city	140	136	136	(4)	--	(2.9)	--
Uniontown city	288	275	276	(12)	1	(4.3)	0.4
Bal. of Bourbon County	6,026	5,869	5,887	(139)	18	(2.4)	0.3
Drywood township	394	385	385	(9)	--	(2.3)	--
Franklin township	312	305	305	(7)	--	(2.3)	--
Bal. of Freedom township	321	312	313	(8)	1	(2.6)	0.3
Bal. of Marion township	531	516	518	(13)	2	(2.5)	0.4
Bal. of Marmaton township	675	657	659	(16)	2	(2.4)	0.3
Mill Creek township	472	459	460	(12)	1	(2.6)	0.2
Osage township	394	382	383	(11)	1	(2.9)	0.3
Pawnee township	308	300	301	(7)	1	(2.3)	0.3
Scott township	2,326	2,267	2,277	(49)	10	(2.2)	0.4

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Bourbon County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Timberhill township	158	154	154	(4)	--	(2.6)	--
Walnut township	135	132	132	(3)	--	(2.3)	--
Brown County							
Everest city	314	298	295	(19)	(3)	(6.4)	(1.0)
Fairview city	271	254	252	(19)	(2)	(7.5)	(0.8)
Hamlin city	53	50	50	(3)	--	(6.0)	--
Hiawatha city	3,417	3,188	3,169	(248)	(19)	(7.8)	(0.6)
Horton city	1,967	1,810	1,799	(168)	(11)	(9.3)	(0.6)
Morrill city	277	249	247	(30)	(2)	(12.1)	(0.8)
Powhattan city	91	86	85	(6)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.2)
Reserve city	100	95	94	(6)	(1)	(6.4)	(1.1)
Robinson city	216	195	193	(23)	(2)	(11.9)	(1.0)
Sabetha city (pt.)	7	33	36	29	3	80.6	8.3
Willis city	69	65	65	(4)	--	(6.2)	--
Bal. of Brown County	3,942	3,745	3,724	(218)	(21)	(5.9)	(0.6)
Bal. of Hamlin township	193	182	181	(12)	(1)	(6.6)	(0.6)
Hiawatha township	739	705	702	(37)	(3)	(5.3)	(0.4)
Irving township	311	296	294	(17)	(2)	(5.8)	(0.7)
Bal. of Mission township	576	546	543	(33)	(3)	(6.1)	(0.6)
Bal. of Morrill township	226	213	212	(14)	(1)	(6.6)	(0.5)
Bal. of Padonia township	257	243	242	(15)	(1)	(6.2)	(0.4)
Bal. of Powhattan township	783	748	742	(41)	(6)	(5.5)	(0.8)
Bal. of Robinson township	236	223	222	(14)	(1)	(6.3)	(0.5)
Bal. of Walnut township	394	374	372	(22)	(2)	(5.9)	(0.5)
Bal. of Washington township	227	215	214	(13)	(1)	(6.1)	(0.5)
Butler County							
Andover city	6,698	9,837	10,328	3,630	491	35.1	4.8
Augusta city	8,423	8,683	8,693	270	10	3.1	0.1
Benton city	827	809	806	(21)	(3)	(2.6)	(0.4)
Cassoday city	130	129	128	(2)	(1)	(1.6)	(0.8)
Douglass city	1,813	1,790	1,783	(30)	(7)	(1.7)	(0.4)
Elbing city	218	209	209	(9)	--	(4.3)	--
El Dorado city	12,057	12,596	12,591	534	(5)	4.2	--
Latham city	164	162	162	(2)	--	(1.2)	--
Leon city	645	640	637	(8)	(3)	(1.3)	(0.5)
Potwin city	457	433	432	(25)	(1)	(5.8)	(0.2)
Rose Hill city	3,432	3,959	4,034	602	75	14.9	1.9
Towanda city	1,338	1,354	1,359	21	5	1.5	0.4
Whitewater city	653	635	633	(20)	(2)	(3.2)	(0.3)
Bal. of Butler County	22,627	21,809	21,767	(860)	(42)	(4.0)	(0.2)
Augusta township	1,405	1,331	1,322	(83)	(9)	(6.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Benton township	1,384	1,380	1,378	(6)	(2)	(0.4)	(0.1)
Bloomington township	544	552	551	7	(1)	1.3	(0.2)
Bal. of Bruno township	3,047	2,720	2,712	(335)	(8)	(12.4)	(0.3)
Chelsea township	190	234	237	47	3	19.8	1.3

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Butler County (cont'd)							
Clay township	83	97	98	15	1	15.3	1.0
Clifford township	259	276	276	17	--	6.2	--
Bal. of Douglass township	493	501	500	7	(1)	1.4	(0.2)
El Dorado township	1,700	960	958	(742)	(2)	(77.5)	(0.2)
Bal. of Fairmount township	293	308	308	15	--	4.9	--
Fairview township	491	501	500	9	(1)	1.8	(0.2)
Glencoe township	239	263	263	24	--	9.1	--
Hickory township	90	114	115	25	1	21.7	0.9
Lincoln township	317	365	367	50	2	13.6	0.5
Bal. of Little Walnut township	357	369	369	12	--	3.3	--
Logan township	154	168	169	15	1	8.9	0.6
Bal. of Milton township	483	494	494	11	--	2.2	--
Murdock township	378	390	390	12	--	3.1	--
Bal. of Pleasant township	2,551	2,509	2,500	(51)	(9)	(2.0)	(0.4)
Bal. of Plum Grove township	204	218	217	13	(1)	6.0	(0.5)
Prospect township	2,033	2,050	2,047	14	(3)	0.7	(0.1)
Bal. of Richland township	1,064	1,066	1,064	--	(2)	--	(0.2)
Rock Creek township	299	311	311	12	--	3.9	--
Rosalia township	589	609	608	19	(1)	3.1	(0.2)
Spring township	1,566	1,555	1,550	(16)	(5)	(1.0)	(0.3)
Bal. of Sycamore township	203	231	233	30	2	12.9	0.9
Bal. of Towanda township	1,389	1,386	1,381	(8)	(5)	(0.6)	(0.4)
Bal. of Union township	62	86	87	25	1	28.7	1.1
Walnut township	760	765	762	2	(3)	0.3	(0.4)
Chase County							
Cedar Point city	53	51	50	(3)	(1)	(6.0)	(2.0)
Cottonwood Falls city	966	901	878	(88)	(23)	(10.0)	(2.6)
Elmdale city	50	48	47	(3)	(1)	(6.4)	(2.1)
Matfield Green city	60	58	57	(3)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.8)
Strong City city	584	543	527	(57)	(16)	(10.8)	(3.0)
Bal. of Chase County	1,317	1,281	1,245	(72)	(36)	(5.8)	(2.9)
Bazaar township	81	79	77	(4)	(2)	(5.2)	(2.6)
Cedar township	116	112	109	(7)	(3)	(6.4)	(2.8)
Bal. of Cottonwood township	131	127	123	(8)	(4)	(6.5)	(3.3)
Bal. of Diamond Creek township	187	182	177	(10)	(5)	(5.6)	(2.8)
Bal. of Falls township	197	191	185	(12)	(6)	(6.5)	(3.2)
Homestead township	52	51	49	(3)	(2)	(6.1)	(4.1)
Bal. of Matfield township	95	92	90	(5)	(2)	(5.6)	(2.2)
Bal. of Strong township	156	152	148	(8)	(4)	(5.4)	(2.7)
Toledo township	302	295	287	(15)	(8)	(5.2)	(2.8)
Chautauqua County							
Cedar Vale city	723	623	617	(106)	(6)	(17.2)	(1.0)
Chautauqua city	113	98	97	(16)	(1)	(16.5)	(1.0)
Elgin city	82	72	71	(11)	(1)	(15.5)	(1.4)
Niotaze city	122	107	105	(17)	(2)	(16.2)	(1.9)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Chautauqua County (cont'd)							
Peru city	183	160	158	(25)	(2)	(15.8)	(1.3)
Sedan city	1,342	1,179	1,168	(174)	(11)	(14.9)	(0.9)
Bal. of Chautauqua County	1,794	1,567	1,552	(242)	(15)	(15.6)	(1.0)
Bal. of Belleville township	379	331	327	(52)	(4)	(15.9)	(1.2)
Caneyville township	88	77	76	(12)	(1)	(15.8)	(1.3)
Center township	75	65	65	(10)	--	(15.4)	--
Bal. of Harrison township	114	99	98	(16)	(1)	(16.3)	(1.0)
Bal. of Hendricks township	97	85	84	(13)	(1)	(15.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Jefferson township	111	97	96	(15)	(1)	(15.6)	(1.0)
Lafayette township	65	57	57	(8)	--	(14.0)	--
Bal. of Little Caney township	231	202	201	(30)	(1)	(14.9)	(0.5)
Salt Creek township	123	107	106	(17)	(1)	(16.0)	(0.9)
Bal. of Sedan township	318	278	275	(43)	(3)	(15.6)	(1.1)
Summit township	106	93	92	(14)	(1)	(15.2)	(1.1)
Washington township	87	76	75	(12)	(1)	(16.0)	(1.3)
Cherokee County							
Baxter Springs city	4,602	4,202	4,153	(449)	(49)	(10.8)	(1.2)
Columbus city	3,396	3,222	3,185	(211)	(37)	(6.6)	(1.2)
Galena city	3,287	3,163	3,126	(161)	(37)	(5.2)	(1.2)
Roseland city	101	95	94	(7)	(1)	(7.4)	(1.1)
Scammon city	496	469	463	(33)	(6)	(7.1)	(1.3)
Treece city	149	141	139	(10)	(2)	(7.2)	(1.4)
Weir city	780	741	703	(77)	(38)	(11.0)	(5.4)
West Mineral city	243	230	228	(15)	(2)	(6.6)	(0.9)
Bal. of Cherokee County	9,551	9,074	8,991	(560)	(83)	(6.2)	(0.9)
Cherokee township	336	314	338	2	24	0.6	7.1
Crawford township	646	612	605	(41)	(7)	(6.8)	(1.2)
Garden township	3,039	2,896	2,860	(179)	(36)	(6.3)	(1.3)
Lola township	382	363	359	(23)	(4)	(6.4)	(1.1)
Lowell township	672	640	633	(39)	(7)	(6.2)	(1.1)
Bal. of Lyon township	379	360	355	(24)	(5)	(6.8)	(1.4)
Mineral township	254	239	237	(17)	(2)	(7.2)	(0.8)
Neosho township	306	291	287	(19)	(4)	(6.6)	(1.4)
Pleasant View township	658	625	617	(41)	(8)	(6.6)	(1.3)
Bal. of Ross township	549	520	512	(37)	(8)	(7.2)	(1.6)
Salamanca township	569	540	534	(35)	(6)	(6.6)	(1.1)
Shawnee township	505	480	474	(31)	(6)	(6.5)	(1.3)
Sheridan township	249	235	233	(16)	(2)	(6.9)	(0.9)
Spring Valley township	1,007	959	947	(60)	(12)	(6.3)	(1.3)
Cheyenne County							
Bird City city	3,165	2,801	2,742	(423)	(59)	(15.4)	(2.2)
St. Francis city	482	416	407	(75)	(9)	(18.4)	(2.2)
Bal. of Cheyenne County	1,497	1,310	1,282	(215)	(28)	(16.8)	(2.2)
Bal. of Cheyenne County	1,186	1,075	1,053	(133)	(22)	(12.6)	(2.1)
Benkelman township	57	52	51	(6)	(1)	(11.8)	(2.0)
Bal. of Bird City township	289	261	256	(33)	(5)	(12.9)	(2.0)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Cheyenne County (cont'd)							
Calhoun township	57	51	50	(7)	(1)	(14.0)	(2.0)
Cleveland Run township	67	61	59	(8)	(2)	(13.6)	(3.4)
Jaqua township	46	42	41	(5)	(1)	(12.2)	(2.4)
Orlando township	63	57	56	(7)	(1)	(12.5)	(1.8)
Bal. of Wano township	607	551	540	(67)	(11)	(12.4)	(2.0)
Clark County							
Ashland city	975	862	867	(108)	5	(12.5)	0.6
Englewood city	109	95	95	(14)	--	(14.7)	--
Minneola city	717	630	638	(79)	8	(12.4)	1.3
Bal. of Clark County	589	507	508	(81)	1	(15.9)	0.2
Bal. of Appleton township	204	179	180	(24)	1	(13.3)	0.6
Bal. of Center township	122	98	98	(24)	--	(24.5)	--
Bal. of Englewood township	62	54	54	(8)	--	(14.8)	--
Lexington township	83	73	73	(10)	--	(13.7)	--
Liberty township	32	28	28	(4)	--	(14.3)	--
Sitka township	86	75	75	(11)	--	(14.7)	--
Clay County							
Clay Center city	4,564	4,365	4,442	(122)	77	(2.7)	1.7
Clifton city (pt.)	234	219	223	(11)	4	(4.9)	1.8
Green city	147	137	139	(8)	2	(5.8)	1.4
Longford city	94	90	91	(3)	1	(3.3)	1.1
Morganville city	198	194	198	--	4	--	2.0
Oak Hill city	35	34	35	--	1	--	2.9
Vining city (pt.)	37	36	37	--	1	--	2.7
Wakefield city	838	854	870	32	16	3.7	1.8
Bal. of Clay County	2,675	2,756	2,824	149	68	5.3	2.4
Cloud County							
Aurora city	79	74	74	(5)	--	(6.8)	--
Clyde city	740	680	685	(55)	5	(8.0)	0.7
Concordia city	5,714	5,171	5,208	(506)	37	(9.7)	0.7
Glasco city	536	485	488	(48)	3	(9.8)	0.6
Jamestown city	399	374	377	(22)	3	(5.8)	0.8
Miltonvale city	523	467	470	(53)	3	(11.3)	0.6
Bal. of Cloud County	2,277	2,131	2,151	(126)	20	(5.9)	0.9
Arion township	105	98	99	(6)	1	(6.1)	1.0
Bal. of Aurora township	90	84	85	(5)	1	(5.9)	1.2
Buffalo township	119	111	112	(7)	1	(6.3)	0.9
Center township	172	162	163	(9)	1	(5.5)	0.6
Colfax township	49	46	46	(3)	--	(6.5)	--
Bal. of Elk township	105	98	99	(6)	1	(6.1)	1.0
Bal. of Grant township	80	75	75	(5)	--	(6.7)	--
Lawrence township	146	136	138	(8)	2	(5.8)	1.4
Lincoln township	378	354	358	(20)	4	(5.6)	1.1
Lyon township	103	97	98	(5)	1	(5.1)	1.0

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Cloud County (cont'd)							
Meredith township	77	72	73	(4)	1	(5.5)	1.4
Nelson township	137	128	129	(8)	1	(6.2)	0.8
Oakland township	52	49	49	(3)	--	(6.1)	--
Shirley township	178	166	167	(11)	1	(6.6)	0.6
Sibley township	178	167	169	(9)	2	(5.3)	1.2
Bal. of Solomon township	128	120	121	(7)	1	(5.8)	0.8
Bal. of Starr township	130	121	123	(7)	2	(5.7)	1.6
Summit township	50	47	47	(3)	--	(6.4)	--
Coffey County							
Burlington city	2,790	2,641	2,679	(111)	38	(4.1)	1.4
Gridley city	372	356	350	(22)	(6)	(6.3)	(1.7)
Lebo city	961	931	915	(46)	(16)	(5.0)	(1.7)
LeRoy city	593	559	549	(44)	(10)	(8.0)	(1.8)
New Strawn city	425	397	393	(32)	(4)	(8.1)	(1.0)
Waverly city	589	554	548	(41)	(6)	(7.5)	(1.1)
Bal. of Coffey County	3,135	3,016	2,975	(160)	(41)	(5.4)	(1.4)
Avon township	183	176	173	(10)	(3)	(5.8)	(1.7)
Burlington township	300	286	282	(18)	(4)	(6.4)	(1.4)
Hampden township	114	111	110	(4)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.9)
Key West township	237	230	227	(10)	(3)	(4.4)	(1.3)
Bal. of LeRoy township	76	74	73	(3)	(1)	(4.1)	(1.4)
Bal. of Liberty township	262	252	249	(13)	(3)	(5.2)	(1.2)
Bal. of Lincoln township	307	282	279	(28)	(3)	(10.0)	(1.1)
Neosho township	140	139	138	(2)	(1)	(1.4)	(0.7)
Bal. of Ottumwa township	315	304	299	(16)	(5)	(5.4)	(1.7)
Pleasant township	272	264	260	(12)	(4)	(4.6)	(1.5)
Pottawatomie township	217	212	209	(8)	(3)	(3.8)	(1.4)
Bal. of Rock Creek township	436	415	410	(26)	(5)	(6.3)	(1.2)
Spring Creek township	118	117	115	(3)	(2)	(2.6)	(1.7)
Star township	158	154	151	(7)	(3)	(4.6)	(2.0)
Comanche County							
Coldwater city	1,967	1,888	1,950	(17)	62	(0.9)	3.2
Protection city	792	756	779	(13)	23	(1.7)	3.0
Wilmore city	558	529	547	(11)	18	(2.0)	3.3
Bal. of Comanche County	57	56	58	1	2	1.7	3.4
Avilla township	560	547	566	6	19	1.1	3.4
Bal. of Coldwater township	58	57	59	1	2	1.7	3.4
Bal. of Powell township	294	284	294	--	10	--	3.4
Bal. of Protection township	32	32	33	1	1	3.0	3.0
Bal. of Protection township	176	174	180	4	6	2.2	3.3
Cowley County							
Arkansas City city	36,291	34,251	34,065	(2,226)	(186)	(6.5)	(0.5)
Atlanta city	11,963	11,168	11,070	(893)	(98)	(8.1)	(0.9)
Burden city	255	241	239	(16)	(2)	(6.7)	(0.8)
Cambridge city	564	533	529	(35)	(4)	(6.6)	(0.8)
Cambridge city	103	97	96	(7)	(1)	(7.3)	(1.0)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Cowley County (cont'd)							
Dexter city	364	339	336	(28)	(3)	(8.3)	(0.9)
Geuda Springs city (pt.)	13	10	10	(3)	--	(30.0)	--
Parkerfield city	--	342	340	340	(2)	100.0	(0.6)
Udall city	794	746	743	(51)	(3)	(6.9)	(0.4)
Winfield city	12,206	11,539	11,497	(709)	(42)	(6.2)	(0.4)
Bal. of Cowley County	10,029	9,236	9,205	(824)	(31)	(9.0)	(0.3)
Beaver township	244	236	236	(8)	--	(3.4)	--
Bal. of Bolton township	1,741	1,634	1,621	(120)	(13)	(7.4)	(0.8)
Cedar township	44	52	54	10	2	18.5	3.7
Bal. of Creswell township	2,098	1,583	1,571	(527)	(12)	(33.5)	(0.8)
Bal. of Dexter township	142	150	152	10	2	6.6	1.3
Fairview township	203	197	197	(6)	--	(3.0)	--
Grant township	76	83	85	9	2	10.6	2.4
Harvey township	117	126	128	11	2	8.6	1.6
Liberty township	218	218	218	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Maple township	645	608	605	(40)	(3)	(6.6)	(0.5)
Bal. of Ninnescah township	377	360	359	(18)	(1)	(5.0)	(0.3)
Bal. of Omnia township	102	104	104	2	--	1.9	--
Otter township	54	62	63	9	1	14.3	1.6
Pleasant Valley township	838	792	787	(51)	(5)	(6.5)	(0.6)
Richland township	178	177	177	(1)	--	(0.6)	--
Rock Creek township	243	235	234	(9)	(1)	(3.8)	(0.4)
Salem township	364	345	344	(20)	(1)	(5.8)	(0.3)
Sheridan township	159	157	157	(2)	--	(1.3)	--
Bal. of Silver Creek township	206	202	202	(4)	--	(2.0)	--
Silverdale township	327	315	314	(13)	(1)	(4.1)	(0.3)
Spring Creek township	77	83	84	7	1	8.3	1.2
Tisdale township	340	324	323	(17)	(1)	(5.3)	(0.3)
Vernon township	502	476	474	(28)	(2)	(5.9)	(0.4)
Walnut township	626	593	589	(37)	(4)	(6.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Windsor township	108	124	127	19	3	15.0	2.4
Crawford County							
Arcadia city	38,242	38,860	38,868	626	8	1.6	--
Arma city	391	389	386	(5)	(3)	(1.3)	(0.8)
Arma city	1,529	1,521	1,513	(16)	(8)	(1.1)	(0.5)
Cherokee city	722	722	715	(7)	(7)	(1.0)	(1.0)
Frontenac city	2,996	3,194	3,192	196	(2)	6.1	(0.1)
Girard city	2,773	2,753	2,722	(51)	(31)	(1.9)	(1.1)
Hepler city	154	154	153	(1)	(1)	(0.7)	(0.7)
McCune city	426	427	423	(3)	(4)	(0.7)	(0.9)
Mulberry city	577	573	567	(10)	(6)	(1.8)	(1.1)
Pittsburg city	19,243	19,536	19,649	406	113	2.1	0.6
Walnut city	221	221	219	(2)	(2)	(0.9)	(0.9)
Bal. of Crawford County	9,210	9,370	9,329	119	(41)	1.3	(0.4)
Baker township	3,640	3,621	3,589	(51)	(32)	(1.4)	(0.9)
Crawford township	883	865	871	(12)	6	(1.4)	0.7
Grant township	247	276	278	31	2	11.2	0.7

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Crawford County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Lincoln township	551	584	584	33	--	5.7	--
Bal. of Osage township	330	357	358	28	1	7.8	0.3
Bal. of Sheridan township	779	819	817	38	(2)	4.7	(0.2)
Sherman township	520	548	548	28	--	5.1	--
Bal. of Walnut township	249	284	287	38	3	13.2	1.0
Bal. of Washington township	2,011	2,016	1,997	(14)	(19)	(0.7)	(1.0)
Decatur County							
Clayton city (pt.)	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
Dresden city	51	44	43	(8)	(1)	(18.6)	(2.3)
Jennings city	146	120	118	(28)	(2)	(23.7)	(1.7)
Norcatour city	169	146	144	(25)	(2)	(17.4)	(1.4)
Oberlin city	1,994	1,680	1,656	(338)	(24)	(20.4)	(1.4)
Bal. of Decatur County	1,111	964	950	(161)	(14)	(16.9)	(1.5)
Allison township	39	34	33	(6)	(1)	(18.2)	(3.0)
Altory township	16	14	14	(2)	--	(14.3)	--
Bassettville township	26	23	22	(4)	(1)	(18.2)	(4.5)
Beaver township	86	74	73	(13)	(1)	(17.8)	(1.4)
Center township	60	52	52	(8)	--	(15.4)	--
Cook township	44	38	37	(7)	(1)	(18.9)	(2.7)
Custer township	27	23	23	(4)	--	(17.4)	--
Bal. of Dresden township	90	78	77	(13)	(1)	(16.9)	(1.3)
Finley township	39	34	33	(6)	(1)	(18.2)	(3.0)
Garfield township	41	35	35	(6)	--	(17.1)	--
Grant township	31	27	27	(4)	--	(14.8)	--
Harlan township	51	44	43	(8)	(1)	(18.6)	(2.3)
Bal. of Jennings township	34	29	29	(5)	--	(17.2)	--
Liberty township	48	42	41	(7)	(1)	(17.1)	(2.4)
Bal. of Lincoln township	34	30	29	(5)	(1)	(17.2)	(3.4)
Logan township	52	45	44	(8)	(1)	(18.2)	(2.3)
Lyon township	24	21	20	(4)	(1)	(20.0)	(5.0)
Oberlin township	91	77	78	(13)	1	(16.7)	1.3
Olive township	68	62	61	(7)	(1)	(11.5)	(1.6)
Bal. of Pleasant Valley township	38	33	32	(6)	(1)	(18.8)	(3.1)
Prairie Dog township	50	43	43	(7)	--	(16.3)	--
Roosevelt township	32	28	27	(5)	(1)	(18.5)	(3.7)
Sappa township	43	37	37	(6)	--	(16.2)	--
Sherman township	25	22	21	(4)	(1)	(19.0)	(4.8)
Summit township	22	19	19	(3)	--	(15.8)	--
Dickinson County							
Abilene city	19,344	18,957	19,328	(16)	371	(0.1)	1.9
Carlton city	6,543	6,305	6,400	(143)	95	(2.2)	1.5
Chapman city	38	37	38	--	1	--	2.6
Enterprise city	1,241	1,284	1,332	91	48	6.8	3.6
Herington city (pt.)	836	797	816	(20)	19	(2.5)	2.3
Hope city	2,563	2,417	2,447	(116)	30	(4.7)	1.2
	372	359	364	(8)	5	(2.2)	1.4

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Dickinson County (cont'd)							
Manchester city	102	100	102	--	2	--	2.0
Solomon city (pt.)	1,071	1,052	1,077	6	25	0.6	2.3
Woodbine city	207	203	210	3	7	1.4	3.3
Bal. of Dickinson County	6,371	6,403	6,542	171	139	2.6	2.1
Banner township	148	154	159	11	5	6.9	3.1
Buckeye township	437	435	444	7	9	1.6	2.0
Bal. of Center township	374	371	379	5	8	1.3	2.1
Cheever township	149	154	158	9	4	5.7	2.5
Bal. of Flora township	115	120	123	8	3	6.5	2.4
Fragrant Hill township	251	252	257	6	5	2.3	1.9
Garfield township	189	194	199	10	5	5.0	2.5
Grant township	918	888	904	(14)	16	(1.5)	1.8
Hayes township	233	236	242	9	6	3.7	2.5
Bal. of Holland township	69	76	79	10	3	12.7	3.8
Bal. of Hope township	147	151	155	8	4	5.2	2.6
Jefferson township	166	171	175	9	4	5.1	2.3
Bal. of Liberty township	198	203	205	7	2	3.4	1.0
Bal. of Lincoln township	598	590	602	4	12	0.7	2.0
Logan township	202	205	210	8	5	3.8	2.4
Lyon township	252	253	258	6	5	2.3	1.9
Newbern township	349	351	358	9	7	2.5	2.0
Bal. of Noble township	489	486	494	5	8	1.0	1.6
Ridge township	160	165	169	9	4	5.3	2.4
Rinehart township	194	198	203	9	5	4.4	2.5
Sherman township	147	150	154	7	4	4.5	2.6
Union township	176	180	185	9	5	4.9	2.7
Wheatland township	152	158	162	10	4	6.2	2.5
Willowdale township	258	262	268	10	6	3.7	2.2
Doniphan County							
Denton city	8,249	7,756	7,753	(496)	(3)	(6.4)	--
Elwood city	186	176	176	(10)	--	(5.7)	--
Highland city	1,145	1,132	1,127	(18)	(5)	(1.6)	(0.4)
Leona city	976	945	945	(31)	--	(3.3)	--
Severance city	88	83	83	(5)	--	(6.0)	--
Troy city	108	102	102	(6)	--	(5.9)	--
Troy city	1,054	1,007	1,015	(39)	8	(3.8)	0.8
Wathena city	1,348	1,293	1,296	(52)	3	(4.0)	0.2
White Cloud city	239	227	227	(12)	--	(5.3)	--
Bal. of Doniphan County	3,105	2,791	2,782	(323)	(9)	(11.6)	(0.3)
Burr Oak township	153	142	142	(11)	--	(7.7)	--
Bal. of Center township	689	630	622	(67)	(8)	(10.8)	(1.3)
Independence township	342	315	315	(27)	--	(8.6)	--
Bal. of Iowa township	479	440	440	(39)	--	(8.9)	--
Marion township	226	208	208	(18)	--	(8.7)	--
Bal. of Union township	174	160	160	(14)	--	(8.8)	--
Bal. of Washington township	573	462	461	(112)	(1)	(24.3)	(0.2)
Wayne township	226	209	209	(17)	--	(8.1)	--

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Doniphan County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Wolf River township	243	225	225	(18)	--	(8.0)	--
Douglas County							
Baldwin City city	99,962	113,488	114,748	14,786	1,260	12.9	1.1
Eudora city	3,400	4,202	4,308	908	106	21.1	2.5
Lawrence city	4,307	6,077	6,226	1,919	149	30.8	2.4
Lecompton city	80,098	89,852	90,520	10,422	668	11.5	0.7
Bal. of Douglas County	608	646	666	58	20	8.7	3.0
Clinton township	11,549	12,711	13,028	1,479	317	11.4	2.4
Bal. of Eudora township	531	611	628	97	17	15.4	2.7
Grant township	1,264	1,385	1,420	156	35	11.0	2.5
Kanwaka township	442	467	479	37	12	7.7	2.5
Bal. of Lecompton township	1,317	1,454	1,491	174	37	11.7	2.5
Marion township	1,153	1,266	1,297	144	31	11.1	2.4
Bal. of Palmyra township	836	988	1,016	180	28	17.7	2.8
Wakarusa township	2,360	2,628	2,693	333	65	12.4	2.4
Willow Springs township	2,237	2,329	2,383	146	54	6.1	2.3
	1,409	1,583	1,621	212	38	13.1	2.3
Edwards County							
Belpre city	3,449	3,106	3,082	(367)	(24)	(11.9)	(0.8)
Kinsley city	104	95	94	(10)	(1)	(10.6)	(1.1)
Lewis city	1,658	1,462	1,449	(209)	(13)	(14.4)	(0.9)
Offerle city	486	447	444	(42)	(3)	(9.5)	(0.7)
Bal. of Edwards County	220	202	201	(19)	(1)	(9.5)	(0.5)
Bal. of Belpre township	981	900	894	(87)	(6)	(9.7)	(0.7)
Franklin township	82	75	75	(7)	--	(9.3)	--
Jackson township	93	85	85	(8)	--	(9.4)	--
Kinsley township	98	90	89	(9)	(1)	(10.1)	(1.1)
Lincoln township	160	147	146	(14)	(1)	(9.6)	(0.7)
Logan township	143	131	130	(13)	(1)	(10.0)	(0.8)
North Brown township	42	38	38	(4)	--	(10.5)	--
South Brown township	67	62	61	(6)	(1)	(9.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Trenton township	90	83	82	(8)	(1)	(9.8)	(1.2)
Bal. of Wayne township	86	79	78	(8)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.3)
	120	110	110	(10)	--	(9.1)	--
Elk County							
Elk Falls city	3,261	3,040	3,047	(214)	7	(7.0)	0.2
Grenola city	112	104	104	(8)	--	(7.7)	--
Howard city	231	214	215	(16)	1	(7.4)	0.5
Longton city	808	755	757	(51)	2	(6.7)	0.3
Moline city	394	366	367	(27)	1	(7.4)	0.3
Bal. of Elk County	457	427	426	(31)	(1)	(7.3)	(0.2)
Bal. of Elk Falls township	1,259	1,174	1,178	(81)	4	(6.9)	0.3
Bal. of Greenfield township	84	79	79	(5)	--	(6.3)	--
Bal. of Howard township	90	84	84	(6)	--	(7.1)	--
Liberty township	198	184	185	(13)	1	(7.0)	0.5
Bal. of Longton township	117	109	110	(7)	1	(6.4)	0.9
	136	127	128	(8)	1	(6.3)	0.8

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Elk County (cont'd)							
Oak Valley township	154	144	144	(10)	--	(6.9)	--
Painterhood township	68	63	63	(5)	--	(7.9)	--
Paw Paw township	116	108	109	(7)	1	(6.4)	0.9
Union Center township	116	108	108	(8)	--	(7.4)	--
Bal. of Wildcat township	180	168	168	(12)	--	(7.1)	--
Ellis County							
	27,507	27,464	27,801	294	337	1.1	1.2
Ellis city	1,873	1,913	1,952	79	39	4.0	2.0
Hays city	20,013	20,106	20,368	355	262	1.7	1.3
Schoenchen city	214	215	217	3	2	1.4	0.9
Victoria city	1,208	1,191	1,204	(4)	13	(0.3)	1.1
Bal. of Ellis County	4,199	4,039	4,060	(139)	21	(3.4)	0.5
Big Creek township	1,798	1,693	1,703	(95)	10	(5.6)	0.6
Buckeye township	285	281	284	(1)	3	(0.4)	1.1
Catherine township	318	310	313	(5)	3	(1.6)	1.0
Ellis township	386	378	370	(16)	(8)	(4.3)	(2.2)
Freedom township	125	121	122	(3)	1	(2.5)	0.8
Bal. of Herzog township	322	316	319	(3)	3	(0.9)	0.9
Bal. of Lookout township	355	347	350	(5)	3	(1.4)	0.9
Bal. of Victoria township	209	204	206	(3)	2	(1.5)	1.0
Wheatland township	401	389	393	(8)	4	(2.0)	1.0
Ellsworth County							
	6,525	6,310	6,250	(275)	(60)	(4.4)	(1.0)
Ellsworth city	2,965	2,881	2,858	(107)	(23)	(3.7)	(0.8)
Holyrood city	464	448	444	(20)	(4)	(4.5)	(0.9)
Kanopolis city	543	511	506	(37)	(5)	(7.3)	(1.0)
Lorraine city	136	132	130	(6)	(2)	(4.6)	(1.5)
Wilson city	799	765	758	(41)	(7)	(5.4)	(0.9)
Bal. of Ellsworth County	1,618	1,573	1,554	(64)	(19)	(4.1)	(1.2)
Ash Creek township	58	56	55	(3)	(1)	(5.5)	(1.8)
Black Wolf township	87	85	84	(3)	(1)	(3.6)	(1.2)
Carneiro township	57	55	54	(3)	(1)	(5.6)	(1.9)
Clear Creek township	91	88	87	(4)	(1)	(4.6)	(1.1)
Columbia township	60	58	57	(3)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.8)
Bal. of Ellsworth township	254	248	248	(6)	--	(2.4)	--
Empire township	174	170	168	(6)	(2)	(3.6)	(1.2)
Garfield township	27	26	26	(1)	--	(3.8)	--
Bal. of Green Garden township	75	73	72	(3)	(1)	(4.2)	(1.4)
Langley township	76	75	73	(3)	(2)	(4.1)	(2.7)
Lincoln township	62	60	59	(3)	(1)	(5.1)	(1.7)
Mulberry township	44	43	42	(2)	(1)	(4.8)	(2.4)
Noble township	90	87	86	(4)	(1)	(4.7)	(1.2)
Palacky township	63	61	60	(3)	(1)	(5.0)	(1.7)
Sherman township	65	63	62	(3)	(1)	(4.8)	(1.6)
Thomas township	72	70	69	(3)	(1)	(4.3)	(1.4)
Trivoli township	55	54	53	(2)	(1)	(3.8)	(1.9)
Bal. of Valley township	113	109	108	(5)	(1)	(4.6)	(0.9)
Bal. of Wilson township	95	92	91	(4)	(1)	(4.4)	(1.1)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Finney County	40,523	38,295	40,998	475	2,703	1.2	6.6
Garden City city	28,451	26,629	28,557	106	1,928	0.4	6.8
Holcomb city	2,026	1,856	1,991	(35)	135	(1.8)	6.8
Bal. of Finney County	10,046	9,810	10,450	404	640	3.9	6.1
Garden City township	7,400	6,970	7,418	18	448	0.2	6.0
Garfield township	331	416	447	116	31	26.0	6.9
Ivanhoe township	666	673	718	52	45	7.2	6.3
Pierceville township	551	558	597	46	39	7.7	6.5
Pleasant Valley township	139	164	176	37	12	21.0	6.8
Bal. of Sherlock township	732	778	825	93	47	11.3	5.7
Terry township	227	251	269	42	18	15.6	6.7
Ford County	32,458	33,340	33,293	835	(47)	2.5	(0.1)
Bucklin city	725	726	727	2	1	0.3	0.1
Dodge City city	25,176	25,737	25,689	513	(48)	2.0	(0.2)
Ford city	314	325	324	10	(1)	3.1	(0.3)
Spearville city	813	863	861	48	(2)	5.6	(0.2)
Bal. of Ford County	5,430	5,689	5,692	262	3	4.6	0.1
Bloom township	113	122	122	9	--	7.4	--
Bal. of Bucklin township	175	191	193	18	2	9.3	1.0
Concord township	117	130	131	14	1	10.7	0.8
Dodge township	899	921	921	22	--	2.4	--
Enterprise township	1,113	1,151	1,146	33	(5)	2.9	(0.4)
Fairview township	346	363	364	18	1	4.9	0.3
Bal. of Ford township	142	159	160	18	1	11.3	0.6
Grandview township	784	808	808	24	--	3.0	--
Richland township	931	960	958	27	(2)	2.8	(0.2)
Royal township	105	118	118	13	--	11.0	--
Sodville township	110	117	118	8	1	6.8	0.8
Bal. of Spearville township	337	369	371	34	2	9.2	0.5
Wheatland township	170	181	182	12	1	6.6	0.5
Wilburn township	88	99	100	12	1	12.0	1.0
Franklin County	24,784	26,479	26,562	1,778	83	6.7	0.3
Lane city	256	254	253	(3)	(1)	(1.2)	(0.4)
Ottawa city	11,921	12,828	12,850	929	22	7.2	0.2
Pomona city	923	943	945	22	2	2.3	0.2
Princeton city	317	328	334	17	6	5.1	1.8
Rantoul city	241	240	239	(2)	(1)	(0.8)	(0.4)
Richmond city	510	505	503	(7)	(2)	(1.4)	(0.4)
Wellsville city	1,606	1,731	1,737	131	6	7.5	0.3
Williamsburg city	351	357	357	6	--	1.7	--
Bal. of Franklin County	8,659	9,293	9,344	685	51	7.3	0.5
Appanoose township	293	329	331	38	2	11.5	0.6
Centropolis township	997	1,052	1,054	57	2	5.4	0.2
Bal. of Cutler township	615	669	672	57	3	8.5	0.4
Bal. of Franklin township	946	987	989	43	2	4.3	0.2
Greenwood township	429	464	467	38	3	8.1	0.6

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Franklin County (cont'd)							
Harrison township	445	471	474	29	3	6.1	0.6
Hayes township	397	434	437	40	3	9.2	0.7
Homewood township	493	527	529	36	2	6.8	0.4
Lincoln township	797	831	834	37	3	4.4	0.4
Bal. of Ohio township	466	518	522	56	4	10.7	0.8
Ottawa township	868	891	897	29	6	3.2	0.7
Peoria township	626	672	675	49	3	7.3	0.4
Bal. of Pomona township	251	277	279	28	2	10.0	0.7
Bal. of Pottawatomie township	413	455	458	45	3	9.8	0.7
Bal. of Richmond township	302	343	347	45	4	13.0	1.2
Bal. of Williamsburg township	321	373	379	58	6	15.3	1.6
Geary County							
Grandview Plaza city	27,947	25,150	31,171	3,224	6,021	10.3	19.3
Junction City city	1,184	994	1,382	198	388	14.3	28.1
Milford city	18,886	15,576	20,671	1,785	5,095	8.6	24.6
Bal. of Geary County	502	422	426	(76)	4	(17.8)	0.9
Blakely township	7,375	8,158	8,692	1,317	534	15.2	6.1
Jackson township	113	262	323	210	61	65.0	18.9
Bal. of Jefferson township	78	214	268	190	54	70.9	20.1
Liberty township	467	604	675	208	71	30.8	10.5
Lyon township	225	496	609	384	113	63.1	18.6
Bal. of Milford township	298	455	527	229	72	43.5	13.7
Smoky Hill township	1,081	1,141	1,201	120	60	10.0	5.0
Wingfield township	4,974	4,666	4,695	(279)	29	(5.9)	0.6
	139	320	394	255	74	64.7	18.8
Gove County							
Gove City city	3,068	2,637	2,548	(520)	(89)	(20.4)	(3.5)
Grainfield city	105	91	88	(17)	(3)	(19.3)	(3.4)
Grinnell city	327	284	275	(52)	(9)	(18.9)	(3.3)
Oakley city (pt.)	329	286	276	(53)	(10)	(19.2)	(3.6)
Park city	0	1	1	1	--	100.0	--
Quinter city	151	131	127	(24)	(4)	(18.9)	(3.1)
Bal. of Gove County	961	809	782	(179)	(27)	(22.9)	(3.5)
Bal. of Baker township	1,195	1,035	999	(196)	(36)	(19.6)	(3.6)
Gaeland township	396	343	330	(66)	(13)	(20.0)	(3.9)
Bal. of Gove township	46	40	39	(7)	(1)	(17.9)	(2.6)
Bal. of Grainfield township	110	95	92	(18)	(3)	(19.6)	(3.3)
Bal. of Grinnell township	103	89	86	(17)	(3)	(19.8)	(3.5)
Jerome township	151	131	126	(25)	(5)	(19.8)	(4.0)
Larrabee township	132	115	111	(21)	(4)	(18.9)	(3.6)
Lewis township	80	69	67	(13)	(2)	(19.4)	(3.0)
Bal. of Payne township	13	11	11	(2)	--	(18.2)	--
	164	142	137	(27)	(5)	(19.7)	(3.6)
Graham County							
Bogue city	2,946	2,607	2,592	(354)	(15)	(13.7)	(0.6)
Hill City city	179	161	160	(19)	(1)	(11.9)	(0.6)
	1,604	1,400	1,392	(212)	(8)	(15.2)	(0.6)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Graham County (cont'd)							
Morland city	164	147	146	(18)	(1)	(12.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Graham County	999	899	894	(105)	(5)	(11.7)	(0.6)
Allodium township	46	41	41	(5)	--	(12.2)	--
Bryant township	115	103	103	(12)	--	(11.7)	--
Bal. of Gettysburg township	68	61	61	(7)	--	(11.5)	--
Graham township	53	48	47	(6)	(1)	(12.8)	(2.1)
Happy township	72	65	64	(8)	(1)	(12.5)	(1.6)
Bal. of Hill City township	143	129	128	(15)	(1)	(11.7)	(0.8)
Indiana township	42	38	37	(5)	(1)	(13.5)	(2.7)
Millbrook township	150	134	135	(15)	1	(11.1)	0.7
Morlan township	68	61	61	(7)	--	(11.5)	--
Nicodemus township	52	47	47	(5)	--	(10.6)	--
Pioneer township	57	52	51	(6)	(1)	(11.8)	(2.0)
Bal. of Solomon township	60	54	54	(6)	--	(11.1)	--
Bal. of Wildhorse township	73	66	65	(8)	(1)	(12.3)	(1.5)
Grant County							
Ulysses city	7,909	7,497	7,395	(514)	(102)	(7.0)	(1.4)
Bal. of Grant County	5,960	5,630	5,557	(403)	(73)	(7.3)	(1.3)
Bal. of Grant County	1,949	1,867	1,838	(111)	(29)	(6.0)	(1.6)
Gray County							
Cimarron city	5,904	5,641	5,688	(216)	47	(3.8)	0.8
Copeland city	1,934	1,969	1,989	55	20	2.8	1.0
Ensign city	339	306	308	(31)	2	(10.1)	0.6
Ingalls city	203	190	191	(12)	1	(6.3)	0.5
Ingalls city	328	298	299	(29)	1	(9.7)	0.3
Montezuma city	966	934	941	(25)	7	(2.7)	0.7
Bal. of Gray County	2,134	1,944	1,960	(174)	16	(8.9)	0.8
Bal. of Cimarron township	445	384	389	(56)	5	(14.4)	1.3
Bal. of Copeland township	201	187	188	(13)	1	(6.9)	0.5
Bal. of East Hess township	169	158	159	(10)	1	(6.3)	0.6
Foote township	126	118	119	(7)	1	(5.9)	0.8
Bal. of Ingalls township	318	299	301	(17)	2	(5.6)	0.7
Logan township	216	202	203	(13)	1	(6.4)	0.5
Bal. of Montezuma township	659	596	601	(58)	5	(9.7)	0.8
Greeley County							
Horace city	1,534	1,297	1,266	(268)	(31)	(21.2)	(2.4)
Tribune city	143	124	121	(22)	(3)	(18.2)	(2.5)
Bal. of Greeley County	835	694	678	(157)	(16)	(23.2)	(2.4)
Bal. of Greeley County	556	479	467	(89)	(12)	(19.1)	(2.6)
Greenwood County							
Climax city	7,673	6,993	6,861	(812)	(132)	(11.8)	(1.9)
Eureka city	64	59	58	(6)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.7)
Fall River city	2,914	2,607	2,559	(355)	(48)	(13.9)	(1.9)
Hamilton city	156	145	142	(14)	(3)	(9.9)	(2.1)
Madison city	334	309	303	(31)	(6)	(10.2)	(2.0)
Severy city	857	765	750	(107)	(15)	(14.3)	(2.0)
Severy city	359	334	328	(31)	(6)	(9.5)	(1.8)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Greenwood County (cont'd)							
Virgil city	113	104	102	(11)	(2)	(10.8)	(2.0)
Bal. of Greenwood County	2,876	2,670	2,619	(257)	(51)	(9.8)	(1.9)
Bachelor township	230	215	210	(20)	(5)	(9.5)	(2.4)
Eureka township	451	418	413	(38)	(5)	(9.2)	(1.2)
Bal. of Fall River township	165	153	150	(15)	(3)	(10.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Janesville township	214	200	196	(18)	(4)	(9.2)	(2.0)
Bal. of Lane township	54	48	47	(7)	(1)	(14.9)	(2.1)
Bal. of Madison township	298	278	273	(25)	(5)	(9.2)	(1.8)
Otter Creek township	211	196	192	(19)	(4)	(9.9)	(2.1)
Pleasant Grove township	52	48	47	(5)	(1)	(10.6)	(2.1)
Quincy township	163	151	148	(15)	(3)	(10.1)	(2.0)
Salem township	35	33	32	(3)	(1)	(9.4)	(3.1)
Bal. of Salt Springs township	307	286	280	(27)	(6)	(9.6)	(2.1)
Shell Rock township	173	160	157	(16)	(3)	(10.2)	(1.9)
South Salem township	127	117	115	(12)	(2)	(10.4)	(1.7)
Spring Creek township	154	144	141	(13)	(3)	(9.2)	(2.1)
Bal. of Twin Grove township	242	223	218	(24)	(5)	(11.0)	(2.3)
Hamilton County							
Hamilton County	2,670	2,632	2,631	(39)	(1)	(1.5)	--
Coolidge city	86	86	86	--	--	--	--
Syracuse city	1,824	1,768	1,768	(56)	--	(3.2)	--
Bal. of Hamilton County	760	778	777	17	(1)	2.2	(0.1)
Bear Creek township	69	72	72	3	--	4.2	--
Bal. of Coolidge township	42	43	43	1	--	2.3	--
Kendall township	101	102	102	1	--	1.0	--
Lamont township	89	92	92	3	--	3.3	--
Liberty township	38	40	40	2	--	5.0	--
Medway township	53	55	55	2	--	3.6	--
Richland township	27	29	29	2	--	6.9	--
Bal. of Syracuse township	341	345	344	3	(1)	0.9	(0.3)
Harper County							
Harper County	6,536	5,819	5,857	(679)	38	(11.6)	0.6
Anthony city	2,440	2,203	2,224	(216)	21	(9.7)	0.9
Attica city	636	580	586	(50)	6	(8.5)	1.0
Bluff City city	80	73	73	(7)	--	(9.6)	--
Danville city	59	54	54	(5)	--	(9.3)	--
Freeport city	6	7	7	1	--	14.3	--
Harper city	1,567	1,412	1,416	(151)	4	(10.7)	0.3
Waldron city	17	15	15	(2)	--	(13.3)	--
Bal. of Harper County	1,731	1,475	1,482	(249)	7	(16.8)	0.5
Bal. of Township No. 1	364	323	324	(40)	1	(12.3)	0.3
Bal. of Township No. 2	127	115	115	(12)	--	(10.4)	--
Township No. 3	394	289	293	(101)	4	(34.5)	1.4
Bal. of Township No. 4	146	128	129	(17)	1	(13.2)	0.8
Bal. of Township No. 5	404	358	358	(46)	--	(12.8)	--
Township No. 6	296	262	263	(33)	1	(12.5)	0.4

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Harvey County	32,869	33,493	33,675	806	182	2.4	0.5
Burrton city	932	890	896	(36)	6	(4.0)	0.7
Halstead city	1,873	1,886	1,890	17	4	0.9	0.2
Hesston city	3,509	3,701	3,741	232	40	6.2	1.1
Newton city	17,190	18,017	18,133	943	116	5.2	0.6
North Newton city	1,522	1,573	1,592	70	19	4.4	1.2
Sedgwick city (pt.)	1,326	1,409	1,438	112	29	7.8	2.0
Walton city	284	287	286	2	(1)	0.7	(0.3)
Bal. of Harvey County	6,233	5,730	5,699	(534)	(31)	(9.4)	(0.5)
Alta township	221	231	232	11	1	4.7	0.4
Bal. of Burrton township	211	222	220	9	(2)	4.1	(0.9)
Darlington township	601	608	607	6	(1)	1.0	(0.2)
Bal. of Emma township	672	599	600	(72)	1	(12.0)	0.2
Garden township	294	305	306	12	1	3.9	0.3
Halstead township	353	359	360	7	1	1.9	0.3
Highland township	415	424	424	9	--	2.1	--
Lake township	173	183	184	11	1	6.0	0.5
Lakin township	357	368	369	12	1	3.3	0.3
Macon township	1,056	522	521	(535)	(1)	(102.7)	(0.2)
Bal. of Newton township	428	418	400	(28)	(18)	(7.0)	(4.5)
Pleasant township	439	449	450	11	1	2.4	0.2
Richland township	360	372	374	14	2	3.7	0.5
Bal. of Sedgwick township	385	393	374	(11)	(19)	(2.9)	(5.1)
Bal. of Walton township	268	277	278	10	1	3.6	0.4
Haskell County	4,307	4,032	3,919	(388)	(113)	(9.9)	(2.9)
Satanta city	1,239	1,124	1,090	(149)	(34)	(13.7)	(3.1)
Sublette city	1,592	1,509	1,468	(124)	(41)	(8.4)	(2.8)
Bal. of Haskell County	1,476	1,399	1,361	(115)	(38)	(8.4)	(2.8)
Bal. of Dudley township	575	546	532	(43)	(14)	(8.1)	(2.6)
Bal. of Haskell township	379	358	348	(31)	(10)	(8.9)	(2.9)
Lockport township	522	495	481	(41)	(14)	(8.5)	(2.9)
Hodgeman County	2,085	1,971	1,948	(137)	(23)	(7.0)	(1.2)
Hanston city	259	245	241	(18)	(4)	(7.5)	(1.7)
Jetmore city	903	855	846	(57)	(9)	(6.7)	(1.1)
Bal. of Hodgeman County	923	871	861	(62)	(10)	(7.2)	(1.2)
Benton township	48	46	45	(3)	(1)	(6.7)	(2.2)
Bal. of Center township	218	204	203	(15)	(1)	(7.4)	(0.5)
Hallet township	62	59	58	(4)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.7)
Bal. of Marena township	173	163	161	(12)	(2)	(7.5)	(1.2)
North Roscoe township	51	48	48	(3)	--	(6.3)	--
Sawlog township	93	88	87	(6)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.1)
South Roscoe township	76	72	71	(5)	(1)	(7.0)	(1.4)
Sterling township	144	136	134	(10)	(2)	(7.5)	(1.5)
Valley township	58	55	54	(4)	(1)	(7.4)	(1.9)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Jackson County	12,657	13,420	13,240	583	(180)	4.4	(1.4)
Circleville city	185	179	179	(6)	--	(3.4)	--
Delia city	179	181	178	(1)	(3)	(0.6)	(1.7)
Denison city	231	228	223	(8)	(5)	(3.6)	(2.2)
Holton city	3,353	3,312	3,258	(95)	(54)	(2.9)	(1.7)
Hoyt city	571	581	569	(2)	(12)	(0.4)	(2.1)
Mayetta city	312	359	351	39	(8)	11.1	(2.3)
Netawaka city	170	185	180	10	(5)	5.6	(2.8)
Soldier city	122	120	119	(3)	(1)	(2.5)	(0.8)
Whiting city	206	210	206	--	(4)	--	(1.9)
Bal. of Jackson County	7,328	8,065	7,977	649	(88)	8.1	(1.1)
Jefferson County	18,426	18,467	18,421	(5)	(46)	--	(0.2)
McLouth city	868	811	835	(33)	24	(4.0)	2.9
Meriden city	706	716	755	49	39	6.5	5.2
Nortonville city	620	577	585	(35)	8	(6.0)	1.4
Oskaloosa city	1,165	1,106	1,152	(13)	46	(1.1)	4.0
Ozawkie city	552	559	560	8	1	1.4	0.2
Perry city	901	852	845	(56)	(7)	(6.6)	(0.8)
Valley Falls city	1,254	1,158	1,156	(98)	(2)	(8.5)	(0.2)
Winchester city	579	555	570	(9)	15	(1.6)	2.6
Bal. of Jefferson County	11,781	12,133	11,963	182	(170)	1.5	(1.4)
Bal. of Delaware township	780	884	890	110	6	12.4	0.7
Fairview township	1,510	1,488	1,479	(31)	(9)	(2.1)	(0.6)
Bal. of Jefferson township	661	725	712	51	(13)	7.2	(1.8)
Kaw township	1,409	1,404	1,397	(12)	(7)	(0.9)	(0.5)
Bal. of Kentucky township	675	702	701	26	(1)	3.7	(0.1)
Bal. of Norton township	335	385	376	41	(9)	10.9	(2.4)
Bal. of Oskaloosa township	977	1,024	976	(1)	(48)	(0.1)	(4.9)
Bal. of Ozawkie township	856	876	873	17	(3)	1.9	(0.3)
Bal. of Rock Creek township	2,012	2,022	1,971	(41)	(51)	(2.1)	(2.6)
Rural township	804	825	824	20	(1)	2.4	(0.1)
Sarcoxie township	958	961	958	--	(3)	--	(0.3)
Bal. of Union township	804	837	806	2	(31)	0.2	(3.8)
Jewell County	3,791	3,198	3,142	(649)	(56)	(20.7)	(1.8)
Burr Oak city	265	215	212	(53)	(3)	(25.0)	(1.4)
Esbon city	148	126	124	(24)	(2)	(19.4)	(1.6)
Formoso city	129	110	108	(21)	(2)	(19.4)	(1.9)
Jewell city	483	413	405	(78)	(8)	(19.3)	(2.0)
Mankato city	976	807	794	(182)	(13)	(22.9)	(1.6)
Randall city	90	71	70	(20)	(1)	(28.6)	(1.4)
Webber city	37	32	31	(6)	(1)	(19.4)	(3.2)
Bal. of Jewell County	1,663	1,424	1,398	(265)	(26)	(19.0)	(1.9)
Allen township	43	37	36	(7)	(1)	(19.4)	(2.8)
Athens township	74	63	62	(12)	(1)	(19.4)	(1.6)
Browns Creek township	64	55	54	(10)	(1)	(18.5)	(1.9)
Bal. of Buffalo township	91	78	76	(15)	(2)	(19.7)	(2.6)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Jewell County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Burr Oak township	73	62	61	(12)	(1)	(19.7)	(1.6)
Calvin township	65	55	54	(11)	(1)	(20.4)	(1.9)
Bal. of Center township	124	106	108	(16)	2	(14.8)	1.9
Erving township	60	51	50	(10)	(1)	(20.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Esbon township	74	63	62	(12)	(1)	(19.4)	(1.6)
Bal. of Grant township	91	78	76	(15)	(2)	(19.7)	(2.6)
Harrison township	52	45	44	(8)	(1)	(18.2)	(2.3)
Highland township	49	42	41	(8)	(1)	(19.5)	(2.4)
Holmwood township	49	42	41	(8)	(1)	(19.5)	(2.4)
Ionia township	100	85	84	(16)	(1)	(19.0)	(1.2)
Bal. of Jackson township	86	74	72	(14)	(2)	(19.4)	(2.8)
Limestone township	49	42	41	(8)	(1)	(19.5)	(2.4)
Montana township	93	79	78	(15)	(1)	(19.2)	(1.3)
Odessa township	34	29	28	(6)	(1)	(21.4)	(3.6)
Bal. of Prairie township	82	73	71	(11)	(2)	(15.5)	(2.8)
Richland township	36	31	30	(6)	(1)	(20.0)	(3.3)
Sinclair township	67	57	56	(11)	(1)	(19.6)	(1.8)
Vicksburg township	28	24	23	(5)	(1)	(21.7)	(4.3)
Walnut township	80	68	67	(13)	(1)	(19.4)	(1.5)
Washington township	50	43	42	(8)	(1)	(19.0)	(2.4)
White Mound township	49	42	41	(8)	(1)	(19.5)	(2.4)
Johnson County							
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	1	6	7	6	1	85.7	14.3
De Soto city (pt.)	4,561	5,367	5,420	859	53	15.8	1.0
Edgerton city	1,440	1,788	1,817	377	29	20.7	1.6
Fairway city	3,952	3,832	3,843	(109)	11	(2.8)	0.3
Gardner city	9,396	16,462	17,462	8,066	1,000	46.2	5.7
Lake Quivira city (pt.)	883	884	890	7	6	0.8	0.7
Leawood city	27,656	31,012	31,342	3,686	330	11.8	1.1
Lenexa city	40,238	45,681	46,822	6,584	1,141	14.1	2.4
Merriam city	11,008	10,790	10,814	(194)	24	(1.8)	0.2
Mission city	9,727	9,743	9,765	38	22	0.4	0.2
Mission Hills city	3,593	3,537	3,568	(25)	31	(0.7)	0.9
Mission Woods city	165	159	160	(5)	1	(3.1)	0.6
Olathe city	92,962	118,034	119,993	27,031	1,959	22.5	1.6
Overland Park city	149,080	169,403	171,231	22,151	1,828	12.9	1.1
Prairie Village city	22,072	21,422	21,479	(593)	57	(2.8)	0.3
Roeland Park city	6,817	6,951	6,960	143	9	2.1	0.1
Shawnee city	47,996	59,958	60,954	12,958	996	21.3	1.6
Spring Hill city (pt.)	2,291	4,113	4,256	1,965	143	46.2	3.4
Westwood city	1,533	1,478	1,481	(52)	3	(3.5)	0.2
Westwood Hills city	378	363	364	(14)	1	(3.8)	0.3
Bal. of Johnson County	15,042	15,336	15,465	423	129	2.7	0.8
Aubry township	5,440	5,529	5,576	136	47	2.4	0.8
Gardner township	2,143	2,150	2,163	20	13	0.9	0.6
Lexington township	1,315	1,508	1,518	203	10	13.4	0.7

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Johnson County (cont'd)							
McCamish township	878	1,008	1,024	146	16	14.3	1.6
Olathe township	1,187	1,113	1,123	(64)	10	(5.7)	0.9
Oxford township	2,020	1,917	1,929	(91)	12	(4.7)	0.6
Spring Hill township	2,059	2,111	2,132	73	21	3.4	1.0
Kearny County							
Deerfield city	4,531	4,148	4,159	(372)	11	(8.9)	0.3
Lakin city	884	812	815	(69)	3	(8.5)	0.4
Bal. of Kearny County	2,316	2,115	2,123	(193)	8	(9.1)	0.4
Bal. of Deerfield township	1,331	1,221	1,221	(110)	--	(9.0)	--
East Hibbard township	220	201	198	(22)	(3)	(11.1)	(1.5)
Hartland township	131	120	120	(11)	--	(9.2)	--
Kendall township	128	116	117	(11)	1	(9.4)	0.9
Bal. of Lakin township	157	143	144	(13)	1	(9.0)	0.7
Southside township	271	251	252	(19)	1	(7.5)	0.4
West Hibbard township	359	331	331	(28)	--	(8.5)	--
	65	59	59	(6)	--	(10.2)	--
Kingman County							
Cunningham city	8,673	7,826	7,719	(954)	(107)	(12.4)	(1.4)
Kingman city	514	459	452	(62)	(7)	(13.7)	(1.5)
Nashville city	3,387	3,056	2,996	(391)	(60)	(13.1)	(2.0)
Norwich city	111	101	100	(11)	(1)	(11.0)	(1.0)
Penalosa city	551	501	499	(52)	(2)	(10.4)	(0.4)
Spivey city	27	25	24	(3)	(1)	(12.5)	(4.2)
Zenda city	80	74	72	(8)	(2)	(11.1)	(2.8)
Bal. of Kingman County	123	113	111	(12)	(2)	(10.8)	(1.8)
Allen township	3,880	3,497	3,465	(415)	(32)	(12.0)	(0.9)
Belmont township	109	99	99	(10)	--	(10.1)	--
Bal. of Bennett township	92	84	84	(8)	--	(9.5)	--
Canton township	154	140	139	(15)	(1)	(10.8)	(0.7)
Bal. of Chikaskia township	118	108	107	(11)	(1)	(10.3)	(0.9)
Dale township	60	55	55	(5)	--	(9.1)	--
Bal. of Dresden township	203	182	180	(23)	(2)	(12.8)	(1.1)
Eagle township	126	114	114	(12)	--	(10.5)	--
Bal. of Eureka township	154	140	138	(16)	(2)	(11.6)	(1.4)
Evan township	96	88	87	(9)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.1)
Galesburg township	516	468	463	(53)	(5)	(11.4)	(1.1)
Hoosier township	254	229	227	(27)	(2)	(11.9)	(0.9)
Kingman township	162	147	146	(16)	(1)	(11.0)	(0.7)
Bal. of Liberty township	124	113	112	(12)	(1)	(10.7)	(0.9)
Ninnescah township	67	62	62	(5)	--	(8.1)	--
Peters township	313	284	282	(31)	(2)	(11.0)	(0.7)
Richland township	201	181	180	(21)	(1)	(11.7)	(0.6)
Bal. of Rochester township	100	91	90	(10)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.1)
Bal. of Rural township	87	80	79	(8)	(1)	(10.1)	(1.3)
Union township	104	95	94	(10)	(1)	(10.6)	(1.1)
Valley township	88	81	80	(8)	(1)	(10.0)	(1.3)
	102	93	91	(11)	(2)	(12.1)	(2.2)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Kingman County (cont'd)							
Vinita township	249	225	222	(27)	(3)	(12.2)	(1.4)
White township	401	338	334	(67)	(4)	(20.1)	(1.2)
Kiowa County							
Greensburg city	3,278	2,953	2,541	(737)	(412)	(29.0)	(16.2)
Haviland city	1,574	1,383	1,280	(294)	(103)	(23.0)	(8.0)
Mullinville city	612	569	469	(143)	(100)	(30.5)	(21.3)
Bal. of Kiowa County	279	255	202	(77)	(53)	(38.1)	(26.2)
	813	746	590	(223)	(156)	(37.8)	(26.4)
Labette County							
Altamont city	22,835	21,973	21,871	(964)	(102)	(4.4)	(0.5)
Bartlett city	1,092	1,056	1,049	(43)	(7)	(4.1)	(0.7)
Chetopa city	124	122	121	(3)	(1)	(2.5)	(0.8)
Edna city	1,281	1,224	1,234	(47)	10	(3.8)	0.8
Labette city	423	417	413	(10)	(4)	(2.4)	(1.0)
Mound Valley city	68	67	67	(1)	--	(1.5)	--
Oswego city	418	409	407	(11)	(2)	(2.7)	(0.5)
Parsons city	2,046	1,983	1,979	(67)	(4)	(3.4)	(0.2)
Bal. of Labette County	11,514	11,122	11,065	(449)	(57)	(4.1)	(0.5)
Canada township	5,869	5,573	5,536	(333)	(37)	(6.0)	(0.7)
Bal. of Elm Grove township	217	205	204	(13)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.5)
Fairview township	375	355	355	(20)	--	(5.6)	--
Bal. of Hackberry township	239	227	226	(13)	(1)	(5.8)	(0.4)
Howard township	279	265	264	(15)	(1)	(5.7)	(0.4)
Bal. of Labette township	357	339	337	(20)	(2)	(5.9)	(0.6)
Bal. of Liberty township	373	355	354	(19)	(1)	(5.4)	(0.3)
Montana township	327	310	309	(18)	(1)	(5.8)	(0.3)
Bal. of Mound Valley township	179	169	168	(11)	(1)	(6.5)	(0.6)
Bal. of Mount Pleasant township	388	368	366	(22)	(2)	(6.0)	(0.5)
Neosho township	259	245	243	(16)	(2)	(6.6)	(0.8)
North township	222	211	209	(13)	(2)	(6.2)	(1.0)
Osage township	612	583	581	(31)	(2)	(5.3)	(0.3)
Oswego township	703	667	662	(41)	(5)	(6.2)	(0.8)
Richland township	310	295	294	(16)	(1)	(5.4)	(0.3)
Walton township	303	288	277	(26)	(11)	(9.4)	(4.0)
	726	691	687	(39)	(4)	(5.7)	(0.6)
Lane County							
Dighton city	2,155	1,746	1,743	(412)	(3)	(23.6)	(0.2)
Bal. of Lane County	1,261	1,020	1,019	(242)	(1)	(23.7)	(0.1)
Alamota township	894	726	724	(170)	(2)	(23.5)	(0.3)
Cheyenne township	108	88	88	(20)	--	(22.7)	--
Bal. of Dighton township	336	272	271	(65)	(1)	(24.0)	(0.4)
White Rock township	312	254	253	(59)	(1)	(23.3)	(0.4)
Wilson township	26	21	21	(5)	--	(23.8)	--
	112	91	91	(21)	--	(23.1)	--

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Leavenworth County	68,691	73,603	74,276	5,585	673	7.5	0.9
Basehor city	2,238	3,729	4,230	1,992	501	47.1	11.8
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	--	8	9	9	1	100.0	11.1
De Soto city (pt.)	--	2	0	--	(2)	--	--
Easton city	362	346	344	(18)	(2)	(5.2)	(0.6)
Lansing city	9,199	10,680	10,691	1,492	11	14.0	0.1
Leavenworth city	35,420	34,787	34,729	(691)	(58)	(2.0)	(0.2)
Linwood city	374	389	388	14	(1)	3.6	(0.3)
Tonganoxie city	2,728	4,156	4,305	1,577	149	36.6	3.5
Bal. of Leavenworth County	18,370	19,506	19,580	1,210	74	6.2	0.4
Alexandria township	859	1,026	1,037	178	11	17.2	1.1
Delaware township	1,361	1,014	1,019	(342)	5	(33.6)	0.5
Bal. of Easton township	883	1,035	1,045	162	10	15.5	1.0
Bal. of Fairmount township	4,028	4,166	4,146	118	(20)	2.8	(0.5)
High Prairie township	1,768	1,936	1,949	181	13	9.3	0.7
Kickapoo township	1,760	1,925	1,936	176	11	9.1	0.6
Reno township	1,143	1,295	1,306	163	11	12.5	0.8
Bal. of Sherman township	1,993	2,149	2,158	165	9	7.6	0.4
Bal. of Stranger township	2,245	2,435	2,448	203	13	8.3	0.5
Bal. of Tonganoxie township	2,330	2,525	2,536	206	11	8.1	0.4
Lincoln County	3,578	3,285	3,261	(317)	(24)	(9.7)	(0.7)
Barnard city	123	115	114	(9)	(1)	(7.9)	(0.9)
Beverly city	199	185	184	(15)	(1)	(8.2)	(0.5)
Lincoln Center city	1,349	1,222	1,213	(136)	(9)	(11.2)	(0.7)
Sylvan Grove city	324	288	286	(38)	(2)	(13.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Lincoln County	1,583	1,475	1,464	(119)	(11)	(8.1)	(0.8)
Linn County	9,570	9,767	9,616	46	(151)	0.5	(1.6)
Blue Mound city	277	292	285	8	(7)	2.8	(2.5)
La Cygne city	1,115	1,131	1,108	(7)	(23)	(0.6)	(2.1)
Linn Valley city	562	584	585	23	1	3.9	0.2
Mound City city	821	804	791	(30)	(13)	(3.8)	(1.6)
Parker city	281	300	310	29	10	9.4	3.2
Pleasanton city	1,387	1,337	1,316	(71)	(21)	(5.4)	(1.6)
Prescott city	280	276	271	(9)	(5)	(3.3)	(1.8)
Bal. of Linn County	4,847	5,043	4,950	103	(93)	2.1	(1.9)
Bal. of Blue Mound township	223	246	244	21	(2)	8.6	(0.8)
Centerville township	389	421	416	27	(5)	6.5	(1.2)
Bal. of Liberty township	627	651	639	12	(12)	1.9	(1.9)
Bal. of Lincoln township	581	590	579	(2)	(11)	(0.3)	(1.9)
Bal. of Mound City township	600	611	597	(3)	(14)	(0.5)	(2.3)
Paris township	494	510	500	6	(10)	1.2	(2.0)
Bal. of Potosi township	693	710	692	(1)	(18)	(0.1)	(2.6)
Bal. of Scott township	634	651	639	5	(12)	0.8	(1.9)
Bal. of Sheridan township	280	299	295	15	(4)	5.1	(1.4)
Stanton township	169	183	180	11	(3)	6.1	(1.7)
Valley township	157	171	169	12	(2)	7.1	(1.2)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Logan County	3,046	2,628	2,593	(453)	(35)	(17.5)	(1.3)
Oakley city (pt.)	2,118	1,820	1,795	(323)	(25)	(18.0)	(1.4)
Russell Springs city	32	28	28	(4)	--	(14.3)	--
Winona city	228	192	189	(39)	(3)	(20.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Logan County	668	588	581	(87)	(7)	(15.0)	(1.2)
Augustine township	26	23	23	(3)	--	(13.0)	--
Elkader township	15	13	13	(2)	--	(15.4)	--
Lees township	17	15	15	(2)	--	(13.3)	--
Logansport township	14	12	12	(2)	--	(16.7)	--
McAllaster township	29	26	25	(4)	(1)	(16.0)	(4.0)
Monument township	144	127	125	(19)	(2)	(15.2)	(1.6)
Bal. of Oakley township	225	198	196	(29)	(2)	(14.8)	(1.0)
Paxton township	24	21	21	(3)	--	(14.3)	--
Bal. of Russell Springs township	39	34	34	(5)	--	(14.7)	--
Western township	44	39	38	(6)	(1)	(15.8)	(2.6)
Bal. of Winona township	91	80	79	(12)	(1)	(15.2)	(1.3)
Lyon County	35,935	35,981	35,562	(373)	(419)	(1.0)	(1.2)
Admire city	177	177	174	(3)	(3)	(1.7)	(1.7)
Allen city	211	216	212	1	(4)	0.5	(1.9)
Americus city	938	933	917	(21)	(16)	(2.3)	(1.7)
Bushong city	55	51	50	(5)	(1)	(10.0)	(2.0)
Emporia city	26,760	26,662	26,380	(380)	(282)	(1.4)	(1.1)
Hartford city	500	502	494	(6)	(8)	(1.2)	(1.6)
Neosho Rapids city	274	276	271	(3)	(5)	(1.1)	(1.8)
Olpe city	504	507	508	4	1	0.8	0.2
Reading city	247	248	244	(3)	(4)	(1.2)	(1.6)
Bal. of Lyon County	6,269	6,409	6,312	43	(97)	0.7	(1.5)
Bal. of Agnes City township	202	225	224	22	(1)	9.8	(0.4)
Bal. of Americus township	584	602	594	10	(8)	1.7	(1.3)
Bal. of Center township	697	730	714	17	(16)	2.4	(2.2)
Bal. of Elmendaro township	449	475	470	21	(5)	4.5	(1.1)
Emporia township	1,167	1,120	1,100	(67)	(20)	(6.1)	(1.8)
Fremont township	842	859	847	5	(12)	0.6	(1.4)
Bal. of Ivy township	127	135	133	6	(2)	4.5	(1.5)
Bal. of Jackson township	721	742	732	11	(10)	1.5	(1.4)
Pike township	899	909	894	(5)	(15)	(0.6)	(1.7)
Bal. of Reading township	300	318	314	14	(4)	4.5	(1.3)
Waterloo township	281	294	290	9	(4)	3.1	(1.4)
McPherson County	29,554	29,196	29,044	(510)	(152)	(1.8)	(0.5)
Canton city	829	797	790	(39)	(7)	(4.9)	(0.9)
Galva city	701	797	804	103	7	12.8	0.9
Inman city	1,142	1,191	1,187	45	(4)	3.8	(0.3)
Lindsborg city	3,321	3,262	3,245	(76)	(17)	(2.3)	(0.5)
McPherson city	13,770	13,487	13,396	(374)	(91)	(2.8)	(0.7)
Marquette city	542	589	583	41	(6)	7.0	(1.0)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
McPherson County (cont'd)							
Moundridge city	1,593	1,629	1,636	43	7	2.6	0.4
Windom city	137	132	131	(6)	(1)	(4.6)	(0.8)
Bal. of McPherson County	7,519	7,312	7,272	(247)	(40)	(3.4)	(0.6)
Battle Hill township	91	98	98	7	--	7.1	--
Bonaville township	66	77	78	12	1	15.4	1.3
Bal. of Canton township	261	264	263	2	(1)	0.8	(0.4)
Bal. of Castle township	88	97	98	10	1	10.2	1.0
Delmore township	138	145	145	7	--	4.8	--
Bal. of Empire township	477	472	469	(8)	(3)	(1.7)	(0.6)
Groveland township	234	237	236	2	(1)	0.8	(0.4)
Gypsum Creek township	215	216	215	--	(1)	--	(0.5)
Harper township	137	143	143	6	--	4.2	--
Hayes township	287	288	286	(1)	(2)	(0.3)	(0.7)
Jackson township	198	201	200	2	(1)	1.0	(0.5)
King City township	544	536	533	(11)	(3)	(2.1)	(0.6)
Little Valley township	475	468	465	(10)	(3)	(2.2)	(0.6)
Lone Tree township	486	478	475	(11)	(3)	(2.3)	(0.6)
McPherson township	618	591	585	(33)	(6)	(5.6)	(1.0)
Bal. of Marquette township	234	187	186	(48)	(1)	(25.8)	(0.5)
Meridian township	341	339	337	(4)	(2)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Bal. of Mound township	511	435	428	(83)	(7)	(19.4)	(1.6)
New Gottland township	354	353	352	(2)	(1)	(0.6)	(0.3)
Smoky Hill township	297	295	293	(4)	(2)	(1.4)	(0.7)
South Sharps Creek township	112	120	120	8	--	6.7	--
Spring Valley township	373	370	368	(5)	(2)	(1.4)	(0.5)
Bal. of Superior township	498	413	411	(87)	(2)	(21.2)	(0.5)
Turkey Creek township	294	295	294	--	(1)	--	(0.3)
Union township	190	194	194	4	--	2.1	--
Marion County							
Burns city	13,361	12,238	12,100	(1,261)	(138)	(10.4)	(1.1)
Durham city	268	259	260	(8)	1	(3.1)	0.4
Durham city	114	102	101	(13)	(1)	(12.9)	(1.0)
Florence city	671	605	596	(75)	(9)	(12.6)	(1.5)
Goessel city	565	516	512	(53)	(4)	(10.4)	(0.8)
Hillsboro city	2,854	2,666	2,638	(216)	(28)	(8.2)	(1.1)
Lehigh city	215	193	190	(25)	(3)	(13.2)	(1.6)
Lincolnville city	225	206	203	(22)	(3)	(10.8)	(1.5)
Lost Springs city	71	63	62	(9)	(1)	(14.5)	(1.6)
Marion city	2,110	1,897	1,880	(230)	(17)	(12.2)	(0.9)
Peabody city	1,384	1,219	1,203	(181)	(16)	(15.0)	(1.3)
Ramona city	94	84	82	(12)	(2)	(14.6)	(2.4)
Tampa city	144	131	129	(15)	(2)	(11.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Marion County	4,646	4,297	4,244	(402)	(53)	(9.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Blaine township	68	67	66	(2)	(1)	(3.0)	(1.5)
Bal. of Catlin township	171	159	157	(14)	(2)	(8.9)	(1.3)
Centre township	535	480	475	(60)	(5)	(12.6)	(1.1)
Clark township	149	138	136	(13)	(2)	(9.6)	(1.5)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Marion County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Clear Creek township	367	336	331	(36)	(5)	(10.9)	(1.5)
Bal. of Colfax township	124	117	115	(9)	(2)	(7.8)	(1.7)
Doyle township	75	74	73	(2)	(1)	(2.7)	(1.4)
Bal. of Durham Park township	116	110	109	(7)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.9)
East Branch township	188	174	172	(16)	(2)	(9.3)	(1.2)
Fairplay township	121	117	116	(5)	(1)	(4.3)	(0.9)
Gale township	220	200	197	(23)	(3)	(11.7)	(1.5)
Grant township	128	126	124	(4)	(2)	(3.2)	(1.6)
Bal. of Lehigh township	155	146	144	(11)	(2)	(7.6)	(1.4)
Liberty township	327	296	292	(35)	(4)	(12.0)	(1.4)
Logan township	127	120	119	(8)	(1)	(6.7)	(0.8)
Bal. of Lost Springs township	130	122	121	(9)	(1)	(7.4)	(0.8)
Menno township	317	290	286	(31)	(4)	(10.8)	(1.4)
Bal. of Milton township	80	77	76	(4)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.3)
Moore township	65	64	64	(1)	--	(1.6)	--
Bal. of Peabody township	169	157	156	(13)	(1)	(8.3)	(0.6)
Risley township	241	221	218	(23)	(3)	(10.6)	(1.4)
Summit township	82	80	79	(3)	(1)	(3.8)	(1.3)
Bal. of West Branch township	459	414	409	(50)	(5)	(12.2)	(1.2)
Wilson township	232	212	209	(23)	(3)	(11.0)	(1.4)
Marshall County							
Axtell city	445	423	417	(28)	(6)	(6.7)	(1.4)
Beattie city	277	261	259	(18)	(2)	(6.9)	(0.8)
Blue Rapids city	1,088	1,022	1,018	(70)	(4)	(6.9)	(0.4)
Frankfort city	855	779	776	(79)	(3)	(10.2)	(0.4)
Marysville city	3,271	3,103	3,126	(145)	23	(4.6)	0.7
Oketo city	87	82	81	(6)	(1)	(7.4)	(1.2)
Summerfield city	211	199	198	(13)	(1)	(6.6)	(0.5)
Vermillion city	107	95	95	(12)	--	(12.6)	--
Waterville city	681	616	616	(65)	--	(10.6)	--
Bal. of Marshall County	3,943	3,606	3,592	(351)	(14)	(9.8)	(0.4)
Balderson township	106	100	99	(7)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.0)
Bigelow township	66	62	61	(5)	(1)	(8.2)	(1.6)
Blue Rapids township	78	73	73	(5)	--	(6.8)	--
Bal. of Blue Rapids City township	113	107	106	(7)	(1)	(6.6)	(0.9)
Center township	151	142	141	(10)	(1)	(7.1)	(0.7)
Clear Fork township	54	51	50	(4)	(1)	(8.0)	(2.0)
Cleveland township	91	86	85	(6)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.2)
Cottage Hill township	143	133	133	(10)	--	(7.5)	--
Elm Creek township	178	167	167	(11)	--	(6.6)	--
Franklin township	337	315	313	(24)	(2)	(7.7)	(0.6)
Bal. of Guittard township	177	166	166	(11)	--	(6.6)	--
Herkimer township	234	219	218	(16)	(1)	(7.3)	(0.5)
Lincoln township	130	122	122	(8)	--	(6.6)	--
Logan township	335	314	313	(22)	(1)	(7.0)	(0.3)
Marysville township	383	270	265	(118)	(5)	(44.5)	(1.9)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Marshall County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Murray township	195	180	183	(12)	3	(6.6)	1.6
Bal. of Noble township	110	103	103	(7)	--	(6.8)	--
Bal. of Oketo township	164	153	153	(11)	--	(7.2)	--
Bal. of Richland township	130	122	121	(9)	(1)	(7.4)	(0.8)
Rock township	123	116	115	(8)	(1)	(7.0)	(0.9)
Bal. of St. Bridget township	97	91	91	(6)	--	(6.6)	--
Bal. of Vermillion township	157	148	148	(9)	--	(6.1)	--
Walnut township	144	135	135	(9)	--	(6.7)	--
Bal. of Waterville township	116	109	109	(7)	--	(6.4)	--
Wells township	131	122	122	(9)	--	(7.4)	--
Meade County							
Fowler city	567	551	546	(21)	(5)	(3.8)	(0.9)
Meade city	1,672	1,553	1,538	(134)	(15)	(8.7)	(1.0)
Plains city	1,163	1,107	1,095	(68)	(12)	(6.2)	(1.1)
Bal. of Meade County	1,229	1,192	1,180	(49)	(12)	(4.2)	(1.0)
Cimarron township	93	90	90	(3)	--	(3.3)	--
Crooked Creek township	92	89	88	(4)	(1)	(4.5)	(1.1)
Bal. of Fowler township	182	176	174	(8)	(2)	(4.6)	(1.1)
Logan township	100	97	96	(4)	(1)	(4.2)	(1.0)
Bal. of Meade Center township	296	288	285	(11)	(3)	(3.9)	(1.1)
Mertilla township	221	215	213	(8)	(2)	(3.8)	(0.9)
Odee township	50	51	51	1	--	2.0	--
Sand Creek township	38	34	33	(5)	(1)	(15.2)	(3.0)
Bal. of West Plains township	157	152	150	(7)	(2)	(4.7)	(1.3)
Miami County							
Fontana city	149	214	217	68	3	31.3	1.4
Louisburg city	2,576	3,787	3,940	1,364	153	34.6	3.9
Osawatomie city	4,645	4,533	4,488	(157)	(45)	(3.5)	(1.0)
Paola city	5,011	5,368	5,351	340	(17)	6.4	(0.3)
Spring Hill city (pt.)	436	952	971	535	19	55.1	2.0
Bal. of Miami County	15,534	16,224	16,022	488	(202)	3.0	(1.3)
Marysville township	2,575	2,622	2,588	13	(34)	0.5	(1.3)
Miami township	506	569	563	57	(6)	10.1	(1.1)
Middle Creek township	1,649	1,736	1,729	80	(7)	4.6	(0.4)
Mound township	705	749	739	34	(10)	4.6	(1.4)
Bal. of Osage township	500	507	501	1	(6)	0.2	(1.2)
Osawatomie township	794	835	823	29	(12)	3.5	(1.5)
Paola township	1,100	1,126	1,112	12	(14)	1.1	(1.3)
Richland township	1,758	1,869	1,848	90	(21)	4.9	(1.1)
Stanton township	925	987	974	49	(13)	5.0	(1.3)
Sugar Creek township	449	498	493	44	(5)	8.9	(1.0)
Ten Mile township	1,259	1,331	1,315	56	(16)	4.3	(1.2)
Valley township	1,478	1,531	1,509	31	(22)	2.1	(1.5)
Wea township	1,836	1,864	1,828	(8)	(36)	(0.4)	(2.0)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Mitchell County	6,932	6,307	6,292	(640)	(15)	(10.2)	(0.2)
Beloit city	4,019	3,645	3,640	(379)	(5)	(10.4)	(0.1)
Cawker City city	521	463	463	(58)	--	(12.5)	--
Glen Elder city	439	393	395	(44)	2	(11.1)	0.5
Hunter city	77	70	70	(7)	--	(10.0)	--
Scottsville city	21	19	19	(2)	--	(10.5)	--
Simpson city (pt.)	114	101	100	(14)	(1)	(14.0)	(1.0)
Tipton city	243	227	226	(17)	(1)	(7.5)	(0.4)
Bal. of Mitchell County	1,498	1,389	1,379	(119)	(10)	(8.6)	(0.7)
Asherville township	122	113	113	(9)	--	(8.0)	--
Beloit township	222	206	204	(18)	(2)	(8.8)	(1.0)
Bloomfield township	83	78	78	(5)	--	(6.4)	--
Blue Hill township	36	33	33	(3)	--	(9.1)	--
Carr Creek township	31	29	29	(2)	--	(6.9)	--
Bal. of Cawker township	57	53	53	(4)	--	(7.5)	--
Center township	49	45	45	(4)	--	(8.9)	--
Bal. of Custer township	55	51	51	(4)	--	(7.8)	--
Eureka township	41	38	36	(5)	(2)	(13.9)	(5.6)
Bal. of Glen Elder township	114	106	101	(13)	(5)	(12.9)	(5.0)
Hayes township	21	20	20	(1)	--	(5.0)	--
Bal. of Logan township	54	50	50	(4)	--	(8.0)	--
Bal. of Lulu township	69	65	64	(5)	(1)	(7.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Pittsburg township	103	94	94	(9)	--	(9.6)	--
Plum Creek township	119	110	110	(9)	--	(8.2)	--
Round Springs township	27	25	25	(2)	--	(8.0)	--
Salt Creek township	39	36	36	(3)	--	(8.3)	--
Solomon Rapids township	80	74	74	(6)	--	(8.1)	--
Turkey Creek township	134	124	124	(10)	--	(8.1)	--
Walnut Creek township	42	39	39	(3)	--	(7.7)	--
Montgomery County	36,252	34,511	34,395	(1,857)	(116)	(5.4)	(0.3)
Caney city	2,092	1,985	1,976	(116)	(9)	(5.9)	(0.5)
Cherryvale city	2,386	2,263	2,253	(133)	(10)	(5.9)	(0.4)
Coffeyville city	11,021	10,349	10,312	(709)	(37)	(6.9)	(0.4)
Dearing city	415	449	446	31	(3)	7.0	(0.7)
Elk City city	305	297	296	(9)	(1)	(3.0)	(0.3)
Havana city	86	84	84	(2)	--	(2.4)	--
Independence city	9,846	9,277	9,245	(601)	(32)	(6.5)	(0.3)
Liberty city	95	93	92	(3)	(1)	(3.3)	(1.1)
Tyro city	226	221	220	(6)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.5)
Bal. of Montgomery County	9,780	9,493	9,471	(309)	(22)	(3.3)	(0.2)
Bal. of Caney township	1,017	996	993	(24)	(3)	(2.4)	(0.3)
Cherokee township	541	520	527	(14)	7	(2.7)	1.3
Cherry township	517	505	503	(14)	(2)	(2.8)	(0.4)
Drum Creek township	537	527	525	(12)	(2)	(2.3)	(0.4)
Bal. of Fawn Creek township	1,536	1,451	1,446	(90)	(5)	(6.2)	(0.3)
Independence township	2,342	2,289	2,279	(63)	(10)	(2.8)	(0.4)
Bal. of Liberty township	378	370	370	(8)	--	(2.2)	--

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Montgomery County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Louisburg township	324	317	317	(7)	--	(2.2)	--
Parker township	1,212	1,167	1,162	(50)	(5)	(4.3)	(0.4)
Rutland township	302	297	297	(5)	--	(1.7)	--
Sycamore township	835	819	817	(18)	(2)	(2.2)	(0.2)
West Cherry township	239	235	235	(4)	--	(1.7)	--
Morris County							
Council Grove city	6,104	5,967	6,037	(67)	70	(1.1)	1.2
Dunlap city	2,321	2,253	2,274	(47)	21	(2.1)	0.9
Dwight city	81	80	81	--	1	--	1.2
Dwight city	330	324	326	(4)	2	(1.2)	0.6
Herington city (pt.)	--	4	4	4	--	100.0	--
Latimer city	21	20	21	--	1	--	4.8
Parkerville city	73	71	72	(1)	1	(1.4)	1.4
White City city	518	505	531	13	26	2.4	4.9
Wilsey city	191	187	188	(3)	1	(1.6)	0.5
Bal. of Morris County	2,569	2,523	2,540	(29)	17	(1.1)	0.7
Highland township	94	92	93	(1)	1	(1.1)	1.1
Overland township	60	59	59	(1)	--	(1.7)	--
Bal. of Township No. 1	470	463	466	(4)	3	(0.9)	0.6
Township No. 2	688	677	681	(7)	4	(1.0)	0.6
Bal. of Township No. 3	173	169	171	(2)	2	(1.2)	1.2
Bal. of Township No. 4	179	175	177	(2)	2	(1.1)	1.1
Bal. of Township No. 5	168	165	166	(2)	1	(1.2)	0.6
Bal. of Township No. 6	90	89	89	(1)	--	(1.1)	--
Township No. 7	258	253	254	(4)	1	(1.6)	0.4
Township No. 8	212	207	209	(3)	2	(1.4)	1.0
Bal. of Township No. 9	177	174	175	(2)	1	(1.1)	0.6
Morton County							
Elkhart city	3,496	3,038	2,978	(518)	(60)	(17.4)	(2.0)
Richfield city	2,233	1,940	1,901	(332)	(39)	(17.5)	(2.1)
Rolla city	48	42	41	(7)	(1)	(17.1)	(2.4)
Rolla city	482	421	413	(69)	(8)	(16.7)	(1.9)
Bal. of Morton County	733	635	623	(110)	(12)	(17.7)	(1.9)
Cimarron township	67	58	57	(10)	(1)	(17.5)	(1.8)
Jones township	17	15	15	(2)	--	(13.3)	--
Bal. of Richfield township	170	149	146	(24)	(3)	(16.4)	(2.1)
Bal. of Rolla township	168	147	144	(24)	(3)	(16.7)	(2.1)
Bal. of Taloga township	204	172	169	(35)	(3)	(20.7)	(1.8)
Westola township	107	94	92	(15)	(2)	(16.3)	(2.2)
Nemaha County							
Bern city	10,717	10,201	10,112	(605)	(89)	(6.0)	(0.9)
Bern city	204	194	192	(12)	(2)	(6.3)	(1.0)
Centralia city	534	492	486	(48)	(6)	(9.9)	(1.2)
Corning city	170	162	160	(10)	(2)	(6.3)	(1.3)
Goff city	181	166	164	(17)	(2)	(10.4)	(1.2)
Oneida city	70	66	67	(3)	1	(4.5)	1.5
Sabetha city (pt.)	2,582	2,460	2,446	(136)	(14)	(5.6)	(0.6)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Nemaha County (cont'd)							
Seneca city	2,122	2,027	2,013	(109)	(14)	(5.4)	(0.7)
Wetmore city	362	345	341	(21)	(4)	(6.2)	(1.2)
Bal. of Nemaha County	4,492	4,289	4,243	(249)	(46)	(5.9)	(1.1)
Adams township	213	203	200	(13)	(3)	(6.5)	(1.5)
Berwick township	391	374	370	(21)	(4)	(5.7)	(1.1)
Capioma township	161	154	152	(9)	(2)	(5.9)	(1.3)
Center township	200	190	188	(12)	(2)	(6.4)	(1.1)
Clear Creek township	123	117	116	(7)	(1)	(6.0)	(0.9)
Bal. of Gilman township	153	146	142	(11)	(4)	(7.7)	(2.8)
Granada township	122	116	115	(7)	(1)	(6.1)	(0.9)
Bal. of Harrison township	218	207	205	(13)	(2)	(6.3)	(1.0)
Bal. of Home township	122	116	115	(7)	(1)	(6.1)	(0.9)
Bal. of Illinois township	194	185	184	(10)	(1)	(5.4)	(0.5)
Marion township	457	435	431	(26)	(4)	(6.0)	(0.9)
Mitchell township	305	291	288	(17)	(3)	(5.9)	(1.0)
Nemaha township	184	175	173	(11)	(2)	(6.4)	(1.2)
Neuchatel township	117	111	110	(7)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.9)
Red Vermillion township	137	130	129	(8)	(1)	(6.2)	(0.8)
Reilly township	153	146	144	(9)	(2)	(6.3)	(1.4)
Richmond township	538	522	515	(23)	(7)	(4.5)	(1.4)
Rock Creek township	302	289	287	(15)	(2)	(5.2)	(0.7)
Bal. of Washington township	261	248	246	(15)	(2)	(6.1)	(0.8)
Bal. of Wetmore township	141	134	133	(8)	(1)	(6.0)	(0.8)
Neosho County							
Chanute city	16,997	16,228	16,223	(774)	(5)	(4.8)	--
Earlton city	9,411	8,854	8,843	(568)	(11)	(6.4)	(0.1)
Earlton city	80	78	78	(2)	--	(2.6)	--
Erie city	1,211	1,150	1,160	(51)	10	(4.4)	0.9
Galesburg city	150	146	145	(5)	(1)	(3.4)	(0.7)
St. Paul city	646	655	663	17	8	2.6	1.2
Stark city	106	103	103	(3)	--	(2.9)	--
Thayer city	500	488	487	(13)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.2)
Bal. of Neosho County	4,893	4,754	4,744	(149)	(10)	(3.1)	(0.2)
Big Creek township	456	445	444	(12)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.2)
Bal. of Canville township	491	478	478	(13)	--	(2.7)	--
Bal. of Centerville township	417	407	407	(10)	--	(2.5)	--
Bal. of Chetopa township	394	386	385	(9)	(1)	(2.3)	(0.3)
Bal. of Erie township	313	306	303	(10)	(3)	(3.3)	(1.0)
Bal. of Grant township	278	271	270	(8)	(1)	(3.0)	(0.4)
Bal. of Ladore township	398	389	388	(10)	(1)	(2.6)	(0.3)
Lincoln township	345	337	336	(9)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.3)
Bal. of Mission township	281	276	276	(5)	--	(1.8)	--
Shiloh township	297	290	289	(8)	(1)	(2.8)	(0.3)
Tioga township	885	840	841	(44)	1	(5.2)	0.1
Walnut Grove township	338	329	327	(11)	(2)	(3.4)	(0.6)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Ness County	3,454	2,991	2,945	(509)	(46)	(17.3)	(1.6)
Bazine city	311	334	334	23	--	6.9	--
Brownell city	48	41	40	(8)	(1)	(20.0)	(2.5)
Ness City city	1,534	1,283	1,261	(273)	(22)	(21.6)	(1.7)
Ransom city	338	283	279	(59)	(4)	(21.1)	(1.4)
Utica city	223	192	188	(35)	(4)	(18.6)	(2.1)
Bal. of Ness County	1,000	858	843	(157)	(15)	(18.6)	(1.8)
Bal. of Bazine township	130	112	110	(20)	(2)	(18.2)	(1.8)
Bal. of Center township	75	65	64	(11)	(1)	(17.2)	(1.6)
Eden township	70	60	59	(11)	(1)	(18.6)	(1.7)
Bal. of Forrester township	67	58	57	(10)	(1)	(17.5)	(1.8)
Franklin township	132	113	111	(21)	(2)	(18.9)	(1.8)
Highpoint township	93	80	78	(15)	(2)	(19.2)	(2.6)
Johnson township	76	65	64	(12)	(1)	(18.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Nevada township	141	120	118	(23)	(2)	(19.5)	(1.7)
Bal. of Ohio township	122	104	103	(19)	(1)	(18.4)	(1.0)
Bal. of Waring township	94	81	79	(15)	(2)	(19.0)	(2.5)
Norton County	5,953	5,422	5,370	(583)	(52)	(10.9)	(1.0)
Almena city	469	429	425	(44)	(4)	(10.4)	(0.9)
Clayton city (pt.)	65	60	59	(6)	(1)	(10.2)	(1.7)
Edmond city	47	43	42	(5)	(1)	(11.9)	(2.4)
Lenora city	306	270	266	(40)	(4)	(15.0)	(1.5)
Norton city	3,012	2,680	2,651	(361)	(29)	(13.6)	(1.1)
Bal. of Norton County	2,054	1,940	1,927	(127)	(13)	(6.6)	(0.7)
Bal. of Almena--Dist. 4 township	145	133	131	(14)	(2)	(10.7)	(1.5)
Center--District 1 township	1,382	1,325	1,320	(62)	(5)	(4.7)	(0.4)
Harrison--District 6 township	12	11	11	(1)	--	(9.1)	--
Bal. of Highland--Dist. 2 township	366	334	330	(36)	(4)	(10.9)	(1.2)
Bal. of Solomon--Dist. 3 township	149	137	135	(14)	(2)	(10.4)	(1.5)
Osage County	16,712	16,459	16,327	(385)	(132)	(2.4)	(0.8)
Burlingame city	1,017	970	958	(59)	(12)	(6.2)	(1.3)
Carbondale city	1,478	1,392	1,378	(100)	(14)	(7.3)	(1.0)
Lyndon city	1,038	999	1,008	(30)	9	(3.0)	0.9
Melvern city	429	408	411	(18)	3	(4.4)	0.7
Olivet city	64	61	61	(3)	--	(4.9)	--
Osage City city	3,034	2,846	2,824	(210)	(22)	(7.4)	(0.8)
Overbrook city	947	934	928	(19)	(6)	(2.0)	(0.6)
Quenemo city	468	431	424	(44)	(7)	(10.4)	(1.7)
Scranton city	724	683	681	(43)	(2)	(6.3)	(0.3)
Bal. of Osage County	7,513	7,735	7,654	141	(81)	1.8	(1.1)
Bal. of Agency township	150	165	165	15	--	9.1	--
Arvonias township	136	157	157	21	--	13.4	--
Barclay township	239	265	265	26	--	9.8	--
Bal. of Burlingame township	751	773	767	16	(6)	2.1	(0.8)
Dragoon township	214	227	226	12	(1)	5.3	(0.4)
Bal. of Elk township	776	789	782	6	(7)	0.8	(0.9)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Osage County (cont'd)							
Fairfax township	513	524	520	7	(4)	1.3	(0.8)
Grant township	297	309	308	11	(1)	3.6	(0.3)
Junction township	1,210	1,201	1,186	(24)	(15)	(2.0)	(1.3)
Lincoln township	134	149	149	15	--	10.1	--
Bal. of Melvern township	383	400	389	6	(11)	1.5	(2.8)
Bal. of Olivet township	199	227	228	29	1	12.7	0.4
Bal. of Ridgeway township	1,183	1,178	1,166	(17)	(12)	(1.5)	(1.0)
Bal. of Scranton township	549	552	547	(2)	(5)	(0.4)	(0.9)
Superior township	293	323	322	29	(1)	9.0	(0.3)
Bal. of Valley Brook township	486	496	477	(9)	(19)	(1.9)	(4.0)
Osborne County							
Alton city	117	104	102	(15)	(2)	(14.7)	(2.0)
Downs city	1,038	899	883	(155)	(16)	(17.6)	(1.8)
Natoma city	367	314	308	(59)	(6)	(19.2)	(1.9)
Osborne city	1,607	1,377	1,354	(253)	(23)	(18.7)	(1.7)
Portis city	123	110	108	(15)	(2)	(13.9)	(1.9)
Bal. of Osborne County	1,200	1,067	1,049	(151)	(18)	(14.4)	(1.7)
Bal. of Bethany township	76	67	66	(10)	(1)	(15.2)	(1.5)
Bloom township	81	71	70	(11)	(1)	(15.7)	(1.4)
Corinth township	51	45	45	(6)	--	(13.3)	--
Covert township	19	17	17	(2)	--	(11.8)	--
Delhi township	37	34	33	(4)	(1)	(12.1)	(3.0)
Grant township	28	25	24	(4)	(1)	(16.7)	(4.2)
Hancock township	21	19	18	(3)	(1)	(16.7)	(5.6)
Hawkeye township	36	32	31	(5)	(1)	(16.1)	(3.2)
Independence township	32	28	28	(4)	--	(14.3)	--
Jackson township	42	37	37	(5)	--	(13.5)	--
Kill Creek township	35	31	30	(5)	(1)	(16.7)	(3.3)
Lawrence township	37	33	32	(5)	(1)	(15.6)	(3.1)
Liberty township	31	28	27	(4)	(1)	(14.8)	(3.7)
Mount Ayr township	41	36	36	(5)	--	(13.9)	--
Bal. of Natoma township	35	31	31	(4)	--	(12.9)	--
Penn township	129	115	113	(16)	(2)	(14.2)	(1.8)
Bal. of Ross township	128	114	112	(16)	(2)	(14.3)	(1.8)
Round Mound township	59	53	52	(7)	(1)	(13.5)	(1.9)
Bal. of Sumner township	89	80	79	(10)	(1)	(12.7)	(1.3)
Tilden township	116	103	101	(15)	(2)	(14.9)	(2.0)
Valley township	37	33	32	(5)	(1)	(15.6)	(3.1)
Victor township	24	21	21	(3)	--	(14.3)	--
Winfield township	16	14	14	(2)	--	(14.3)	--
Ottawa County							
Bennington city	623	598	609	(14)	11	(2.3)	1.8
Culver city	164	160	160	(4)	--	(2.5)	--
Delphos city	469	438	437	(32)	(1)	(7.3)	(0.2)
Minneapolis city	2,046	1,985	1,978	(68)	(7)	(3.4)	(0.4)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Ottawa County (cont'd)							
Tescott city	339	323	326	(13)	3	(4.0)	0.9
Bal. of Ottawa County	2,522	2,502	2,516	(6)	14	(0.2)	0.6
Bal. of Bennington township	493	481	487	(6)	6	(1.2)	1.2
Blaine township	111	111	112	1	1	0.9	0.9
Buckeye township	101	100	101	--	1	--	1.0
Center township	72	73	73	1	--	1.4	--
Chapman township	67	68	69	2	1	2.9	1.4
Concord township	209	206	206	(3)	--	(1.5)	--
Bal. of Culver township	154	152	152	(2)	--	(1.3)	--
Durham township	25	27	27	2	--	7.4	--
Fountain township	190	186	186	(4)	--	(2.2)	--
Garfield township	95	95	96	1	1	1.0	1.0
Grant township	93	93	94	1	1	1.1	1.1
Henry township	22	24	24	2	--	8.3	--
Lincoln township	143	141	141	(2)	--	(1.4)	--
Logan township	82	82	82	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Morton township	159	157	157	(2)	--	(1.3)	--
Ottawa township	49	51	51	2	--	3.9	--
Richland township	218	214	215	(3)	1	(1.4)	0.5
Bal. of Sheridan township	120	119	120	--	1	--	0.8
Sherman township	67	68	68	1	--	1.5	--
Stanton township	52	54	55	3	1	5.5	1.8
Pawnee County							
Burdett city	256	228	222	(34)	(6)	(15.3)	(2.7)
Garfield city	198	176	171	(27)	(5)	(15.8)	(2.9)
Larned city	4,236	3,675	3,599	(637)	(76)	(17.7)	(2.1)
Rozel city	182	161	156	(26)	(5)	(16.7)	(3.2)
Bal. of Pawnee County	2,361	2,175	2,143	(218)	(32)	(10.2)	(1.5)
Ash Valley township	49	44	43	(6)	(1)	(14.0)	(2.3)
Bal. of Browns Grove township	54	48	47	(7)	(1)	(14.9)	(2.1)
Conkling township	26	23	23	(3)	--	(13.0)	--
Bal. of Garfield township	63	56	55	(8)	(1)	(14.5)	(1.8)
Bal. of Grant township	52	45	44	(8)	(1)	(18.2)	(2.3)
Keysville township	55	49	48	(7)	(1)	(14.6)	(2.1)
Larned township	266	233	234	(32)	1	(13.7)	0.4
Lincoln township	28	25	24	(4)	(1)	(16.7)	(4.2)
Logan township	48	43	42	(6)	(1)	(14.3)	(2.4)
Morton township	56	50	49	(7)	(1)	(14.3)	(2.0)
Bal. of Orange township	71	64	62	(9)	(2)	(14.5)	(3.2)
Pawnee township	82	73	71	(11)	(2)	(15.5)	(2.8)
Pleasant Grove township	230	206	200	(30)	(6)	(15.0)	(3.0)
Pleasant Ridge township	56	50	48	(8)	(2)	(16.7)	(4.2)
Pleasant Valley township	128	114	111	(17)	(3)	(15.3)	(2.7)
River township	87	77	75	(12)	(2)	(16.0)	(2.7)
Santa Fe township	788	777	775	(13)	(2)	(1.7)	(0.3)
Sawmill township	24	21	21	(3)	--	(14.3)	--

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Pawnee County (cont'd)							
Shiley township	28	25	24	(4)	(1)	(16.7)	(4.2)
Valley Center township	57	51	49	(8)	(2)	(16.3)	(4.1)
Walnut township	113	101	98	(15)	(3)	(15.3)	(3.1)
Phillips County							
Agra city	306	270	269	(37)	(1)	(13.8)	(0.4)
Glade city	114	103	103	(11)	--	(10.7)	--
Kirwin city	229	208	207	(22)	(1)	(10.6)	(0.5)
Logan city	603	535	532	(71)	(3)	(13.3)	(0.6)
Long Island city	155	141	140	(15)	(1)	(10.7)	(0.7)
Phillipsburg city	2,668	2,372	2,367	(301)	(5)	(12.7)	(0.2)
Prairie View city	141	128	127	(14)	(1)	(11.0)	(0.8)
Speed city	44	40	40	(4)	--	(10.0)	--
Bal. of Phillips County	1,741	1,559	1,554	(187)	(5)	(12.0)	(0.3)
Arcade township	96	88	87	(9)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.1)
Beaver township	54	49	49	(5)	--	(10.2)	--
Bal. of Belmont township	69	63	62	(7)	(1)	(11.3)	(1.6)
Bow Creek township	44	40	40	(4)	--	(10.0)	--
Crystal township	59	53	53	(6)	--	(11.3)	--
Dayton township	53	48	48	(5)	--	(10.4)	--
Deer Creek township	67	61	60	(7)	(1)	(11.7)	(1.7)
Freedom township	85	77	77	(8)	--	(10.4)	--
Glenwood township	42	38	38	(4)	--	(10.5)	--
Granite township	21	19	19	(2)	--	(10.5)	--
Greenwood township	45	41	41	(4)	--	(9.8)	--
Bal. of Kirwin township	78	71	70	(8)	(1)	(11.4)	(1.4)
Bal. of Logan township	63	55	54	(9)	(1)	(16.7)	(1.9)
Bal. of Long Island township	96	87	87	(9)	--	(10.3)	--
Mound township	129	117	116	(13)	(1)	(11.2)	(0.9)
Phillipsburg township	257	213	216	(41)	3	(19.0)	1.4
Plainview township	23	21	21	(2)	--	(9.5)	--
Bal. of Plum township	141	128	127	(14)	(1)	(11.0)	(0.8)
Bal. of Prairie View township	79	71	71	(8)	--	(11.3)	--
Rushville township	23	21	21	(2)	--	(9.5)	--
Bal. of Solomon township	112	102	102	(10)	--	(9.8)	--
Sumner township	43	39	39	(4)	--	(10.3)	--
Towanda township	26	24	23	(3)	(1)	(13.0)	(4.3)
Valley township	22	20	20	(2)	--	(10.0)	--
Walnut township	14	13	13	(1)	--	(7.7)	--
Pottawatomie County							
Belvue city	228	217	220	(8)	3	(3.6)	1.4
Emmett city	277	262	262	(15)	--	(5.7)	--
Havensville city	146	143	144	(2)	1	(1.4)	0.7
Louisville city	209	206	207	(2)	1	(1.0)	0.5
Manhattan city (pt.)	3	41	54	51	13	94.4	24.1
Olsburg city	192	191	194	2	3	1.0	1.5

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Pottawatomie County (cont'd)							
Onaga city	704	669	675	(29)	6	(4.3)	0.9
St. George city	434	525	562	128	37	22.8	6.6
St. Marys city (pt.)	2,198	2,243	2,276	78	33	3.4	1.4
Wamego city	4,246	4,265	4,312	66	47	1.5	1.1
Westmoreland city	631	739	746	115	7	15.4	0.9
Wheaton city	92	90	91	(1)	1	(1.1)	1.1
Bal. of Pottawatomie County	8,849	9,805	9,952	1,103	147	11.1	1.5
Bal. of Belvue township	176	222	229	53	7	23.1	3.1
Blue township	1,802	1,866	1,867	65	1	3.5	0.1
Bal. of Blue Valley township	147	197	205	58	8	28.3	3.9
Center township	104	135	140	36	5	25.7	3.6
Clear Creek township	113	140	145	32	5	22.1	3.4
Bal. of Emmett township	201	238	244	43	6	17.6	2.5
Bal. of Grant township	147	180	185	38	5	20.5	2.7
Green township	188	232	239	51	7	21.3	2.9
Lincoln township	124	158	164	40	6	24.4	3.7
Bal. of Lone Tree township	147	183	189	42	6	22.2	3.2
Bal. of Louisville township	526	573	583	57	10	9.8	1.7
Bal. of Mill Creek township	296	340	348	52	8	14.9	2.3
Bal. of Pottawatomie township	362	429	439	77	10	17.5	2.3
Bal. of Rock Creek township	159	197	203	44	6	21.7	3.0
St. Clere township	83	117	122	39	5	32.0	4.1
Bal. of St. George township	2,195	2,244	2,246	51	2	2.3	0.1
Bal. of St. Marys township	591	650	661	70	11	10.6	1.7
Shannon township	235	282	289	54	7	18.7	2.4
Sherman township	126	167	173	47	6	27.2	3.5
Spring Creek township	61	102	108	47	6	43.5	5.6
Union township	164	202	208	44	6	21.2	2.9
Vienna township	92	121	125	33	4	26.4	3.2
Bal. of Wamego township	810	830	840	30	10	3.6	1.2
Pratt County							
Byers city	9,647	9,426	9,411	(236)	(15)	(2.5)	(0.2)
Coats city	50	49	49	(1)	--	(2.0)	--
Cullison city	112	109	109	(3)	--	(2.8)	--
Cullison city	98	96	96	(2)	--	(2.1)	--
Iuka city	185	182	181	(4)	(1)	(2.2)	(0.6)
Pratt city	6,570	6,406	6,397	(173)	(9)	(2.7)	(0.1)
Preston city	164	160	160	(4)	--	(2.5)	--
Sawyer city	124	121	121	(3)	--	(2.5)	--
Bal. of Pratt County	2,344	2,303	2,298	(46)	(5)	(2.0)	(0.2)
Bal. of Township No. 6	401	393	392	(9)	(1)	(2.3)	(0.3)
Bal. of Township No. 7	181	177	177	(4)	--	(2.3)	--
Bal. of Township No. 8	131	128	128	(3)	--	(2.3)	--
Bal. of Township No. 9	219	214	214	(5)	--	(2.3)	--
Bal. of Township No. 10	79	77	77	(2)	--	(2.6)	--
Bal. of Township No. 11	372	364	363	(9)	(1)	(2.5)	(0.3)
Township No. 12	961	950	947	(14)	(3)	(1.5)	(0.3)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Rawlins County	2,966	2,558	2,503	(463)	(55)	(18.5)	(2.2)
Atwood city	1,279	1,092	1,069	(210)	(23)	(19.6)	(2.2)
Herndon city	149	131	128	(21)	(3)	(16.4)	(2.3)
McDonald city	159	133	129	(30)	(4)	(23.3)	(3.1)
Bal. of Rawlins County	1,379	1,202	1,177	(202)	(25)	(17.2)	(2.1)
Achilles township	83	73	71	(12)	(2)	(16.9)	(2.8)
Bal. of Atwood township	51	45	44	(7)	(1)	(15.9)	(2.3)
Center township	363	313	307	(56)	(6)	(18.2)	(2.0)
Driftwood township	84	73	72	(12)	(1)	(16.7)	(1.4)
Bal. of Herl township	237	207	203	(34)	(4)	(16.7)	(2.0)
Jefferson township	34	30	29	(5)	(1)	(17.2)	(3.4)
Ludell township	136	118	116	(20)	(2)	(17.2)	(1.7)
Mirage township	43	38	37	(6)	(1)	(16.2)	(2.7)
Bal. of Rocewood township	289	253	247	(42)	(6)	(17.0)	(2.4)
Union township	59	52	51	(8)	(1)	(15.7)	(2.0)
Reno County	64,790	63,145	63,427	(1,363)	282	(2.1)	0.4
Abbyville city	128	124	124	(4)	--	(3.2)	--
Arlington city	459	433	434	(25)	1	(5.8)	0.2
Buhler city	1,358	1,325	1,332	(26)	7	(2.0)	0.5
Haven city	1,175	1,161	1,163	(12)	2	(1.0)	0.2
Hutchinson city	40,787	40,668	40,889	102	221	0.2	0.5
Langdon city	72	71	71	(1)	--	(1.4)	--
Nickerson city	1,194	1,146	1,144	(50)	(2)	(4.4)	(0.2)
Partridge city	259	255	250	(9)	(5)	(3.6)	(2.0)
Plevna city	99	97	97	(2)	--	(2.1)	--
Pretty Prairie city	615	594	598	(17)	4	(2.8)	0.7
South Hutchinson city	2,539	2,541	2,547	8	6	0.3	0.2
Sylvia city	297	292	292	(5)	--	(1.7)	--
Turon city	436	428	428	(8)	--	(1.9)	--
Willowbrook city	36	87	87	51	--	58.6	--
Bal. of Reno County	15,336	13,923	13,971	(1,365)	48	(9.8)	0.3
Bal. of Albion township	222	222	223	1	1	0.4	0.4
Bal. of Arlington township	162	164	165	3	1	1.8	0.6
Bell township	87	90	91	4	1	4.4	1.1
Castleton township	256	260	262	6	2	2.3	0.8
Bal. of Center township	413	408	414	1	6	0.2	1.4
Clay township	3,302	2,119	2,121	(1,181)	2	(55.7)	0.1
Enterprise township	139	143	144	5	1	3.5	0.7
Bal. of Grant township	1,271	1,186	1,188	(83)	2	(7.0)	0.2
Grove township	64	68	69	5	1	7.2	1.4
Bal. of Haven township	417	404	406	(11)	2	(2.7)	0.5
Hayes township	106	118	120	14	2	11.7	1.7
Huntsville township	118	121	121	3	--	2.5	--
Bal. of Langdon township	88	91	91	3	--	3.3	--
Lincoln township	703	687	688	(15)	1	(2.2)	0.1
Bal. of Little River township	523	507	509	(14)	2	(2.8)	0.4

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Reno County (cont'd)							
Loda township	119	123	124	5	1	4.0	0.8
Medford township	144	146	147	3	1	2.0	0.7
Medora township	1,594	1,547	1,549	(45)	2	(2.9)	0.1
Bal. of Miami township	85	91	92	7	1	7.6	1.1
Ninnescah township	226	225	226	--	1	--	0.4
Bal. of Plevna township	136	138	139	3	1	2.2	0.7
Bal. of Reno township	1,957	1,896	1,900	(57)	4	(3.0)	0.2
Bal. of Roscoe township	108	113	114	6	1	5.3	0.9
Salt Creek township	483	478	480	(3)	2	(0.6)	0.4
Sumner township	547	538	540	(7)	2	(1.3)	0.4
Bal. of Sylvia township	96	98	99	3	1	3.0	1.0
Troy township	112	116	117	5	1	4.3	0.9
Valley township	887	868	871	(16)	3	(1.8)	0.3
Walnut township	114	117	118	4	1	3.4	0.8
Bal. of Westminster township	115	118	119	4	1	3.4	0.8
Yoder township	742	723	724	(18)	1	(2.5)	0.1
Republic County							
Agenda city	81	68	67	(14)	(1)	(20.9)	(1.5)
Belleville city	2,239	1,864	1,832	(407)	(32)	(22.2)	(1.7)
Courtland city	334	304	298	(36)	(6)	(12.1)	(2.0)
Cuba city	231	194	191	(40)	(3)	(20.9)	(1.6)
Munden city	122	103	101	(21)	(2)	(20.8)	(2.0)
Narka city	93	78	77	(16)	(1)	(20.8)	(1.3)
Republic city	161	136	133	(28)	(3)	(21.1)	(2.3)
Scandia city	436	350	343	(93)	(7)	(27.1)	(2.0)
Bal. of Republic County	2,138	1,804	1,770	(368)	(34)	(20.8)	(1.9)
Bal. of Albion township	81	68	67	(14)	(1)	(20.9)	(1.5)
Beaver township	137	115	113	(24)	(2)	(21.2)	(1.8)
Belleville township	231	196	191	(40)	(5)	(20.9)	(2.6)
Bal. of Big Bend township	94	79	77	(17)	(2)	(22.1)	(2.6)
Bal. of Courtland township	116	98	96	(20)	(2)	(20.8)	(2.1)
Bal. of Elk Creek township	94	79	78	(16)	(1)	(20.5)	(1.3)
Bal. of Fairview township	102	86	85	(17)	(1)	(20.0)	(1.2)
Farmington township	81	68	67	(14)	(1)	(20.9)	(1.5)
Freedom township	186	158	155	(31)	(3)	(20.0)	(1.9)
Grant township	77	65	64	(13)	(1)	(20.3)	(1.6)
Jefferson township	107	90	88	(19)	(2)	(21.6)	(2.3)
Liberty township	52	44	44	(8)	--	(18.2)	--
Lincoln township	103	87	85	(18)	(2)	(21.2)	(2.4)
Norway township	163	137	135	(28)	(2)	(20.7)	(1.5)
Bal. of Richland township	87	73	72	(15)	(1)	(20.8)	(1.4)
Bal. of Rose Creek township	101	85	83	(18)	(2)	(21.7)	(2.4)
Bal. of Scandia township	105	89	87	(18)	(2)	(20.7)	(2.3)
Union township	51	43	42	(9)	(1)	(21.4)	(2.4)
Bal. of Washington township	82	70	68	(14)	(2)	(20.6)	(2.9)
White Rock township	88	74	73	(15)	(1)	(20.5)	(1.4)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Rice County	10,761	10,080	10,060	(701)	(20)	(7.0)	(0.2)
Alden city	168	154	154	(14)	--	(9.1)	--
Bushton city	314	286	285	(29)	(1)	(10.2)	(0.4)
Chase city	490	448	446	(44)	(2)	(9.9)	(0.4)
Frederick city	11	11	11	--	--	--	--
Geneseo city	272	260	259	(13)	(1)	(5.0)	(0.4)
Little River city	536	516	518	(18)	2	(3.5)	0.4
Lyons city	3,732	3,471	3,399	(333)	(72)	(9.8)	(2.1)
Raymond city	95	91	90	(5)	(1)	(5.6)	(1.1)
Sterling city	2,642	2,539	2,535	(107)	(4)	(4.2)	(0.2)
Bal. of Rice County	2,501	2,304	2,363	(138)	59	(5.8)	2.5
Atlanta township	233	165	225	(8)	60	(3.6)	26.7
Bell township	18	18	18	--	--	--	--
Center township	136	130	130	(6)	--	(4.6)	--
East Washington township	179	173	173	(6)	--	(3.5)	--
Bal. of Eureka township	54	52	52	(2)	--	(3.8)	--
Bal. of Farmer township	127	121	122	(5)	1	(4.1)	0.8
Galt township	51	50	50	(1)	--	(2.0)	--
Harrison township	192	184	184	(8)	--	(4.3)	--
Bal. of Lincoln township	87	83	84	(3)	1	(3.6)	1.2
Mitchell township	131	125	126	(5)	1	(4.0)	0.8
Odessa township	55	53	54	(1)	1	(1.9)	1.9
Pioneer township	101	97	97	(4)	--	(4.1)	--
Bal. of Raymond township	90	86	87	(3)	1	(3.4)	1.1
Rockville township	134	128	128	(6)	--	(4.7)	--
Sterling township	223	178	176	(47)	(2)	(26.7)	(1.1)
Bal. of Union township	205	196	193	(12)	(3)	(6.2)	(1.6)
Bal. of Valley township	108	104	103	(5)	(1)	(4.9)	(1.0)
Bal. of Victoria township	92	88	88	(4)	--	(4.5)	--
West Washington township	138	132	132	(6)	--	(4.5)	--
Wilson township	147	141	141	(6)	--	(4.3)	--
Riley County	62,843	69,083	71,069	8,226	1,986	11.6	2.8
Leonardville city	398	441	475	77	34	16.2	7.2
Manhattan city (pt.)	44,828	51,707	52,230	7,402	523	14.2	1.0
Ogden city	1,762	1,878	2,152	390	274	18.1	12.7
Randolph city	175	176	198	23	22	11.6	11.1
Riley city	886	939	1,026	140	87	13.6	8.5
Bal. of Riley County	14,794	13,942	14,988	194	1,046	1.3	7.0
Ashland township	150	160	176	26	16	14.8	9.1
Bal. of Bala township	364	316	346	(18)	30	(5.2)	8.7
Center township	81	93	103	22	10	21.4	9.7
Fancy Creek township	126	137	150	24	13	16.0	8.7
Grant township	833	833	908	75	75	8.3	8.3
Bal. of Jackson township	151	161	177	26	16	14.7	9.0
Bal. of Madison township	7,287	7,289	7,742	455	453	5.9	5.9
Manhattan township	3,275	2,594	2,816	(459)	222	(16.3)	7.9

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Riley County (cont'd)							
May Day township	78	87	96	18	9	18.8	9.4
Bal. of Ogden township	661	462	504	(157)	42	(31.2)	8.3
Sherman township	524	524	570	46	46	8.1	8.1
Swede Creek township	157	170	187	30	17	16.0	9.1
Wildcat township	750	744	807	57	63	7.1	7.8
Zeandale township	357	372	406	49	34	12.1	8.4
Rooks County							
Damar city	155	144	142	(13)	(2)	(9.2)	(1.4)
Palco city	248	219	217	(31)	(2)	(14.3)	(0.9)
Plainville city	2,029	1,822	1,821	(208)	(1)	(11.4)	(0.1)
Stockton city	1,558	1,408	1,402	(156)	(6)	(11.1)	(0.4)
Woodston city	116	107	106	(10)	(1)	(9.4)	(0.9)
Zurich city	126	116	115	(11)	(1)	(9.6)	(0.9)
Bal. of Rooks County	1,453	1,344	1,333	(120)	(11)	(9.0)	(0.8)
Bal. of Township No. 1	164	151	150	(14)	(1)	(9.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Township No. 2	193	179	178	(15)	(1)	(8.4)	(0.6)
Bal. of Township No. 3	120	111	107	(13)	(4)	(12.1)	(3.7)
Bal. of Township No. 4	24	22	26	2	4	7.7	15.4
Township No. 5	74	69	68	(6)	(1)	(8.8)	(1.5)
Township No. 6	60	56	55	(5)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.8)
Bal. of Township No. 7	63	58	58	(5)	--	(8.6)	--
Bal. of Township No. 8	96	89	88	(8)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.1)
Township No. 9	51	47	47	(4)	--	(8.5)	--
Bal. of Township No. 10	71	66	65	(6)	(1)	(9.2)	(1.5)
Bal. of Township No. 11	351	326	323	(28)	(3)	(8.7)	(0.9)
Township No. 12	183	170	168	(15)	(2)	(8.9)	(1.2)
Rush County							
Alexander city	75	66	66	(9)	--	(13.6)	--
Bison city	235	207	208	(27)	1	(13.0)	0.5
La Crosse city	1,376	1,234	1,241	(135)	7	(10.9)	0.6
Liebenthal city	111	101	102	(9)	1	(8.8)	1.0
McCracken city	211	191	192	(19)	1	(9.9)	0.5
Otis city	325	300	302	(23)	2	(7.6)	0.7
Rush Center city	176	163	164	(12)	1	(7.3)	0.6
Timken city	83	76	77	(6)	1	(7.8)	1.3
Bal. of Rush County	959	873	880	(79)	7	(9.0)	0.8
Bal. of Alex.--Belle Prairie twnshp.	64	58	59	(5)	1	(8.5)	1.7
Bal. of Banner township	108	98	99	(9)	1	(9.1)	1.0
Bal. of Big Timber township	53	48	49	(4)	1	(8.2)	2.0
Bal. of Center township	80	73	74	(6)	1	(8.1)	1.4
Garfield township	132	121	120	(12)	(1)	(10.0)	(0.8)
Bal. of Hampton--Fairview twnshp.	93	85	86	(7)	1	(8.1)	1.2
Illinois township	47	43	43	(4)	--	(9.3)	--
Bal. of La Crosse--Brookdale twnshp.	99	90	91	(8)	1	(8.8)	1.1
Bal. of Lone Star township	84	76	77	(7)	1	(9.1)	1.3

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Rush County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Pioneer township	101	92	92	(9)	--	(9.8)	--
Pleasantdale township	33	30	31	(2)	1	(6.5)	3.2
Union township	65	59	59	(6)	--	(10.2)	--
Russell County							
	7,370	6,737	6,641	(729)	(96)	(11.0)	(1.4)
Bunker Hill city	101	93	92	(9)	(1)	(9.8)	(1.1)
Dorrance city	205	185	184	(21)	(1)	(11.4)	(0.5)
Gorham city	360	327	323	(37)	(4)	(11.5)	(1.2)
Lucas city	436	415	409	(27)	(6)	(6.6)	(1.5)
Luray city	203	183	180	(23)	(3)	(12.8)	(1.7)
Paradise city	64	60	59	(5)	(1)	(8.5)	(1.7)
Russell city	4,696	4,281	4,217	(479)	(64)	(11.4)	(1.5)
Waldo city	48	45	44	(4)	(1)	(9.1)	(2.3)
Bal. of Russell County	1,257	1,148	1,133	(124)	(15)	(10.9)	(1.3)
Bal. of Big Creek township	155	142	140	(15)	(2)	(10.7)	(1.4)
Bal. of Center township	154	140	139	(15)	(1)	(10.8)	(0.7)
Fairfield township	42	38	38	(4)	--	(10.5)	--
Bal. of Fairview township	90	81	80	(10)	(1)	(12.5)	(1.3)
Grant township	159	146	143	(16)	(3)	(11.2)	(2.1)
Lincoln township	147	133	131	(16)	(2)	(12.2)	(1.5)
Bal. of Luray township	67	62	61	(6)	(1)	(9.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Paradise township	105	97	96	(9)	(1)	(9.4)	(1.0)
Bal. of Plymouth township	114	104	103	(11)	(1)	(10.7)	(1.0)
Russell township	89	82	81	(8)	(1)	(9.9)	(1.2)
Bal. of Waldo township	60	55	54	(6)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.9)
Winterset township	75	68	67	(8)	(1)	(11.9)	(1.5)
Saline County							
	53,597	54,583	54,657	1,060	74	1.9	0.1
Assaria city	438	446	449	11	3	2.4	0.7
Brookville city	259	259	263	4	4	1.5	1.5
Gypsum city	414	400	404	(10)	4	(2.5)	1.0
New Cambria city	150	159	159	9	--	5.7	--
Salina city	45,679	46,458	46,483	804	25	1.7	0.1
Smolan city	218	213	213	(5)	--	(2.3)	--
Solomon city (pt.)	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Saline County	6,438	6,647	6,685	247	38	3.7	0.6
Bal. of Cambria township	300	305	306	6	1	2.0	0.3
Bal. of Dayton township	133	146	148	15	2	10.1	1.4
Elm Creek township	828	852	853	25	1	2.9	0.1
Bal. of Eureka township	250	265	266	16	1	6.0	0.4
Falun township	260	284	287	27	3	9.4	1.0
Glendale township	104	116	118	14	2	11.9	1.7
Greeley township	809	768	778	(31)	10	(4.0)	1.3
Gypsum township	193	206	207	14	1	6.8	0.5
Liberty township	183	195	197	14	2	7.1	1.0
Ohio township	463	477	478	15	1	3.1	0.2
Pleasant Valley township	422	439	440	18	1	4.1	0.2

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Saline County (cont'd)							
Smoky Hill township	324	323	324	--	1	--	0.3
Bal. of Smoky View township	516	532	533	17	1	3.2	0.2
Bal. of Smolan township	531	543	545	14	2	2.6	0.4
Solomon township	311	327	329	18	2	5.5	0.6
Bal. of Spring Creek township	136	161	164	28	3	17.1	1.8
Walnut township	553	572	574	21	2	3.7	0.3
Washington township	122	136	138	16	2	11.6	1.4
Scott County							
Scott City city	5,120	4,568	4,577	(543)	9	(11.9)	0.2
Bal. of Scott County	3,855	3,494	3,502	(353)	8	(10.1)	0.2
Beaver township	1,265	1,074	1,075	(190)	1	(17.7)	0.1
Isbel township	302	268	269	(33)	1	(12.3)	0.4
Keystone township	110	97	97	(13)	--	(13.4)	--
Lake township	106	94	94	(12)	--	(12.8)	--
Michigan township	95	84	84	(11)	--	(13.1)	--
Scott township	88	78	78	(10)	--	(12.8)	--
Valley township	309	228	227	(82)	(1)	(36.1)	(0.4)
	255	225	226	(29)	1	(12.8)	0.4
Sedgwick County							
Andale city	452,869	476,026	482,863	29,994	6,837	6.2	1.4
Andover city (pt.)	766	841	879	113	38	12.9	4.3
Bel Aire city	--	61	23	23	(38)	100.0	(165.2)
Bentley city	5,836	6,704	6,797	961	93	14.1	1.4
Cheney city	368	496	519	151	23	29.1	4.4
Clearwater city	1,783	1,988	2,033	250	45	12.3	2.2
Colwich city	2,178	2,337	2,405	227	68	9.4	2.8
Derby city	1,229	1,382	1,407	178	25	12.7	1.8
Eastborough city	17,807	22,058	22,517	4,710	459	20.9	2.0
Garden Plain city	826	799	806	(20)	7	(2.5)	0.9
Goddard city	797	836	854	57	18	6.7	2.1
Haysville city	2,037	3,697	3,869	1,832	172	47.4	4.4
Kechi city	8,502	10,193	10,364	1,862	171	18.0	1.6
Maize city	1,038	1,703	1,753	715	50	40.8	2.9
Mount Hope city	1,868	2,872	3,094	1,226	222	39.6	7.2
Mulvane city (pt.)	830	853	857	27	4	3.2	0.5
Park City city	4,154	4,773	4,816	662	43	13.7	0.9
Sedgwick city (pt.)	5,814	7,588	7,787	1,973	199	25.3	2.6
Valley Center city	211	222	229	18	7	7.9	3.1
Viola city	4,883	6,297	6,521	1,638	224	25.1	3.4
Wichita city	211	205	205	(6)	--	(2.9)	--
Bal. of Sedgwick County	344,284	361,420	366,046	21,762	4,626	5.9	1.3
Afton township	47,447	38,701	39,082	(8,365)	381	(21.4)	1.0
Bal. of Attica township	1,290	1,376	1,393	103	17	7.4	1.2
Delano township	2,922	2,577	2,591	(331)	14	(12.8)	0.5
Bal. of Eagle township	196	25	25	(171)	--	(684.0)	--
Erie township	701	778	789	88	11	11.2	1.4
	106	186	195	89	9	45.6	4.6

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Sedgwick County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Garden Plain township	983	1,071	1,083	100	12	9.2	1.1
Grand River township	607	694	705	98	11	13.9	1.6
Bal. of Grant township	1,577	985	957	(620)	(28)	(64.8)	(2.9)
Bal. of Greeley township	264	319	327	63	8	19.3	2.4
Bal. of Gypsum township	5,807	5,579	5,645	(162)	66	(2.9)	1.2
Bal. of Illinois township	1,620	1,707	1,723	103	16	6.0	0.9
Bal. of Kechi township	784	400	394	(390)	(6)	(99.0)	(1.5)
Lincoln township	473	544	554	81	10	14.6	1.8
Bal. of Minneha township	4,258	2,875	2,941	(1,317)	66	(44.8)	2.2
Bal. of Morton township	597	659	670	73	11	10.9	1.6
Bal. of Ninnescah township	735	784	785	50	1	6.4	0.1
Bal. of Ohio township	1,146	1,193	1,206	60	13	5.0	1.1
Bal. of Park township	2,132	1,544	1,586	(546)	42	(34.4)	2.6
Bal. of Payne township	1,119	893	903	(216)	10	(23.9)	1.1
Bal. of Riveside township	7,429	4,549	4,569	(2,860)	20	(62.6)	0.4
Bal. of Rockford township	1,869	1,615	1,630	(239)	15	(14.7)	0.9
Bal. of Salem township	4,379	4,369	4,393	14	24	0.3	0.5
Bal. of Sherman township	596	706	704	108	(2)	15.3	(0.3)
Bal. of Union township	927	928	943	16	15	1.7	1.6
Bal. of Valley Center township	1,214	1,027	1,031	(183)	4	(17.7)	0.4
Bal. of Viola township	336	430	441	105	11	23.8	2.5
Bal. of Waco township	3,380	888	899	(2,481)	11	(276.0)	1.2
Seward County							
Kismet city	484	514	505	21	(9)	4.2	(1.8)
Liberal city	19,666	20,128	20,074	408	(54)	2.0	(0.3)
Bal. of Seward County	2,360	2,467	2,437	77	(30)	3.2	(1.2)
Bal. of Fargo township	1,200	1,258	1,243	43	(15)	3.5	(1.2)
Liberal township	803	831	821	18	(10)	2.2	(1.2)
Seward township	357	378	373	16	(5)	4.3	(1.3)
Shawnee County							
Auburn city	1,121	1,143	1,151	30	8	2.6	0.7
Rossville city	1,014	1,063	1,114	100	51	9.0	4.6
Silver Lake city	1,358	1,370	1,380	22	10	1.6	0.7
Topeka city	122,377	122,642	123,446	1,069	804	0.9	0.7
Willard city (pt.)	86	83	83	(3)	--	(3.6)	--
Bal. of Shawnee County	43,915	47,175	47,535	3,620	360	7.6	0.8
Bal. of Auburn township	1,666	2,071	2,110	444	39	21.0	1.8
Bal. of Dover township	1,648	2,017	2,052	404	35	19.7	1.7
Grove township	473	693	713	240	20	33.7	2.8
Menoken township	1,371	1,687	1,718	347	31	20.2	1.8
Mission township	9,070	8,949	8,957	(113)	8	(1.3)	0.1
Monmouth township	2,786	3,229	3,272	486	43	14.9	1.3
Bal. of Rossville township	667	1,062	1,098	431	36	39.3	3.3
Bal. of Silver Lake township	591	726	739	148	13	20.0	1.8
Soldier township	12,867	13,545	13,624	757	79	5.6	0.6

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Shawnee County (cont'd)							
Tecumseh township	7,822	7,825	7,842	20	17	0.3	0.2
Topeka township	931	1,007	1,010	79	3	7.8	0.3
Williamsport township	4,023	4,364	4,400	377	36	8.6	0.8
Sheridan County							
Hoxie city	1,244	1,107	1,115	(129)	8	(11.6)	0.7
Selden city	201	177	178	(23)	1	(12.9)	0.6
Bal. of Sheridan County	1,368	1,209	1,217	(151)	8	(12.4)	0.7
Adell township	13	11	12	(1)	1	(8.3)	8.3
Bloomfield township	45	40	40	(5)	--	(12.5)	--
Bowcreek township	54	48	48	(6)	--	(12.5)	--
East Saline township	66	58	58	(8)	--	(13.8)	--
Bal. of Kenneth township	186	165	166	(20)	1	(12.0)	0.6
Logan township	115	102	102	(13)	--	(12.7)	--
Parnell township	104	92	92	(12)	--	(13.0)	--
Prairie Dog township	71	63	63	(8)	--	(12.7)	--
Bal. of Sheridan township	68	60	60	(8)	--	(13.3)	--
Solomon township	248	218	221	(27)	3	(12.2)	1.4
Springbrook township	108	95	96	(12)	1	(12.5)	1.0
Union township	60	53	54	(6)	1	(11.1)	1.9
Valley township	139	123	124	(15)	1	(12.1)	0.8
West Saline township	91	81	81	(10)	--	(12.3)	--
Sherman County							
Goodland city	4,948	4,349	4,388	(560)	39	(12.8)	0.9
Kanorado city	248	220	222	(26)	2	(11.7)	0.9
Bal. of Sherman County	1,564	1,390	1,403	(161)	13	(11.5)	0.9
Grant township	115	102	102	(13)	--	(12.7)	--
Iowa township	44	39	40	(4)	1	(10.0)	2.5
Itasca township	321	286	289	(32)	3	(11.1)	1.0
Lincoln township	95	84	85	(10)	1	(11.8)	1.2
Llanos township	43	38	39	(4)	1	(10.3)	2.6
Logan township	246	219	221	(25)	2	(11.3)	0.9
McPherson township	52	46	47	(5)	1	(10.6)	2.1
Shermanville township	51	45	45	(6)	--	(13.3)	--
Smoky township	87	78	78	(9)	--	(11.5)	--
Bal. of Stateline township	96	85	86	(10)	1	(11.6)	1.2
Union township	56	50	50	(6)	--	(12.0)	--
Voltaire township	252	224	226	(26)	2	(11.5)	0.9
Washington township	106	94	95	(11)	1	(11.6)	1.1
Smith County							
Athol city	51	45	44	(7)	(1)	(15.9)	(2.3)
Cedar city	26	23	22	(4)	(1)	(18.2)	(4.5)
Gaylord city	145	122	120	(25)	(2)	(20.8)	(1.7)
Kensington city	529	470	464	(65)	(6)	(14.0)	(1.3)
Lebanon city	303	265	262	(41)	(3)	(15.6)	(1.1)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Smith County (cont'd)							
Smith Center city	1,931	1,663	1,644	(287)	(19)	(17.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Smith County	1,551	1,363	1,345	(206)	(18)	(15.3)	(1.3)
Banner township	54	48	47	(7)	(1)	(14.9)	(2.1)
Beaver township	60	53	52	(8)	(1)	(15.4)	(1.9)
Blaine township	60	53	52	(8)	(1)	(15.4)	(1.9)
Bal. of Cedar township	90	79	78	(12)	(1)	(15.4)	(1.3)
Bal. of Center township	163	143	143	(20)	--	(14.0)	--
Cora township	38	33	33	(5)	--	(15.2)	--
Crystal Plains township	40	35	35	(5)	--	(14.3)	--
Dor township	46	40	40	(6)	--	(15.0)	--
Garfield township	33	29	28	(5)	(1)	(17.9)	(3.6)
German township	34	30	29	(5)	(1)	(17.2)	(3.4)
Harlan township	100	88	86	(14)	(2)	(16.3)	(2.3)
Bal. of Harvey township	104	92	90	(14)	(2)	(15.6)	(2.2)
Bal. of Houston township	61	53	52	(9)	(1)	(17.3)	(1.9)
Bal. of Lane township	83	73	72	(11)	(1)	(15.3)	(1.4)
Lincoln township	73	64	63	(10)	(1)	(15.9)	(1.6)
Logan township	47	41	40	(7)	(1)	(17.5)	(2.5)
Martin township	24	21	21	(3)	--	(14.3)	--
Bal. of Oak township	96	84	83	(13)	(1)	(15.7)	(1.2)
Pawnee township	35	31	30	(5)	(1)	(16.7)	(3.3)
Pleasant township	34	30	30	(4)	--	(13.3)	--
Swan township	42	37	37	(5)	--	(13.5)	--
Valley township	75	66	65	(10)	(1)	(15.4)	(1.5)
Washington township	63	56	55	(8)	(1)	(14.5)	(1.8)
Webster township	47	41	41	(6)	--	(14.6)	--
White Rock township	49	43	43	(6)	--	(14.0)	--
Stafford County							
Hudson city	4,789	4,387	4,326	(463)	(61)	(10.7)	(1.4)
Macksville city	133	125	123	(10)	(2)	(8.1)	(1.6)
Radium city	514	483	476	(38)	(7)	(8.0)	(1.5)
St. John city	40	37	37	(3)	--	(8.1)	--
St. John city	1,318	1,188	1,173	(145)	(15)	(12.4)	(1.3)
Seward city	63	59	58	(5)	(1)	(8.6)	(1.7)
Stafford city	1,161	1,043	1,028	(133)	(15)	(12.9)	(1.5)
Bal. of Stafford County	1,560	1,452	1,431	(129)	(21)	(9.0)	(1.5)
Albano township	56	52	51	(5)	(1)	(9.8)	(2.0)
Byron township	80	75	74	(6)	(1)	(8.1)	(1.4)
Clear Creek township	36	33	33	(3)	--	(9.1)	--
Cleveland township	68	64	63	(5)	(1)	(7.9)	(1.6)
Bal. of Douglas township	108	100	99	(9)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.0)
East Cooper township	90	84	83	(7)	(1)	(8.4)	(1.2)
Fairview township	98	91	90	(8)	(1)	(8.9)	(1.1)
Bal. of Farmington township	77	72	71	(6)	(1)	(8.5)	(1.4)
Bal. of Hayes township	79	73	72	(7)	(1)	(9.7)	(1.4)
Bal. of Lincoln township	103	96	94	(9)	(2)	(9.6)	(2.1)
Bal. of North Seward township	123	115	114	(9)	(1)	(7.9)	(0.9)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Stafford County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Ohio township	71	67	66	(5)	(1)	(7.6)	(1.5)
Putnam township	19	18	17	(2)	(1)	(11.8)	(5.9)
Richland township	70	65	64	(6)	(1)	(9.4)	(1.6)
Rose Valley township	74	68	67	(7)	(1)	(10.4)	(1.5)
Bal. of St. John township	57	53	52	(5)	(1)	(9.6)	(1.9)
South Seward township	55	51	50	(5)	(1)	(10.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Stafford township	130	121	119	(11)	(2)	(9.2)	(1.7)
Union township	41	38	37	(4)	(1)	(10.8)	(2.7)
West Cooper township	64	59	59	(5)	--	(8.5)	--
York township	61	57	56	(5)	(1)	(8.9)	(1.8)
Stanton County							
Johnson City city	2,406	2,162	2,148	(258)	(14)	(12.0)	(0.7)
Manter city	1,528	1,364	1,354	(174)	(10)	(12.9)	(0.7)
Bal. of Stanton County	178	162	161	(17)	(1)	(10.6)	(0.6)
	700	636	633	(67)	(3)	(10.6)	(0.5)
Stevens County							
Hugoton city	5,463	5,061	5,056	(407)	(5)	(8.0)	(0.1)
Moscow city	3,708	3,412	3,411	(297)	(1)	(8.7)	--
Bal. of Stevens County	247	233	232	(15)	(1)	(6.5)	(0.4)
	1,508	1,416	1,413	(95)	(3)	(6.7)	(0.2)
Sumner County							
Argonia city	25,946	23,888	23,616	(2,330)	(272)	(9.9)	(1.2)
Belle Plaine city	534	479	472	(62)	(7)	(13.1)	(1.5)
Caldwell city	1,708	1,550	1,528	(180)	(22)	(11.8)	(1.4)
Conway Springs city	1,284	1,161	1,144	(140)	(17)	(12.2)	(1.5)
Geuda Springs city (pt.)	1,322	1,206	1,193	(129)	(13)	(10.8)	(1.1)
Hunnewell city	199	184	181	(18)	(3)	(9.9)	(1.7)
Mayfield city	83	77	75	(8)	(2)	(10.7)	(2.7)
Milan city	113	105	103	(10)	(2)	(9.7)	(1.9)
Mulvane city (pt.)	137	126	124	(13)	(2)	(10.5)	(1.6)
Oxford city	1,001	1,062	1,050	49	(12)	4.7	(1.1)
South Haven city	1,173	1,079	1,068	(105)	(11)	(9.8)	(1.0)
Wellington city	390	353	350	(40)	(3)	(11.4)	(0.9)
Bal. of Sumner County	8,647	7,812	7,735	(912)	(77)	(11.8)	(1.0)
Avon township	9,355	8,694	8,593	(762)	(101)	(8.9)	(1.2)
Bal. of Belle Plaine township	319	298	294	(25)	(4)	(8.5)	(1.4)
Bluff township	1,796	1,647	1,623	(173)	(24)	(10.7)	(1.5)
Caldwell township	74	73	73	(1)	--	(1.4)	--
Chikaskia township	200	189	188	(12)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.5)
Bal. of Conway township	69	69	69	--	--	--	--
Creek township	369	344	340	(29)	(4)	(8.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Dixon township	241	226	224	(17)	(2)	(7.6)	(0.9)
Downs township	204	191	189	(15)	(2)	(7.9)	(1.1)
Bal. of Eden township	159	149	148	(11)	(1)	(7.4)	(0.7)
Falls township	452	420	415	(37)	(5)	(8.9)	(1.2)
Bal. of Gore township	187	175	173	(14)	(2)	(8.1)	(1.2)
	1,219	1,112	1,096	(123)	(16)	(11.2)	(1.5)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Sumner County (cont'd)							
Greene township	80	81	80	--	(1)	--	(1.3)
Guelph township	164	158	157	(7)	(1)	(4.5)	(0.6)
Harmon township	277	258	256	(21)	(2)	(8.2)	(0.8)
Illinois township	178	169	167	(11)	(2)	(6.6)	(1.2)
Jackson township	153	145	144	(9)	(1)	(6.3)	(0.7)
London township	774	711	702	(72)	(9)	(10.3)	(1.3)
Morris township	35	37	37	2	--	5.4	--
Bal. of Osborne township	160	147	146	(14)	(1)	(9.6)	(0.7)
Bal. of Oxford township	230	216	213	(17)	(3)	(8.0)	(1.4)
Palestine township	249	231	228	(21)	(3)	(9.2)	(1.3)
Bal. of Ryan township	102	99	98	(4)	(1)	(4.1)	(1.0)
Seventy--Six township	238	216	214	(24)	(2)	(11.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of South Haven township	197	190	189	(8)	(1)	(4.2)	(0.5)
Bal. of Springdale township	356	333	329	(27)	(4)	(8.2)	(1.2)
Sumner township	150	143	142	(8)	(1)	(5.6)	(0.7)
Valverde township	147	139	138	(9)	(1)	(6.5)	(0.7)
Bal. of Walton township	232	220	217	(15)	(3)	(6.9)	(1.4)
Wellington township	344	308	304	(40)	(4)	(13.2)	(1.3)
Thomas County							
Brewster city	285	250	248	(37)	(2)	(14.9)	(0.8)
Colby city	5,450	4,826	4,803	(647)	(23)	(13.5)	(0.5)
Gem city	96	88	87	(9)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.1)
Menlo city	57	52	52	(5)	--	(9.6)	--
Oakley city (pt.)	55	49	49	(6)	--	(12.2)	--
Rexford city	157	143	142	(15)	(1)	(10.6)	(0.7)
Bal. of Thomas County	2,080	1,906	1,896	(184)	(10)	(9.7)	(0.5)
Barrett township	124	113	113	(11)	--	(9.7)	--
East Hale township	137	125	124	(13)	(1)	(10.5)	(0.8)
Kingery township	93	85	84	(9)	(1)	(10.7)	(1.2)
Bal. of Lacey township	36	33	33	(3)	--	(9.1)	--
Bal. of Menlo township	55	50	50	(5)	--	(10.0)	--
Morgan township	755	697	691	(64)	(6)	(9.3)	(0.9)
North Randall township	107	97	97	(10)	--	(10.3)	--
Rovohl township	143	130	130	(13)	--	(10.0)	--
Bal. of Smith township	56	51	51	(5)	--	(9.8)	--
Bal. of South Randall township	212	194	193	(19)	(1)	(9.8)	(0.5)
Summers township	197	180	179	(18)	(1)	(10.1)	(0.6)
Wendell township	84	77	77	(7)	--	(9.1)	--
Bal. of West Hale township	81	74	74	(7)	--	(9.5)	--
Trego County							
Collyer city	3,319	2,927	2,882	(437)	(45)	(15.2)	(1.6)
WaKeeney city	1,924	1,700	1,716	(208)	16	(12.1)	0.9
Bal. of Trego County	1,262	1,107	1,048	(214)	(59)	(20.4)	(5.6)
Bal. of Collyer township	235	204	201	(34)	(3)	(16.9)	(1.5)
Franklin township	60	52	51	(9)	(1)	(17.6)	(2.0)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2007</u> <u>7/1/2007</u>	<u>Pop. 2008</u> <u>7/1/2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2007-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2008</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2007-2008</u>
Trego County (cont'd)							
Glencoe township	70	60	59	(11)	(1)	(18.6)	(1.7)
Ogallah township	214	185	182	(32)	(3)	(17.6)	(1.6)
Riverside township	117	103	101	(16)	(2)	(15.8)	(2.0)
Bal. of WaKeeney township	474	422	375	(99)	(47)	(26.4)	(12.5)
Wilcox township	92	81	79	(13)	(2)	(16.5)	(2.5)
Wabaunsee County							
Alma city	797	752	755	(42)	3	(5.6)	0.4
Alta Vista city	442	419	420	(22)	1	(5.2)	0.2
Eskridge city	589	563	564	(25)	1	(4.4)	0.2
Harveyville city	267	250	253	(14)	3	(5.5)	1.2
McFarland city	271	270	270	(1)	--	(0.4)	--
Maple Hill city	469	498	509	40	11	7.9	2.2
Paxico city	211	208	209	(2)	1	(1.0)	0.5
St. Marys city (pt.)	--	1	1	1	--	100.0	--
Willard city (pt.)	--	1	1	1	--	100.0	--
Bal. of Wabaunsee County	3,839	3,908	3,940	101	32	2.6	0.8
Bal. of Alma township	340	341	343	3	2	0.9	0.6
Farmer township	119	132	134	15	2	11.2	1.5
Bal. of Garfield township	148	152	154	6	2	3.9	1.3
Bal. of Kaw township	242	244	246	4	2	1.6	0.8
Bal. of Maple Hill township	461	467	471	10	4	2.1	0.8
Mill Creek township	293	293	295	2	2	0.7	0.7
Mission Creek township	495	501	505	10	4	2.0	0.8
Bal. of Newbury township	563	569	573	10	4	1.7	0.7
Bal. of Plumb township	373	376	378	5	2	1.3	0.5
Rock Creek township	84	95	97	13	2	13.4	2.1
Wabaunsee township	455	458	461	6	3	1.3	0.7
Washington township	83	90	91	8	1	8.8	1.1
Bal. of Wilmington township	183	190	192	9	2	4.7	1.0
Wallace County							
Sharon Springs city	1,749	1,456	1,404	(345)	(52)	(24.6)	(3.7)
Wallace city	67	57	55	(12)	(2)	(21.8)	(3.6)
Bal. of Wallace County	847	717	692	(155)	(25)	(22.4)	(3.6)
Harrison township	85	72	69	(16)	(3)	(23.2)	(4.3)
Bal. of Sharon Springs township	261	221	214	(47)	(7)	(22.0)	(3.3)
Bal. of Wallace township	108	92	88	(20)	(4)	(22.7)	(4.5)
Weskan township	393	332	321	(72)	(11)	(22.4)	(3.4)
Washington County							
Barnes city	6,483	5,840	5,791	(692)	(49)	(11.9)	(0.8)
Clifton city (pt.)	152	138	136	(16)	(2)	(11.8)	(1.5)
Greenleaf city	323	280	279	(44)	(1)	(15.8)	(0.4)
Haddam city	357	319	316	(41)	(3)	(13.0)	(0.9)
Hanover city	169	153	151	(18)	(2)	(11.9)	(1.3)
Hollenberg city	653	576	573	(80)	(3)	(14.0)	(0.5)
	31	28	28	(3)	--	(10.7)	--

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Washington County (cont'd)							
Linn city	425	379	375	(50)	(4)	(13.3)	(1.1)
Mahaska city	107	97	96	(11)	(1)	(11.5)	(1.0)
Morrowville city	168	152	151	(17)	(1)	(11.3)	(0.7)
Palmer city	108	98	97	(11)	(1)	(11.3)	(1.0)
Vining city (pt.)	21	19	19	(2)	--	(10.5)	--
Washington city	1,223	1,114	1,105	(118)	(9)	(10.7)	(0.8)
Bal. of Washington County	2,746	2,487	2,465	(281)	(22)	(11.4)	(0.9)
Bal. of Barnes township	81	74	73	(8)	(1)	(11.0)	(1.4)
Brantford township	91	82	81	(10)	(1)	(12.3)	(1.2)
Charleston township	99	90	89	(10)	(1)	(11.2)	(1.1)
Bal. of Clifton township	115	104	103	(12)	(1)	(11.7)	(1.0)
Coleman township	64	58	57	(7)	(1)	(12.3)	(1.8)
Farmington township	192	174	172	(20)	(2)	(11.6)	(1.2)
Bal. of Franklin township	108	98	97	(11)	(1)	(11.3)	(1.0)
Grant township	25	23	23	(2)	--	(8.7)	--
Bal. of Greenleaf township	93	85	84	(9)	(1)	(10.7)	(1.2)
Bal. of Haddam township	77	70	69	(8)	(1)	(11.6)	(1.4)
Bal. of Hanover township	231	205	204	(27)	(1)	(13.2)	(0.5)
Highland township	22	20	20	(2)	--	(10.0)	--
Independence township	169	153	152	(17)	(1)	(11.2)	(0.7)
Kimeo township	75	68	67	(8)	(1)	(11.9)	(1.5)
Lincoln township	84	76	76	(8)	--	(10.5)	--
Bal. of Linn township	174	157	156	(18)	(1)	(11.5)	(0.6)
Little Blue township	93	84	83	(10)	(1)	(12.0)	(1.2)
Logan township	109	99	98	(11)	(1)	(11.2)	(1.0)
Lowe township	76	69	68	(8)	(1)	(11.8)	(1.5)
Bal. of Mill Creek township	106	96	95	(11)	(1)	(11.6)	(1.1)
Sheridan township	119	108	107	(12)	(1)	(11.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of Sherman township	141	128	127	(14)	(1)	(11.0)	(0.8)
Strawberry township	130	117	116	(14)	(1)	(12.1)	(0.9)
Bal. of Union township	54	51	51	(3)	--	(5.9)	--
Washington township	218	198	197	(21)	(1)	(10.7)	(0.5)
Wichita County							
Leoti city	2,531	2,200	2,148	(383)	(52)	(17.8)	(2.4)
Bal. of Wichita County	1,598	1,372	1,339	(259)	(33)	(19.3)	(2.5)
Bal. of Wichita County	933	828	809	(124)	(19)	(15.3)	(2.3)
Wilson County							
Altoona city	10,332	9,807	9,698	(634)	(109)	(6.5)	(1.1)
Benedict city	485	469	463	(22)	(6)	(4.8)	(1.3)
Buffalo city	103	99	98	(5)	(1)	(5.1)	(1.0)
Coyville city	284	276	272	(12)	(4)	(4.4)	(1.5)
Fredonia city	71	68	68	(3)	--	(4.4)	--
Neodesha city	2,600	2,424	2,393	(207)	(31)	(8.7)	(1.3)
New Albany city	2,848	2,650	2,633	(215)	(17)	(8.2)	(0.6)
Bal. of Wilson County	73	71	70	(3)	(1)	(4.3)	(1.4)
Bal. of Cedar township	3,868	3,750	3,701	(167)	(49)	(4.5)	(1.3)
Center township	216	210	207	(9)	(3)	(4.3)	(1.4)
Center township	618	600	593	(25)	(7)	(4.2)	(1.2)

Appendix F (cont'd)

Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2009

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2007 7/1/2007	Pop. 2008 7/1/2008	# Growth 2000-2008	# Growth 2007-2008	% Chg 2000-2008	% Chg 2007-2008
Wilson County (cont'd)							
Chetopa township	188	182	180	(8)	(2)	(4.4)	(1.1)
Bal. of Clifton township	130	124	123	(7)	(1)	(5.7)	(0.8)
Colfax township	452	438	433	(19)	(5)	(4.4)	(1.2)
Duck Creek township	103	100	99	(4)	(1)	(4.0)	(1.0)
Bal. of Fall River township	325	315	312	(13)	(3)	(4.2)	(1.0)
Bal. of Guilford township	102	99	97	(5)	(2)	(5.2)	(2.1)
Neodesha township	583	567	555	(28)	(12)	(5.0)	(2.2)
Newark township	226	218	216	(10)	(2)	(4.6)	(0.9)
Pleasant Valley township	201	195	193	(8)	(2)	(4.1)	(1.0)
Prairie township	118	114	113	(5)	(1)	(4.4)	(0.9)
Talleyrand township	232	224	221	(11)	(3)	(5.0)	(1.4)
Bal. of Verdigris township	323	315	310	(13)	(5)	(4.2)	(1.6)
Webster township	51	49	49	(2)	--	(4.1)	--
Woodson County							
Neosho Falls city	179	160	159	(20)	(1)	(12.6)	(0.6)
Toronto city	312	269	265	(47)	(4)	(17.7)	(1.5)
Yates Center city	1,599	1,390	1,376	(223)	(14)	(16.2)	(1.0)
Bal. of Woodson County	1,698	1,499	1,485	(213)	(14)	(14.3)	(0.9)
Center township	594	521	516	(78)	(5)	(15.1)	(1.0)
Liberty township	200	177	175	(25)	(2)	(14.3)	(1.1)
Bal. of Neosho Falls township	358	313	309	(49)	(4)	(15.9)	(1.3)
North township	71	64	64	(7)	--	(10.9)	--
Perry township	103	91	90	(13)	(1)	(14.4)	(1.1)
Bal. of Toronto township	372	333	331	(41)	(2)	(12.4)	(0.6)
Wyandotte County							
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	6,767	7,055	7,145	378	90	5.3	1.3
Edwardsville city	4,146	4,463	4,462	316	(1)	7.1	--
Kansas City city	146,866	142,320	142,562	(4,304)	242	(3.0)	0.2
Lake Quivira city (pt.)	49	51	51	2	--	3.9	--
Bal. of Wyandotte County	54	67	67	13	--	19.4	--

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Appendix G

Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2004-2008

Area:	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	(As of 7/1/07)	(As of 7/1/08)	2005	2006	2007	2008
U.S.	293,655,404	296,410,404	299,398,484	301,621,157	304,059,724	0.9 %	1.0 %	0.7 %	0.8 %
Regions:									
Northeast	54,571,147	54,641,895	54,741,353	54,680,626	54,924,779	0.1	0.1	(0.1)	0.4
New England	14,238,888	14,239,724	14,269,989	14,264,185	14,303,542	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3
(Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont)									
Middle Atlantic	40,332,259	40,402,171	40,471,364	40,416,441	40,621,237	0.2	0.2	(0.1)	0.5
(New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania)									
Midwest	65,729,852	65,971,974	66,217,736	66,388,795	66,561,448	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
East North Cent.	46,031,860	46,156,447	46,275,645	46,338,216	46,395,654	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1
(Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin)									
West North Cent.	19,697,992	19,815,527	19,942,091	20,050,579	20,165,794	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6
(Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota)									
South	105,944,965	107,505,413	109,083,752	110,454,786	111,718,549	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.1
South Atlantic	55,182,959	56,179,519	57,143,670	57,860,260	58,398,377	1.8	1.7	1.3	0.9
(Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia)									
East South Cent.	17,480,032	17,615,260	17,754,447	17,944,829	18,084,651	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.8
(Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee)									
West South Cent.	33,281,974	33,710,634	34,185,635	34,649,697	35,235,521	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.7
(Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas)									
West	67,409,440	68,291,122	69,355,643	70,096,950	70,854,948	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.1
Mountain	19,798,992	20,291,305	20,845,987	21,360,990	21,784,507	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.0
(Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Wyoming)									
Pacific	47,610,448	47,999,817	48,509,656	48,735,960	49,070,441	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.7
(Alaska, California, Hawaii, Oregon, Washington)									
States:									
Alabama	4,530,182	4,557,808	4,599,030	4,627,851	4,661,900	0.6	1.1	0.6	0.7
Alaska	655,435	663,661	670,053	683,478	686,293	1.3	1.0	2.0	0.4
Arizona	5,743,834	5,939,292	6,166,318	6,338,755	6,500,180	3.4	3.6	2.8	2.5
Arkansas	2,752,629	2,779,154	2,810,872	2,834,797	2,855,390	1.0	1.3	0.9	0.7
California	35,893,799	36,132,147	36,457,549	36,553,215	36,756,666	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.6
Colorado	4,601,403	4,665,177	4,753,377	4,861,515	4,939,456	1.4	1.9	2.3	1.6
Connecticut	3,503,604	3,510,297	3,504,809	3,502,309	3,501,252	0.2	0.1	(0.1)	(0.0)
Delaware	830,364	843,524	853,476	864,764	873,092	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.0
Dist. of Columbia	553,523	550,521	581,530	588,292	591,833	(0.5)	(0.1)	1.2	0.6
Florida	17,397,161	17,789,864	18,089,888	18,251,243	18,328,340	2.3	1.8	0.9	0.4
Georgia	8,829,383	9,072,576	9,363,941	9,544,750	9,685,744	2.8	2.5	1.9	1.5
Hawaii	1,262,840	1,275,194	1,285,498	1,283,388	1,288,198	1.0	1.0	(0.2)	0.4
Idaho	1,393,262	1,429,096	1,466,465	1,499,402	1,523,816	2.6	2.6	2.2	1.6
Illinois	12,713,634	12,763,371	12,831,970	12,852,548	12,901,563	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.4
Indiana	6,237,569	6,271,973	6,313,520	6,345,289	6,376,792	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.5

Appendix G (cont'd)

Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2004-2008

Area:	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Percent Change				
	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	(As of 7/1/07)	(As of 7/1/08)	2005	2006	2007	2008	
States (continued):										
Iowa	2,954,451	2,966,334	2,982,085	2,988,046	3,002,555	0.4 %	0.6 %	0.2 %	0.5 %	
Kansas	2,735,502	2,744,687	2,764,075	2,775,997	2,802,134	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.9	
Kentucky	4,145,922	4,173,405	4,206,074	4,241,474	4,269,245	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	
Louisiana	4,515,770	4,523,628	4,287,768	4,293,204	4,410,796	0.2	(4.9)	0.1	2.7	
Maine	1,317,253	1,321,505	1,321,574	1,317,207	1,316,456	0.3	0.3	(0.3)	(0.1)	
Maryland	5,558,058	5,600,388	5,615,727	5,618,344	5,633,597	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.3	
Massachusetts	6,416,505	6,398,743	6,437,193	6,449,755	6,497,967	(0.3)	0.1	0.2	0.7	
Michigan	10,112,620	10,120,860	10,095,643	10,071,822	10,003,422	0.1	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.7)	
Minnesota	5,100,958	5,132,799	5,167,101	5,197,621	5,220,393	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.4	
Mississippi	2,902,966	2,921,088	2,910,540	2,918,785	2,938,618	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.7	
Missouri	5,754,618	5,800,310	5,842,713	5,878,415	5,911,605	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	
Montana	926,865	935,670	944,632	957,861	967,440	0.9	1.0	1.4	1.0	
Nebraska	1,747,214	1,758,787	1,768,331	1,774,571	1,783,432	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.5	
Nevada	2,334,771	2,414,807	2,495,529	2,565,382	2,600,167	3.4	3.5	2.8	1.4	
New Hampshire	1,299,500	1,309,940	1,314,895	1,315,828	1,315,809	0.8	0.6	0.1	(0.0)	
New Jersey	8,698,879	8,717,925	8,724,560	8,685,920	8,682,661	0.2	0.2	(0.4)	(0.0)	
New Mexico	1,903,289	1,928,384	1,954,599	1,969,915	1,984,356	1.3	1.5	0.8	0.7	
New York	19,227,088	19,254,630	19,306,183	19,297,729	19,490,297	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	
North Carolina	8,541,221	8,683,242	8,856,505	9,061,032	9,222,414	1.7	2.1	2.3	1.8	
North Dakota	634,366	636,677	635,867	639,715	641,481	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.3	
Ohio	11,459,011	11,464,042	11,478,006	11,466,917	11,485,910	0.0	0.1	(0.1)	0.2	
Oklahoma	3,523,553	3,547,884	3,579,212	3,617,316	3,642,361	0.7	1.0	1.1	0.7	
Oregon	3,594,586	3,641,056	3,700,758	3,747,455	3,790,060	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.1	
Pennsylvania	12,406,292	12,429,616	12,440,621	12,432,792	12,448,279	0.2	0.3	(0.1)	0.1	
Rhode Island	1,080,632	1,076,189	1,067,610	1,057,832	1,050,788	(0.4)	(0.6)	(0.9)	(0.7)	
South Carolina	4,198,068	4,255,083	4,321,249	4,407,709	4,479,800	1.4	1.7	2.0	1.6	
South Dakota	770,883	775,933	781,919	796,214	804,194	0.7	0.9	1.8	1.0	
Tennessee	5,900,962	5,962,959	6,038,803	6,156,719	6,214,888	1.1	1.4	2.0	0.9	
Texas	22,490,022	22,859,968	23,507,783	23,904,380	24,326,974	1.6	2.5	1.7	1.8	
Utah	2,389,039	2,469,585	2,550,063	2,645,330	2,736,424	3.4	2.4	3.7	3.4	
Vermont	621,394	623,050	623,908	621,254	621,270	0.3	0.2	(0.4)	0.0	
Virginia	7,459,827	7,567,465	7,642,884	7,712,091	7,769,089	1.4	1.0	0.9	0.7	
Washington	6,203,788	6,287,759	6,395,798	6,468,424	6,549,224	1.4	1.7	1.1	1.2	
West Virginia	1,815,354	1,816,856	1,818,470	1,812,035	1,814,468	0.1	0.2	(0.4)	0.1	
Wisconsin	5,509,026	5,536,201	5,556,506	5,601,640	5,627,967	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	
Wyoming	506,529	509,294	515,004	522,830	532,668	0.5	1.2	1.5	1.9	
Kansas Counties:										
Allen	13,949	13,787	13,677	13,414	13,319	(1.2)	(0.8)	(2.0)	(0.7)	
Anderson	8,191	8,182	8,051	7,908	7,984	(0.1)	(1.6)	(1.8)	1.0	
Atchison	16,848	16,804	16,745	16,571	16,481	(0.3)	(0.4)	(1.1)	(0.5)	
Barber	4,999	4,958	4,974	4,786	4,674	(0.8)	0.3	(3.9)	(2.4)	
Barton	27,957	28,105	27,511	27,768	27,703	0.5	(2.2)	0.9	(0.2)	

Appendix G (cont'd)

Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2004-2008

Area:	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	(As of 7/1/07)	(As of 7/1/08)	2005	2006	2007	2008
Kansas Counties (continued):									
Bourbon	15,066	14,997	14,950	14,803	14,851	(0.5) %	(0.3) %	(1.0) %	0.3 %
Brown	10,362	10,239	10,236	10,068	10,009	(1.2)	0.0	(1.7)	(0.6)
Butler	61,828	62,354	63,147	63,045	63,562	0.9	1.3	(0.2)	0.8
Chase	3,068	3,081	3,070	2,882	2,804	0.4	(0.4)	(6.5)	(2.8)
Chautauqua	4,178	4,109	3,953	3,806	3,768	(1.7)	3.9	(3.9)	(1.0)
Cherokee	21,950	21,555	21,451	21,337	21,082	(1.8)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(1.2)
Cheyenne	2,979	2,946	2,911	2,801	2,742	(1.1)	(1.2)	(3.9)	(2.2)
Clark	2,343	2,283	2,206	2,094	2,108	(2.6)	(3.5)	(5.3)	0.7
Clay	8,597	8,629	8,625	8,685	8,859	0.4	0.0	0.7	2.0
Cloud	9,779	9,759	9,594	9,382	9,453	(0.2)	(1.7)	(2.3)	0.8
Coffey	8,759	8,683	8,701	8,454	8,409	(0.9)	0.2	(2.9)	(0.5)
Comanche	1,903	1,935	1,884	1,888	1,950	1.7	(2.7)	0.2	3.2
Cowley	35,772	35,298	34,931	34,251	34,065	(1.3)	(1.1)	(2.0)	(0.5)
Crawford	38,060	38,222	38,059	38,860	38,868	0.4	(0.4)	2.1	0.0
Decatur	3,274	3,191	3,120	2,955	2,912	(2.5)	(2.3)	(5.6)	(1.5)
Dickinson	19,132	19,209	19,322	18,957	19,328	0.4	0.6	(1.9)	1.9
Doniphan	8,062	7,816	7,865	7,756	7,753	(3.1)	0.6	(1.4)	(0.0)
Douglas	102,786	102,914	112,123	113,488	114,748	0.1	8.2	1.2	1.1
Edwards	3,308	3,292	3,138	3,106	3,082	(0.5)	(4.9)	(1.0)	(0.8)
Elk	3,117	3,075	3,077	3,040	3,047	(1.3)	0.1	(1.2)	0.2
Ellis	27,060	26,767	26,926	27,464	27,801	(1.1)	0.6	2.0	1.2
Ellsworth	6,350	6,343	6,332	6,310	6,250	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(1.0)
Finney	39,271	38,988	39,097	38,295	40,998	(0.7)	0.3	(2.1)	6.6
Ford	33,278	33,751	33,783	33,340	33,293	1.4	0.1	(1.3)	(0.1)
Franklin	26,049	26,247	26,513	26,479	26,562	0.8	1.0	(0.1)	0.3
Geary	25,111	24,585	24,174	25,150	31,171	(2.1)	(1.7)	3.9	19.3
Gove	2,845	2,763	2,721	2,637	2,548	(2.9)	(1.5)	(3.2)	(3.5)
Graham	2,745	2,721	2,677	2,607	2,592	(0.9)	(1.6)	(2.7)	(0.6)
Grant	7,685	7,530	7,552	7,497	7,395	(2.0)	0.3	(0.7)	(1.4)
Gray	5,980	5,861	5,852	5,641	5,688	(2.0)	(0.2)	(3.7)	0.8
Greeley	1,415	1,349	1,331	1,297	1,266	(4.7)	(1.4)	(2.6)	(2.4)
Greenwood	7,538	7,338	7,067	6,993	6,861	(2.7)	(3.8)	(1.1)	(1.9)
Hamilton	2,654	2,604	2,594	2,632	2,631	(1.9)	(0.4)	1.4	(0.0)
Harper	6,238	6,081	5,952	5,819	5,857	(2.5)	(2.2)	(2.3)	0.6
Harvey	33,769	33,843	33,643	33,493	33,675	0.2	(0.6)	(0.4)	0.5
Haskell	4,272	4,232	4,171	4,032	3,919	(0.9)	(1.5)	(3.4)	(2.9)
Hodgeman	2,089	2,110	2,071	1,971	1,948	1.0	(1.9)	(5.1)	(1.2)
Jackson	13,169	13,535	13,500	13,420	13,240	2.8	(0.3)	(0.6)	(1.4)
Jefferson	18,906	19,106	18,848	18,467	18,421	1.1	(1.4)	(2.1)	(0.2)
Jewell	3,422	3,352	3,324	3,198	3,142	(2.0)	(0.8)	(3.9)	(1.8)

Appendix G (cont'd)

Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2004-2008

Area:	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	(As of 7/1/07)	(As of 7/1/08)	2005	2006	2007	2008
Kansas Counties (continued):									
Johnson	496,691	506,562	516,731	526,319	534,093	2.0 %	2.0 %	1.8 %	1.5 %
Kearny	4,515	4,516	4,469	4,148	4,159	0.0	(1.1)	(7.7)	0.3
Kingman	8,390	8,165	7,975	7,826	7,719	(2.7)	(2.4)	(1.9)	(1.4)
Kiowa	3,084	2,984	2,969	2,953	2,541	(3.2)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(16.2)
Labette	22,269	22,169	22,203	21,973	21,871	(0.4)	0.2	(1.0)	(0.5)
Lane	1,950	1,894	1,797	1,746	1,743	(2.9)	(5.4)	(2.9)	(0.2)
Leavenworth	72,439	73,113	73,628	73,603	74,276	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.9
Lincoln	3,416	3,411	3,396	3,285	3,261	(0.1)	(0.4)	(3.4)	(0.7)
Linn	9,775	9,914	9,962	9,767	9,616	1.4	0.5	(2.0)	(1.6)
Logan	2,827	2,794	2,675	2,628	2,593	(1.2)	(4.4)	(1.8)	(1.3)
Lyon	35,717	35,609	35,369	35,981	35,562	(0.3)	(0.7)	1.7	(1.2)
McPherson	29,413	29,523	29,380	29,196	29,044	0.4	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.5)
Marion	13,010	12,952	12,760	12,238	12,100	(0.4)	(1.5)	(4.3)	(1.1)
Marshall	10,402	10,405	10,349	10,186	10,178	0.0	(0.5)	(1.6)	(0.1)
Meade	4,592	4,625	4,561	4,403	4,359	0.7	(1.4)	(3.6)	(1.0)
Miami	29,712	30,496	30,900	31,078	30,989	2.6	1.3	0.6	(0.3)
Mitchell	6,564	6,420	6,299	6,307	6,292	(2.2)	(1.9)	0.1	(0.2)
Montgomery	34,975	34,570	34,692	34,511	34,395	(1.2)	0.4	(0.5)	(0.3)
Morris	5,977	6,049	6,046	5,967	6,037	1.2	0.0	(1.3)	1.2
Morton	3,269	3,196	3,138	3,038	2,978	(2.2)	(1.8)	(3.3)	(2.0)
Nemaha	10,458	10,443	10,374	10,201	10,112	(0.1)	(0.7)	(1.7)	(0.9)
Neosho	16,555	16,529	16,298	16,228	16,223	(0.2)	(1.4)	(0.4)	(0.0)
Ness	3,080	3,009	2,946	2,991	2,945	(2.3)	(2.1)	1.5	(1.6)
Norton	5,799	5,664	5,584	5,422	5,370	(2.3)	(1.4)	(3.0)	(1.0)
Osage	17,091	17,150	16,958	16,459	16,327	0.3	(1.1)	(3.0)	(0.8)
Osborne	4,100	4,050	3,978	3,871	3,804	(1.2)	(1.8)	(2.8)	(1.8)
Ottawa	6,175	6,123	6,168	6,006	6,026	(0.8)	0.7	(2.7)	0.3
Pawnee	6,795	6,739	6,515	6,415	6,291	(0.8)	(3.4)	(1.6)	(2.0)
Phillips	5,583	5,504	5,444	5,356	5,339	(1.4)	(1.1)	(1.6)	(0.3)
Pottawatomie	18,871	19,129	19,220	19,396	19,695	1.4	0.5	0.9	1.5
Pratt	9,417	9,496	9,436	9,426	9,411	0.8	(0.6)	(0.1)	(0.2)
Rawlins	2,765	2,672	2,643	2,558	2,503	(3.4)	(1.1)	(3.3)	(2.2)
Reno	63,676	63,558	63,706	63,145	63,427	(0.2)	0.2	(0.9)	0.4
Republic	5,224	5,164	5,033	4,901	4,812	(1.1)	(2.6)	(2.7)	(1.8)
Rice	10,497	10,452	10,295	10,080	10,060	(0.4)	(1.5)	(2.1)	(0.2)
Riley	63,069	62,826	62,527	69,083	71,069	(0.4)	(0.5)	9.5	2.8
Rooks	5,386	5,351	5,290	5,160	5,136	(0.6)	(1.2)	(2.5)	(0.5)
Rush	3,466	3,406	3,317	3,211	3,232	(1.7)	(2.7)	(3.3)	0.6
Russell	6,978	6,845	6,740	6,737	6,641	(1.9)	(1.6)	0.0	(1.4)
Saline	53,943	53,919	54,170	54,583	54,657	(0.0)	0.5	0.8	0.1

Appendix G (cont'd)

Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2004-2008

Area:	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	(As of 7/1/07)	(As of 7/1/08)	2005	2006	2007	2008
Kansas Counties (continued):									
Scott	4,691	4,600	4,643	4,568	4,577	(1.9) %	0.9 %	(1.6) %	0.2 %
Sedgwick	463,802	466,061	470,895	476,026	482,863	0.5	1.0	1.1	1.4
Seward	23,237	23,274	23,404	23,109	23,016	0.2	0.6	(1.3)	(0.4)
Shawnee	171,716	172,365	172,693	173,476	174,709	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.7
Sheridan	2,614	2,591	2,600	2,493	2,510	(0.9)	0.3	(4.3)	0.7
Sherman	6,218	6,153	5,981	5,959	6,013	(1.0)	(2.9)	(0.4)	0.9
Smith	4,179	4,121	4,024	3,951	3,901	(1.4)	(2.4)	(1.8)	(1.3)
Stafford	4,512	4,488	4,435	4,387	4,326	(0.5)	(1.2)	(1.1)	(1.4)
Stanton	2,374	2,245	2,232	2,162	2,148	(5.4)	(0.6)	(3.2)	(0.7)
Stevens	5,520	5,412	5,287	5,061	5,056	(2.0)	(2.4)	(4.5)	(0.1)
Sumner	25,272	24,797	24,441	23,888	23,616	(1.9)	(1.5)	(2.3)	(1.2)
Thomas	7,801	7,639	7,468	7,314	7,277	(2.1)	(2.3)	(2.1)	(0.5)
Trego	3,158	3,050	2,993	2,927	2,882	(3.4)	(1.9)	(2.3)	(1.6)
Wabaunsee	6,938	6,919	6,895	6,870	6,922	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)	0.8
Wallace	1,579	1,573	1,557	1,456	1,404	(0.4)	(1.0)	(6.9)	(3.7)
Washington	6,107	6,009	5,944	5,840	5,791	(1.6)	(1.1)	(1.8)	(0.8)
Wichita	2,360	2,309	2,288	2,200	2,148	(2.2)	(0.9)	(4.0)	(2.4)
Wilson	9,946	9,834	9,889	9,807	9,698	(1.1)	0.6	(0.8)	(1.1)
Woodson	3,553	3,572	3,507	3,318	3,285	0.5	(1.9)	(5.7)	(1.0)
Wyandotte	156,487	155,750	155,509	153,956	154,287	(0.5)	(0.2)	(1.0)	0.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Appendix H

Poverty Thresholds in 2008, by Size of Family & Number of Related Children under 18 Years

Size of Family Unit	Related Children under 18 Years									
	None	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight or More	
One person										
Under 65 Years	\$11,201									
65 Years and Over	10,326									
Two People										
Two with Householder:										
Under 65 Years	14,417	\$14,840								
65 Years and Over	13,014	14,784								
Three People	16,841	17,330	\$17,346							
Four People	22,207	22,570	21,834	\$21,910						
Five People	26,781	27,170	26,338	25,694	\$25,301					
Six People	30,803	30,925	30,288	29,677	28,769	\$28,230				
Seven People	35,442	35,664	34,901	34,369	33,379	32,223	\$30,955			
Eight People	39,640	39,990	39,270	38,639	37,744	36,608	35,426	\$35,125		
Nine People or More	47,684	47,915	47,278	46,743	45,864	44,656	43,563	43,292	\$41,624	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, <http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/poverty/threshld/thresh08.html>

Appendix I

Kansas School District Populations, 2007

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2007</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Abilene	435	Dickinson	1,687	1,330	123
Altoona-Midway	387	Wilson	217	296	30
Andover	385	Butler	4,465	3,403	137
Anthony-Harper	361	Harper	884	799	122
Argonia	359	Sumner	199	213	23
Arkansas City	470	Cowley	2,933	2,671	460
Ashland	220	Clark	217	199	25
Atchison County	377	Atchison	724	874	116
Atchison	409	Atchison	1,673	2,106	335
Attica	511	Harper	133	104	14
Auburn Washburn	437	Shawnee	5,589	5,999	364
Augusta	402	Butler	2,347	2,233	220
Axtell	488	Marshall	346	325	41
B & B	451	Nemaha	203	229	28
Baldwin City	348	Douglas	1,410	1,433	151
Barber Co.	254	Barber	555	511	60
Barnes	223	Washington	443	427	52
Basehor-Linwood	458	Leavenworth	2,181	1,912	137
Baxter Springs	508	Cherokee	975	845	176
Belle Plaine	357	Sumner	769	654	71
Beloit	273	Mitchell	769	746	106
Blue Valley USD 229	229	Johnson	20,718	22,434	457
Blue Valley USD 384	384	Riley	209	323	24
Bluestem	205	Butler	651	833	88
Bonner Springs	204	Wyandotte	2,473	2,208	251
Brewster	314	Thomas	98	109	11
Bucklin	459	Ford	256	318	35
Buhler	313	Reno	2,312	2,214	156
Burlingame Public School	454	Osage	337	270	28
Burlington	244	Coffey	870	690	71
Burton	369	Harvey	253	268	33
Caldwell	360	Sumner	244	242	41
Caney Valley	436	Montgomery	840	727	102
Canton-Galva	419	McPherson	415	447	47
Cedar Vale	285	Chautauqua	147	154	35
Central Heights	288	Franklin	592	627	102
Central	462	Cowley	356	377	63
Centre	397	Marion	259	250	30
Chanute Public Schools	413	Neosho	1,894	1,696	325
Chapman	473	Dickinson	973	996	83
Chase County	284	Chase	456	495	69
Chase-Raymond	401	Rice	137	168	34
Chautauqua Co. Community	286	Chautauqua	398	364	46
Cheney	268	Sedgwick	818	781	43
Cherokee	247	Crawford	764	916	141

Appendix I (cont'd)

Kansas School District Populations, 2007

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2007</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Cherryvale	447	Montgomery	944	728	168
Chetopa	505	Labette	560	450	100
Cheylin	103	Cheyenne	145	155	25
Cimarron-Ensign	102	Gray	694	639	64
Circle	375	Butler	1,659	1,753	212
Claflin	354	Barton	257	296	26
Clay Center	379	Clay	1,411	1,318	162
Clearwater	264	Sedgwick	1,344	1,223	76
Clifton-Clyde	224	Washington	325	310	35
Coffeyville	445	Montgomery	1,909	2,189	389
Colby Public Schools	315	Thomas	1,001	1,048	96
Columbus	493	Cherokee	1,217	1,352	258
Commanche County	300	Comanche	337	296	23
Concordia	333	Cloud	1,112	969	125
Conway Springs	356	Sumner	665	592	47
Copeland	476	Gray	146	177	31
Crest	479	Anderson	239	262	37
Cunningham	332	Kingman	188	259	25
DeSoto	232	Johnson	6,023	4,052	119
Deerfield	216	Kearny	306	286	51
Derby	260	Sedgwick	6,444	7,736	719
Dexter	471	Cowley	193	147	25
Dighton	482	Lane	260	215	31
Dodge City	443	Ford	5,836	6,620	963
Douglass Public Schools	396	Butler	826	794	54
Durham-Hillsboro-Lehigh	410	Marion	659	624	62
Eastern Heights	324	Phillips	117	147	21
Easton	449	Leavenworth	678	782	50
El Dorado	490	Butler	2,181	2,087	283
Elk Valley	283	Elk	193	167	44
Elkhart	218	Morton	722	463	64
Ell-Saline	307	Saline	474	353	44
Ellinwood Public Schools	355	Barton	443	537	57
Ellis	388	Ellis	377	352	41
Ellsworth	327	Ellsworth	600	544	54
Elwood	486	Doniphan	337	233	43
Emporia	253	Lyon	4,810	4,679	944
Erie-St. Paul	101	Neosho	601	628	90
Eudora	491	Douglas	1,434	1,288	94
Eureka	389	Greenwood	647	698	115
Fairfield	310	Reno	338	485	91
Flinthills	492	Butler	284	267	23
Ft. Scott	234	Bourbon	2,021	2,115	488
Fowler	225	Meade	185	169	12
Fredonia	484	Wilson	789	772	150

Appendix I (cont'd)

Kansas School District Populations, 2007

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2007</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Frontenac Public Schools	249	Crawford	834	613	51
Ft. Larned	495	Pawnee	909	1,077	97
Ft. Leavenworth	207	Leavenworth	1,703	1,989	59
Galena	499	Cherokee	767	656	178
Garden City	457	Finney	7,311	8,258	1,615
Gardner-Edgerton	231	Johnson	4,381	3,324	190
Garnett	365	Anderson	1,157	1,185	179
Geary County Schools	475	Geary	7,052	6,109	977
Girard	248	Crawford	1,045	1,003	180
Goddard	265	Sedgwick	4,960	4,465	216
Goessel	411	Marion	263	276	16
Golden Plains	316	Thomas	190	104	10
Goodland	352	Sherman	1,001	887	185
Great Bend	428	Barton	3,159	3,063	498
Greeley County Schools	200	Greeley	249	239	33
Greensburg	422	Kiowa	202	245	41
Grinnell Public Schools	291	Gove	98	102	11
Halstead	440	Harvey	788	833	70
Hamilton	390	Greenwood	101	92	19
Hanston	228	Hodgeman	77	88	6
Haven Public Schools	312	Reno	1,046	1,263	177
Haviland	474	Kiowa	158	118	16
Hays	489	Ellis	3,026	3,199	333
Haysville	261	Sedgwick	4,801	4,494	462
Healy Public Schools	468	Lane	89	57	4
Herington	487	Dickinson	542	467	64
Hesston	460	Harvey	839	849	45
Hiawatha	415	Brown	933	979	146
Highland	425	Doniphan	242	189	15
Hill City	281	Graham	406	315	46
Hoisington	431	Barton	635	643	114
Holcomb	363	Finney	876	868	103
Holton	336	Jackson	1,138	989	151
Hoxie Community Schools	412	Sheridan	308	315	52
Hugoton Public Schools	210	Stevens	1,043	925	129
Humboldt	258	Allen	532	408	55
Hutchinson Public Schools	308	Reno	4,777	5,032	906
Independence	446	Montgomery	1,961	2,182	376
Ingalls	477	Gray	269	199	17
Inman	448	McPherson	439	459	36
Iola	257	Allen	1,522	1,429	305
Jayhawk	346	Linn	550	589	93
Jefferson County North	339	Jefferson	500	407	53
Jefferson West	340	Jefferson	954	799	37
Jetmore	227	Hodgeman	288	227	31

Appendix I (cont'd)

Kansas School District Populations, 2007

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2007</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Jewell	279	Jewell	120	121	20
Kansas City	500	Wyandotte	19,722	23,259	6,469
Kaw Valley	321	Pottawatomie	1,173	1,466	177
Kingman-Norwich	331	Kingman	1,125	1,081	154
Kinsely-Offerle	347	Edwards	350	341	51
Kismet-Plains	483	Seward	757	798	127
Labette County	506	Labette	1,592	1,367	151
LaCrosse	395	Rush	318	317	53
Lakin	215	Kearny	654	624	75
Lansing	469	Leavenworth	2,379	2,146	103
Lawrence	497	Douglas	10,833	11,978	1,285
Leavenworth	453	Leavenworth	4,201	4,923	696
Lebo-Waverly	243	Coffey	577	461	36
Leoti	467	Wichita	457	419	67
LeRoy-Gridley	245	Coffey	273	270	31
Lewis	502	Edwards	113	143	27
Liberal	480	Seward	4,585	4,619	841
Lincoln	298	Lincoln	357	352	48
Little River	444	Rice	316	313	34
Logan	326	Phillips	190	159	22
Lorraine	328	Ellsworth	494	433	48
Louisburg	416	Miami	1,688	1,378	67
Lyndon	421	Osage	467	407	37
Lyons	405	Rice	863	684	101
Macksville	351	Stafford	321	232	36
Madison-Virgil	386	Greenwood	245	256	37
Maize	266	Sedgwick	6,423	6,413	250
Manhattan	383	Riley	5,764	7,448	716
Marais Des Cygnes Valley	456	Osage	305	311	52
Marion-Florence	408	Marion	616	583	66
Marmaton Valley	256	Allen	344	317	55
Marysville	364	Marshall	797	757	79
McLouth	342	Jefferson	570	556	60
McPherson	418	McPherson	2,466	2,510	190
Meade	226	Meade	506	423	40
Midway Schools	433	Doniphan	188	218	24
Mill Creek Valley	329	Wabaunsee	517	572	30
Minneola	219	Clark	286	189	29
Mission Valley	330	Wabaunsee	513	538	65
Montezuma	371	Gray	270	303	33
Morris County	417	Morris	827	891	106
Moscow Public Schools	209	Stevens	242	172	15
Moundridge	423	McPherson	466	557	22
Mullinville	424	Kiowa	264	69	10
Mulvane	263	Sedgwick	1,911	2,151	231

Appendix I (cont'd)

Kansas School District Populations, 2007

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2007</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Nemaha Valley Schools	442	Nemaha	511	587	37
Neodesha	461	Wilson	789	675	113
Ness City	303	Ness	293	215	23
Newton	373	Harvey	3,758	3,647	387
Nickerson	309	Reno	1,224	1,160	141
North Jackson	335	Jackson	413	376	40
North Lyon Co.	251	Lyon	565	702	77
North Ottawa Co.	239	Ottawa	610	561	47
Northeast	246	Crawford	590	743	163
Northern Valley	212	Norton	212	143	22
Norton Community Schools	211	Norton	695	602	70
Oakley	274	Logan	467	393	40
Oberlin	294	Decatur	405	408	61
Olathe	233	Johnson	25,994	26,081	1,275
Onaga-Havensville-Wheaton	322	Pottawatomie	361	369	43
Osage City	420	Osage	696	691	88
Osawatomie	367	Miami	1,206	1,324	189
Osborne County	392	Osborne	347	336	55
Oskaloosa Public Schools	341	Jefferson	576	623	45
Oswego	504	Labette	528	387	80
Otis-Bison	403	Rush	193	250	32
Ottawa	290	Franklin	2,539	2,563	329
Oxford	358	Sumner	387	357	46
Palco	269	Rooks	164	125	10
Paola	368	Miami	2,184	2,231	139
Paradise	399	Russell	154	125	23
Parsons	503	Labette	1,531	1,828	327
Pawnee Heights	496	Pawnee	152	116	15
Peabody-Burns	398	Marion	353	437	44
Perry Public Schools	343	Jefferson	982	1,044	88
Phillipsburg	325	Phillips	655	511	63
Pike Valley	426	Republic	247	180	28
Piper-Kansas City	203	Wyandotte	1,583	1,417	87
Pittsburg	250	Crawford	2,739	3,156	680
Plainville	270	Rooks	389	402	44
Pleasanton	344	Linn	391	385	98
Prairie View	362	Linn	1,002	923	97
Pratt	382	Pratt	1,161	1,262	163
Pretty Prairie	311	Reno	291	309	24
Quinter Public Schools	293	Gove	311	234	29
Rawlins County	105	Rawlins	318	318	51
Remington-Whitewater	206	Butler	557	788	58
Renwick	267	Sedgwick	2,022	2,125	90
Republic County	427	Republic	531	426	61
Riley County	378	Riley	675	803	82

Appendix I (cont'd)

Kansas School District Populations, 2007

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2007</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Riverton	404	Cherokee	850	675	127
Rock Creek	323	Pottawatomie	856	797	89
Rock Hills	104	Jewell	288	288	41
Rolla	217	Morton	208	164	22
Rose Hill Public Schools	394	Butler	1,783	1,875	107
Royal Valley	337	Jackson	987	893	92
Rural Vista	481	Dickinson	436	396	63
Russell County	407	Russell	979	937	150
Sabetha	441	Nemaha	972	861	89
Salina	305	Saline	7,415	8,410	1,123
Santa Fe Trail	434	Osage	1,170	1,173	123
Satanta	507	Haskell	363	387	51
Scott County	466	Scott	902	767	80
Seaman	345	Shawnee	3,581	3,640	246
Sedgwick Public Schools	439	Harvey	550	429	53
Shawnee Heights	450	Shawnee	3,556	3,622	274
Shawnee Mission Pub. Schools	512	Johnson	28,160	41,728	2,199
Silver Lake	372	Shawnee	737	705	33
Skyline Schools	438	Pratt	385	207	35
Smith Center	237	Smith	489	391	56
Smoky Valley	400	McPherson	1,092	927	62
Solomon	393	Dickinson	422	371	59
South Barber Co.	255	Barber	230	229	41
South Brown County	430	Brown	666	663	113
South Haven	509	Sumner	246	177	27
Southeast of Saline	306	Saline	710	670	41
Southern Cloud	334	Cloud	254	231	47
Southern Lyon Co.	252	Lyon	572	625	63
Spearville	381	Ford	360	312	23
Spring Hill	230	Johnson	1,865	1,636	73
St. Francis Community Schools	297	Cheyenne	319	320	34
St. John-Hudson	350	Stafford	393	303	33
Stafford	349	Stafford	284	236	47
Stanton County	452	Stanton	467	405	60
Sterling	376	Rice	577	468	47
Stockton	271	Rooks	329	334	54
Sublette	374	Haskell	539	464	68
Sylvan Grove	299	Lincoln	152	146	20
Syracuse	494	Hamilton	493	474	73
Tonganoxie	464	Leavenworth	1,803	1,566	90
Topeka Public Schools	501	Shawnee	13,469	15,802	3,119
Triplains	275	Logan	96	67	10
Troy Public Schools	429	Doniphan	376	331	29
Turner-Kansas City	202	Wyandotte	4,025	3,780	697
Twin Valley	240	Ottawa	671	455	48

Appendix I (cont'd)

Kansas School District Populations, 2007

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2007</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Udall	463	Cowley	418	402	34
Ulysses	214	Grant	1,729	1,563	220
Uniontown	235	Bourbon	471	426	94
Valley Center Pub. Schools	262	Sedgwick	2,644	2,734	170
Valley Falls	338	Jefferson	434	411	30
Valley Heights	498	Marshall	397	348	41
Vermillion	380	Marshall	545	460	73
Victoria	432	Ellis	268	254	18
Waconda	272	Mitchell	386	391	38
WaKeeney	208	Trego	416	400	54
Wallace Co. Schools	241	Wallace	215	192	32
Wamego	320	Pottawatomie	1,359	1,476	100
Washington Schools	222	Washington	434	449	51
Wathena	406	Doniphan	422	354	49
Wellington	353	Sumner	1,723	1,630	266
Wellsville	289	Franklin	869	853	49
Weskan	242	Wallace	114	71	19
West Elk	282	Elk	378	356	80
West Franklin	287	Franklin	764	1,044	93
West Smith Co.	238	Smith	169	132	15
West Solomon Valley Schools	213	Norton	47	88	10
Western Plains	106	Ness	179	192	22
Wheatland	292	Gove	136	123	27
Wichita	259	Sedgwick	48,522	59,070	10,347
Winfield	465	Cowley	2,557	2,497	406
Woodson	366	Woodson	456	348	76

Sources: Kansas Department of Education and the U.S. Census Bureau [<http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/district.html>]

Appendix J

Health Insurance Coverage Status for the U.S. & Kansas, 1991-2008

(Numbers in Thousands, Number of People as of March of the Following Year)

	Total Pop.	Not Covered				Covered by Private or Gov. Health Ins.			
		Number	Error	Percent	Error	Number	Error	Percent	Error
U.S.									
2008	301,483	46,340	322	15.4 %	0.1	255,143	301	84.6 %	0.1
2007	299,106	45,657	320	15.3	0.1	253,449	307	84.7	0.1
2006	296,824	46,995	324	15.8	0.1	249,829	318	84.2	0.1
2005	293,834	46,577	322	15.9	0.1	247,257	325	84.1	0.1
2004	291,166	45,820	320	15.7	0.1	245,860	330	84.3	0.1
2003	288,280	44,961	318	15.6	0.1	243,320	335	84.4	0.1
2002	285,933	43,574	314	15.2	0.1	242,360	338	84.8	0.1
2001	282,082	41,207	307	14.6	0.1	240,875	341	85.4	0.1
2000 ¹	279,517	39,804	300	14.2	0.1	239,714	247	85.8	0.1
1999 ²	276,804	40,228	423	14.5	0.2	236,576	412	85.5	0.2
1999	274,087	42,554	433	15.5	0.2	231,533	434	84.5	0.2
1998	271,743	44,281	440	16.3	0.2	227,462	450	83.7	0.2
1997 ³	269,094	43,448	437	16.1	0.2	225,646	457	83.9	0.2
1996	266,792	41,716	429	15.6	0.2	225,077	459	84.4	0.2
1995	264,314	40,582	424	15.4	0.2	223,733	463	84.6	0.2
1994 ⁴	262,105	39,718	408	15.2	0.2	222,387	531	84.8	0.2
1993 ⁵	259,753	39,713	421	15.3	0.2	220,040	476	84.7	0.2
1992 ⁶	256,830	38,641	416	15.0	0.2	218,189	482	85.0	0.2
1991 ⁷	251,447	35,445	401	14.1	0.2	216,003	489	85.9	0.2
Kansas									
2008	2,724	330	24	12.1 %	0.9	2,394	24	87.9 %	0.9
2007	2,722	345	24	12.7	0.9	2,376	24	87.3	0.9
2006	2,723	335	24	12.3	0.9	2,387	24	87.7	1.9
2005	2,695	290	22	10.8	0.8	2,405	22	89.2	0.8
2004	2,674	297	23	11.1	0.8	2,372	23	88.9	0.8
2003	2,683	294	19	11.0	0.7	2,389	55	89.0	37.0
2002	2,685	280	19	10.4	0.7	2,404	55	89.6	37.0
2001	2,642	301	20	11.4	0.7	2,341	54	88.6	37.0
2000 ¹	2,653	289	19	10.9	0.7	2,364	55	89.1	37.0
1999 ²	2,610	309	32	11.8	1.2	2,301	88	88.2	1.2
1999	2,618	317	33	12.1	1.2	2,300	88	87.9	1.2
1998	2,616	270	30	10.3	1.1	2,346	89	89.7	1.1
1997 ³	2,590	304	32	11.7	1.2	2,286	88	88.3	1.2
1996	2,572	292	31	11.4	1.2	2,280	88	88.6	1.2
1995	2,539	316	33	12.4	1.2	2,223	87	87.6	1.2
1994 ⁴	2,527	326	32	12.9	1.2	2,201	83	87.1	1.2
1993 ⁵	2,500	318	33	12.7	1.2	2,182	86	87.3	1.2
1992 ⁶	2,510	274	31	10.9	1.2	2,236	87	89.1	1.2
1991 ⁷	2,581	295	32	11.4	1.2	2,286	88	88.6	1.2

¹ Implementation of a 28,000 household sample expansion.

² Estimates reflect the results of follow-up verification questions and of Census 2000 based population controls.

³ Beginning with the March 1998 CPS, people with no coverage other than access to Indian Health Service are no longer considered to be uninsured. The effect of this change on the overall estimates of health insurance coverage is negligible; however, the decrease in the number of people covered by Medicaid may be partially due to this change.

⁴ Health insurance questions were redesigned. Increases in estimates of employment-based and military health care coverage may be partially due to questionnaire changes. Overall coverage estimates were not affected.

⁵ Data collection method changed from paper and pencil to computer-assisted interviewing.

⁶ Implementation of Census 1990 based population controls.

⁷ Figures are revised to correct for omitted weights from the original March 1992 CPS file.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Appendix K
Kansas Resident Population, 2002 through 2008
By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity

	Age	Population						
		7/1/2002	7/1/2003	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	7/1/2006	7/1/2007	7/1/2008
Kansas	All Ages	2,712,454	2,724,224	2,733,697	2,744,687	2,764,075	2,775,997	2,802,134
	< 5	188,796	188,667	188,536	187,949	194,100	196,138	202,529
	5-19	595,019	587,099	579,663	569,356	582,455	581,010	579,901
	20-64	1,574,715	1,594,275	1,610,273	1,630,377	1,629,811	1,638,633	1,652,998
	≥ 65	353,924	354,183	355,225	357,005	357,709	360,216	366,706
	≥ 85*	53,279	54,259	55,798	57,665	59,518	60,712	62,319
Race								
White Alone	All Ages	2,430,696	2,438,246	2,444,629	2,452,657	2,462,232	2,467,314	2,485,597
Black Alone	All Ages	159,687	160,434	161,016	161,504	164,507	168,531	172,342
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	All Ages	25,441	25,555	25,554	25,786	27,374	27,775	28,895
Asian Alone	All Ages	52,451	54,775	56,415	57,759	60,870	61,720	62,468
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	All Ages	1,708	1,784	1,830	1,867	1,863	1,970	2,131
Two or More Races	All Ages	42,471	43,430	44,253	45,114	47,229	48,687	50,701
White Alone	< 5	162,361	162,531	162,842	162,626	165,293	166,570	170,005
Black Alone	< 5	13,145	12,843	12,428	12,029	14,294	14,904	16,062
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	< 5	1,850	1,753	1,710	1,704	2,088	2,039	2,779
Asian Alone	< 5	4,002	4,148	4,278	4,391	4,790	4,955	5,188
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	< 5	122	113	106	100	106	146	216
Two or More Races	< 5	7,316	7,279	7,172	7,099	7,529	7,524	8,279
White Alone	5-19	518,200	510,391	502,959	493,307	503,966	499,706	497,539
Black Alone	5-19	43,348	42,905	42,556	41,711	42,264	43,895	43,934
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	5-19	6,720	6,570	6,400	6,294	6,721	6,811	6,962
Asian Alone	5-19	10,645	10,763	10,885	10,887	11,527	11,713	11,815
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	5-19	445	458	463	461	456	503	537
Two or More Races	5-19	15,661	16,012	16,400	16,696	17,521	18,382	19,114
White Alone	20-64	1,413,878	1,429,197	1,442,118	1,458,871	1,455,085	1,461,598	1,472,733
Black Alone	20-64	91,122	92,562	93,806	95,332	95,636	97,014	99,475
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	20-64	15,490	15,772	15,922	16,151	16,756	16,985	17,174
Asian Alone	20-64	35,475	37,341	38,514	39,498	41,279	41,509	41,625
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	20-64	1,072	1,138	1,177	1,216	1,201	1,213	1,270
Two or More Races	20-64	17,678	18,265	18,736	19,309	19,854	20,314	20,721
White Alone	≥ 65	336,257	336,127	336,710	337,853	337,888	339,440	345,320
Black Alone	≥ 65	12,072	12,124	12,226	12,432	12,313	12,718	12,871
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 65	1,381	1,460	1,522	1,637	1,809	1,940	1,980
Asian Alone	≥ 65	2,329	2,523	2,738	2,983	3,274	3,543	3,840
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 65	69	75	84	90	100	108	108
Two or More Races	≥ 65	1,816	1,874	1,945	2,010	2,325	2,467	2,587
White Alone	≥ 85*	51,272	52,208	53,655	55,328	57,272	58,277	59,775
Black Alone	≥ 85*	1,502	1,509	1,555	1,674	1,521	1,632	1,667
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 85*	130	140	154	179	167	211	215
Asian Alone	≥ 85*	158	179	195	223	248	260	305
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 85*	9	11	12	13	17	18	16
Two or More Races	≥ 85*	208	212	227	248	293	314	341

* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

Appendix K (cont'd)
Kansas Resident Population, 2002 through 2008
By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity

		As a % of Total Population ***							
		Age	7/1/2002	7/1/2003	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	7/1/2006	7/1/2007	7/1/2008
Kansas	All Ages		100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
	< 5		7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8	7.0	6.9	7.2
	5-19		21.9	21.6	21.2	20.7	21.1	20.8	20.7
	20-64		58.1	58.5	58.9	59.4	59.0	58.2	59.0
	≥ 65		13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	12.9	12.8	13.1
	≥ 85*		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2
Race**									
White Alone	All Ages		89.6	89.5	89.4	89.4	89.1	87.9	88.7
Black Alone	All Ages		5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.2
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	All Ages		0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
Asian Alone	All Ages		1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	All Ages		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	All Ages		1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8
White Alone	< 5		86.0	86.1	86.4	86.5	85.2	81.6	83.9
Black Alone	< 5		7.0	6.8	6.6	6.4	7.4	7.1	7.9
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	< 5		1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.4
Asian Alone	< 5		2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.6
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	< 5		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	< 5		3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.7	4.1
White Alone	5-19		87.1	86.9	86.8	86.6	86.5	86.9	85.8
Black Alone	5-19		7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.6
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	5-19		1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2
Asian Alone	5-19		1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	5-19		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	5-19		2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.3
White Alone	20-64		89.8	89.6	89.6	89.5	89.3	88.0	89.1
Black Alone	20-64		5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.8	6.0
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	20-64		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Asian Alone	20-64		2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	20-64		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	20-64		1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3
White Alone	≥ 65		95.0	94.9	94.8	94.6	94.5	92.1	94.2
Black Alone	≥ 65		3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 65		0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Asian Alone	≥ 65		0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 65		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	≥ 65		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
White Alone	≥ 85*		96.2	96.2	96.2	95.9	96.2	91.9	95.9
Black Alone	≥ 85*		2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.7
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 85*		0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Asian Alone	≥ 85*		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 85*		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	≥ 85*		0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5

* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

** Percentage of total population for each age group by race is relative to the total population for that age group only.

*** Totals may not add because of rounding.

Appendix K (cont'd)
Kansas Resident Population, 2002 through 2008
By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity

	Age	Population						
		7/1/2002	7/1/2003	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	7/1/2006	7/1/2007	7/1/2008
Gender								
Male	All Ages	1,343,636	1,351,169	1,357,412	1,363,613	1,371,446	1,376,311	1,391,821
Female	All Ages	1,368,818	1,373,055	1,376,285	1,381,074	1,392,629	1,399,686	1,410,313
Male	< 5	97,528	97,826	97,906	97,590	99,144	99,987	103,326
Female	< 5	91,268	90,841	90,630	90,359	94,956	96,151	99,203
Male	5-19	305,659	301,487	297,917	292,814	299,748	298,712	298,162
Female	5-19	289,370	285,612	281,746	276,542	282,707	282,298	281,739
Male	20-64	794,151	804,601	813,051	823,135	822,673	827,086	836,069
Female	20-64	780,554	789,674	797,222	807,242	807,138	811,547	816,929
Male	≥ 65	146,298	147,255	148,538	150,074	149,881	150,526	154,264
Female	≥ 65	207,626	206,928	206,687	206,931	207,828	209,690	212,442
Male	≥ 85*	15,514	16,197	16,925	17,806	18,366	18,605	19,387
Female	≥ 85*	37,765	38,062	38,873	39,859	41,152	42,107	42,932
Ethnicity								
Non-Hispanic	All Ages	2,507,069	2,510,858	2,512,886	2,516,437	2,526,649	2,531,691	2,546,725
Hispanic	All Ages	205,385	213,366	220,811	228,250	237,426	244,306	255,409
Non-Hispanic	< 5	163,759	163,570	163,227	162,782	166,981	166,800	169,148
Hispanic	< 5	25,037	25,097	25,309	25,167	27,119	29,338	33,381
Non-Hispanic	5-19	534,941	525,396	516,340	504,470	513,376	510,125	505,801
Hispanic	5-19	60,078	61,703	63,323	64,886	69,079	70,885	74,100
Non-Hispanic	20-64	1,461,685	1,475,334	1,486,158	1,500,727	1,497,435	1,503,936	1,515,105
Hispanic	20-64	113,030	118,941	124,115	129,650	132,376	134,697	137,893
Non-Hispanic	≥ 65	346,684	346,558	347,161	348,458	348,857	350,830	356,671
Hispanic	≥ 65	7,240	7,625	8,064	8,547	8,852	9,386	10,035
Non-Hispanic	≥ 85*	52,659	53,570	54,993	56,738	58,596	59,647	61,080
Hispanic	≥ 85*	620	689	805	927	922	1,065	1,239

* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

Appendix K (cont'd)
Kansas Resident Population, 2002 through 2008
By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity

		As a % of Total Population						
Age		7/1/2002	7/1/2003	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	7/1/2006	7/1/2007	7/1/2008
Gender								
Male	All Ages	49.5 %	49.6 %	49.7 %	49.7 %	49.6 %	48.9 %	49.7 %
Female	All Ages	50.5	50.4	50.3	50.3	50.4	49.7	50.3
Male	< 5	51.7	51.9	51.9	51.9	51.1	49.0	51.0
Female	< 5	48.3	48.1	48.1	48.1	48.9	46.9	49.0
Male	5-19	51.4	51.4	51.4	51.4	51.5	51.7	51.4
Female	5-19	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.5	48.8	48.6
Male	20-64	50.4	50.5	50.5	50.5	50.5	49.8	50.6
Female	20-64	49.6	49.5	49.5	49.5	49.5	48.8	49.4
Male	≥ 65	41.3	41.6	41.8	42.0	41.9	40.9	42.1
Female	≥ 65	58.7	58.4	58.2	58.0	58.1	56.7	57.9
Male	≥ 85*	29.1	29.9	30.3	30.9	30.9	29.5	31.1
Female	≥ 85*	70.9	70.1	69.7	69.1	69.1	66.0	68.9
Ethnicity								
Non-Hispanic	All Ages	92.4 %	92.2 %	91.9 %	91.7 %	91.4 %	90.2	90.9 %
Hispanic	All Ages	7.6	7.8	8.1	8.3	8.6	8.5	9.1
Non-Hispanic	< 5	86.7	86.7	86.6	86.6	86.0	82.4	83.5
Hispanic	< 5	13.3	13.3	13.4	13.4	14.0	13.4	16.5
Non-Hispanic	5-19	89.9	89.5	89.1	88.6	88.1	88.5	87.2
Hispanic	5-19	10.1	10.5	10.9	11.4	11.9	11.9	12.8
Non-Hispanic	20-64	92.8	92.5	92.3	92.0	91.9	90.6	91.7
Hispanic	20-64	7.2	7.5	7.7	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.3
Non-Hispanic	≥ 65	98.0	97.8	97.7	97.6	97.5	95.1	97.3
Hispanic	≥ 65	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.7
Non-Hispanic	≥ 85*	98.8	98.7	98.6	98.4	98.5	94.0	98.0
Hispanic	≥ 85*	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.5	2.0

* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

Appendix L

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Allen County												
Total Population	13,448	13,366	13,280	13,200	13,108	13,022	12,930	12,845	12,760	12,682	12,600	12,519
Age 0 thru 4	833	829	824	819	815	808	800	792	785	777	769	760
Age 5 thru 9	681	658	635	615	593	572	551	530	510	491	472	454
Age 10 thru 14	736	705	677	648	620	593	568	543	519	496	473	451
Age 15 thru 19	1,007	979	952	927	901	877	854	830	806	783	759	735
Age 20 thru 24	910	915	910	901	895	889	888	887	884	881	876	870
Age 25 thru 29	1,034	1,073	1,120	1,168	1,215	1,258	1,291	1,328	1,368	1,411	1,455	1501
Age 30 thru 34	644	635	625	613	601	586	573	560	547	535	523	510
Age 35 thru 39	532	500	470	442	417	393	370	348	326	306	287	269
Age 40 thru 44	925	910	892	875	855	838	821	805	788	770	753	735
Age 45 thru 49	1,136	1,144	1,151	1,160	1,168	1,178	1,184	1,190	1,195	1,200	1,204	1207
Age 50 thru 54	1,081	1,097	1,110	1,123	1,131	1,142	1,156	1,169	1,181	1,192	1,202	1211
Age 55 thru 59	1,011	1,042	1,078	1,114	1,149	1,184	1,217	1,251	1,285	1,320	1,355	1391
Age 60 thru 64	686	686	686	684	682	680	677	674	671	667	663	659
Age 65 thru 84	1,832	1,796	1,758	1,724	1,685	1,649	1,611	1,574	1,536	1,500	1,462	1425
Age 85 & Older	400	397	392	387	381	375	369	364	359	353	347	341
Anderson County												
Total Population	8,322	8,346	8,369	8,391	8,414	8,432	8,456	8,473	8,492	8,513	8,534	8,557
Age 0 thru 4	492	490	488	484	479	475	472	468	464	460	455	451
Age 5 thru 9	445	433	420	410	400	389	378	368	357	346	336	326
Age 10 thru 14	528	517	507	494	483	471	460	449	438	427	416	405
Age 15 thru 19	590	585	581	579	576	572	568	563	558	553	547	542
Age 20 thru 24	557	581	598	616	635	651	673	694	717	739	762	784
Age 25 thru 29	618	648	680	715	748	779	808	838	871	905	941	979
Age 30 thru 34	410	408	404	399	395	393	389	384	379	375	370	366
Age 35 thru 39	360	341	324	310	295	281	268	255	243	230	219	207
Age 40 thru 44	664	670	673	676	677	682	686	688	691	693	695	696
Age 45 thru 49	677	697	718	734	749	763	779	796	812	829	846	863
Age 50 thru 54	545	552	559	569	581	591	600	608	616	623	631	638
Age 55 thru 59	507	513	521	528	537	545	553	560	566	573	579	585
Age 60 thru 64	413	412	413	412	412	412	412	411	409	408	406	404
Age 65 thru 84	1,197	1,178	1,159	1,138	1,117	1,096	1,077	1,057	1,036	1,016	995	974
Age 85 & Older	319	321	324	327	330	332	333	334	335	336	336	337
Atchison County												
Total Population	16,783	16,786	16,783	16,784	16,783	16,781	16,781	16,779	16,782	16,783	16,777	16,776
Age 0 thru 4	1,031	1,024	1,017	1,010	1,004	996	988	980	971	961	951	941
Age 5 thru 9	907	884	860	837	813	791	770	749	728	706	685	664
Age 10 thru 14	1,007	978	949	918	890	859	833	806	780	754	728	703
Age 15 thru 19	1,373	1,348	1,322	1,299	1,274	1,253	1,231	1,207	1,182	1,158	1,132	1,107
Age 20 thru 24	1,937	2,024	2,103	2,189	2,268	2,347	2,434	2,524	2,618	2,713	2,809	2,907
Age 25 thru 29	1,094	1,119	1,150	1,178	1,209	1,233	1,252	1,272	1,294	1,316	1,339	1,362
Age 30 thru 34	743	724	705	684	663	642	622	603	585	566	548	530
Age 35 thru 39	827	801	774	747	724	704	681	659	637	615	593	572
Age 40 thru 44	996	974	953	938	919	897	879	860	841	822	802	783
Age 45 thru 49	1,375	1,406	1,433	1,455	1,477	1,504	1,530	1,555	1,580	1,605	1,628	1,651
Age 50 thru 54	1,061	1,067	1,070	1,077	1,086	1,097	1,105	1,112	1,118	1,123	1,127	1,130

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Atchison County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	1,062	1,085	1,111	1,134	1,157	1,181	1,204	1,227	1,250	1,273	1,295	1317
Age 60 thru 64	795	799	804	806	809	811	813	814	815	816	815	815
Age 65 thru 84	2,084	2,057	2,031	2,003	1,973	1,942	1,912	1,881	1,850	1,819	1,786	1753
Age 85 & Older	491	496	501	509	517	524	527	530	533	536	539	541
Barber County												
Total Population	4,664	4,600	4,540	4,479	4,418	4,358	4,294	4,238	4,180	4,123	4,063	4,003
Age 0 thru 4	144	134	125	118	110	103	95	88	82	75	69	63
Age 5 thru 9	185	172	160	148	138	127	118	108	100	91	83	76
Age 10 thru 14	247	230	214	199	185	173	160	149	137	126	116	106
Age 15 thru 19	351	342	332	321	309	298	287	277	265	254	242	230
Age 20 thru 24	474	517	565	616	666	716	765	818	874	933	993	1,055
Age 25 thru 29	145	141	136	131	126	123	117	112	107	101	96	91
Age 30 thru 34	116	106	96	87	79	71	64	58	52	47	42	37
Age 35 thru 39	183	169	157	145	133	123	114	104	95	87	79	72
Age 40 thru 44	331	319	308	297	287	275	264	252	241	229	217	205
Age 45 thru 49	453	452	450	447	441	436	431	426	419	411	402	391
Age 50 thru 54	479	490	499	508	520	529	536	542	547	550	552	552
Age 55 thru 59	361	364	366	364	362	359	356	354	350	346	340	333
Age 60 thru 64	274	273	271	268	264	259	254	249	243	237	230	223
Age 65 thru 84	729	697	666	635	603	572	542	512	483	454	425	397
Age 85 & Older	192	194	195	195	195	194	191	189	185	182	177	172
Barton County												
Total Population	27,614	27,512	27,419	27,317	27,219	27,133	27,065	26,999	26,927	26,849	26,773	26,682
Age 0 thru 4	1,593	1,567	1,546	1,520	1,493	1,467	1,442	1,417	1,391	1,364	1,338	1,310
Age 5 thru 9	1,569	1,528	1,490	1,453	1,416	1,379	1,342	1,306	1,270	1,234	1,198	1,162
Age 10 thru 14	1,664	1,609	1,558	1,510	1,462	1,417	1,373	1,329	1,285	1,242	1,199	1,156
Age 15 thru 19	2,157	2,126	2,090	2,055	2,022	1,990	1,964	1,935	1,904	1,871	1,837	1,801
Age 20 thru 24	1,945	1,978	2,006	2,026	2,053	2,088	2,119	2,150	2,179	2,206	2,232	2,256
Age 25 thru 29	1,611	1,636	1,669	1,708	1,738	1,761	1,776	1,793	1,812	1,831	1,851	1,871
Age 30 thru 34	1,077	1,039	1,002	961	921	883	847	813	780	748	716	685
Age 35 thru 39	1,072	1,003	935	876	822	773	726	680	637	595	556	519
Age 40 thru 44	2,021	1,986	1,952	1,917	1,881	1,848	1,818	1,787	1,754	1,720	1,685	1,649
Age 45 thru 49	2,556	2,602	2,641	2,673	2,707	2,742	2,782	2,821	2,858	2,893	2,926	2,956
Age 50 thru 54	2,566	2,670	2,771	2,873	2,978	3,082	3,193	3,307	3,422	3,539	3,659	3,778
Age 55 thru 59	1,774	1,815	1,863	1,910	1,955	1,998	2,039	2,081	2,123	2,165	2,208	2,249
Age 60 thru 64	1,296	1,296	1,298	1,296	1,295	1,291	1,286	1,282	1,277	1,272	1,266	1,258
Age 65 thru 84	3,765	3,698	3,629	3,563	3,494	3,425	3,361	3,295	3,227	3,158	3,088	3,017
Age 85 & Older	948	959	969	976	982	989	997	1,003	1,008	1,011	1,014	1,015
Bourbon County												
Total Population	15,012	15,004	14,977	14,940	14,906	14,877	14,846	14,811	14,783	14,760	14,736	14,717
Age 0 thru 4	1,048	1,059	1,068	1,075	1,082	1,089	1,093	1,097	1,101	1,106	1,110	1,114
Age 5 thru 9	759	731	704	677	652	628	605	582	560	538	517	496
Age 10 thru 14	955	938	919	897	874	854	835	816	797	778	759	740
Age 15 thru 19	1,031	1,003	980	957	936	915	893	869	847	824	802	780
Age 20 thru 24	1,275	1,318	1,346	1,378	1,406	1,434	1,471	1,508	1,545	1,583	1,619	1,655

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Bourbon County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	958	970	980	987	1,000	1,012	1,018	1,023	1,029	1,035	1,042	1,049
Age 30 thru 34	854	861	864	867	865	864	861	859	857	856	854	853
Age 35 thru 39	672	644	615	588	564	542	519	496	474	452	432	412
Age 40 thru 44	908	894	877	858	838	819	803	786	769	752	735	718
Age 45 thru 49	1,104	1,108	1,113	1,116	1,121	1,126	1,129	1,131	1,132	1,134	1,135	1,136
Age 50 thru 54	1,174	1,196	1,216	1,238	1,260	1,284	1,309	1,332	1,355	1,378	1,400	1,423
Age 55 thru 59	1,059	1,086	1,117	1,148	1,180	1,208	1,236	1,265	1,294	1,324	1,354	1,385
Age 60 thru 64	876	902	929	951	971	990	1,010	1,030	1,052	1,075	1,097	1,120
Age 65 thru 84	1,834	1,787	1,740	1,691	1,642	1,597	1,551	1,505	1,461	1,417	1,374	1,332
Age 85 & Older	505	507	509	512	515	515	513	512	510	508	506	504
Brown County												
Total Population	9,931	9,862	9,793	9,715	9,631	9,555	9,478	9,401	9,326	9,257	9,181	9,111
Age 0 thru 4	640	634	630	623	617	611	602	594	586	577	568	559
Age 5 thru 9	556	539	523	507	488	471	454	437	421	405	389	374
Age 10 thru 14	568	545	524	503	482	462	442	423	404	386	368	351
Age 15 thru 19	625	604	581	557	536	517	498	480	461	442	424	406
Age 20 thru 24	853	896	934	971	1,011	1,045	1,085	1,126	1,168	1,212	1,255	1,299
Age 25 thru 29	437	431	426	422	417	413	405	397	389	382	374	367
Age 30 thru 34	388	372	356	342	326	312	298	284	270	258	245	233
Age 35 thru 39	473	453	433	415	398	381	365	348	332	316	301	287
Age 40 thru 44	633	615	601	585	568	552	536	520	504	488	472	456
Age 45 thru 49	807	807	805	798	788	782	779	774	768	762	754	746
Age 50 thru 54	879	899	916	936	956	978	998	1,017	1,036	1,054	1,071	1,088
Age 55 thru 59	781	807	836	864	892	918	944	970	996	1,023	1,049	1,076
Age 60 thru 64	539	544	547	549	549	549	549	549	549	549	548	546
Age 65 thru 84	1,301	1,262	1,224	1,183	1,142	1,103	1,064	1,025	987	950	913	877
Age 85 & Older	451	454	457	460	461	461	459	457	455	453	450	446
Butler County												
Total Population	65,196	65,044	65,219	65,810	66,305	66,762	67,160	67,523	67,881	68,231	68,580	68,900
Age 0 thru 4	3,415	3,314	3,230	3,166	3,099	3,032	2,961	2,888	2,811	2,734	2,657	2,581
Age 5 thru 9	3,910	3,815	3,747	3,714	3,682	3,647	3,609	3,573	3,534	3,489	3,442	3,390
Age 10 thru 14	4,285	4,168	4,070	4,006	3,945	3,885	3,830	3,778	3,721	3,657	3,591	3,520
Age 15 thru 19	5,485	5,501	5,537	5,614	5,689	5,766	5,850	5,937	6,019	6,089	6,155	6,213
Age 20 thru 24	5,180	5,297	5,461	5,645	5,787	5,908	6,025	6,129	6,274	6,466	6,672	6,895
Age 25 thru 29	4,797	4,983	5,213	5,490	5,757	5,992	6,214	6,458	6,718	6,988	7,253	7,521
Age 30 thru 34	3,201	3,134	3,095	3,073	3,038	2,994	2,944	2,900	2,856	2,811	2,759	2,705
Age 35 thru 39	3,478	3,344	3,238	3,158	3,074	2,985	2,895	2,809	2,724	2,637	2,546	2,456
Age 40 thru 44	5,297	5,275	5,276	5,313	5,329	5,344	5,358	5,375	5,388	5,392	5,381	5,362
Age 45 thru 49	5,739	5,680	5,579	5,505	5,435	5,375	5,320	5,236	5,136	5,024	4,914	4,802
Age 50 thru 54	5,387	5,423	5,422	5,470	5,534	5,601	5,648	5,665	5,663	5,648	5,634	5,618
Age 55 thru 59	4,341	4,441	4,529	4,623	4,723	4,825	4,909	4,975	5,030	5,075	5,124	5,172
Age 60 thru 64	2,379	2,330	2,276	2,236	2,194	2,151	2,103	2,048	1,988	1,926	1,867	1,809
Age 65 thru 84	7,112	7,144	7,321	7,531	7,714	7,913	8,111	8,328	8,552	8,783	9,027	9,254
Age 85 & Older	1,190	1,195	1,225	1,266	1,305	1,344	1,383	1,424	1,467	1,512	1,558	1,602

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Chase County												
Total Population	3,122	3,129	3,137	3,146	3,152	3,161	3,170	3,179	3,189	3,204	3,210	3,223
Age 0 thru 4	279	289	298	300	300	304	309	313	317	321	323	325
Age 5 thru 9	153	149	144	141	137	134	130	125	121	117	112	108
Age 10 thru 14	152	145	138	132	125	119	113	107	102	96	90	85
Age 15 thru 19	196	192	188	186	183	179	176	171	167	163	158	153
Age 20 thru 24	333	365	403	438	480	522	565	612	663	718	774	834
Age 25 thru 29	127	123	120	120	118	115	112	109	105	102	98	95
Age 30 thru 34	159	158	156	153	151	148	145	142	139	136	132	129
Age 35 thru 39	113	103	95	87	80	74	68	62	57	52	47	43
Age 40 thru 44	281	284	285	287	289	290	291	291	291	290	288	286
Age 45 thru 49	251	253	252	253	251	250	249	248	246	244	241	238
Age 50 thru 54	223	222	221	221	221	222	221	221	219	217	215	212
Age 55 thru 59	214	217	220	221	223	224	225	227	227	227	227	226
Age 60 thru 64	160	161	162	164	165	165	165	164	163	163	161	160
Age 65 thru 84	382	370	357	344	330	317	304	291	278	266	253	240
Age 85 & Older	99	98	98	99	99	98	97	96	94	92	91	89
Chautauqua County												
Total Population	4,012	3,985	3,956	3,927	3,894	3,862	3,832	3,802	3,775	3,747	3,719	3,689
Age 0 thru 4	153	148	144	140	135	130	125	120	115	110	105	100
Age 5 thru 9	144	134	125	116	108	100	93	86	79	73	67	61
Age 10 thru 14	224	213	202	191	181	171	162	152	143	134	126	117
Age 15 thru 19	302	297	291	287	282	278	272	266	259	252	244	236
Age 20 thru 24	434	479	525	568	612	655	703	756	812	871	931	994
Age 25 thru 29	176	179	181	183	184	184	183	183	182	181	179	177
Age 30 thru 34	108	100	94	87	81	75	70	65	60	55	50	46
Age 35 thru 39	160	151	141	133	124	117	109	102	95	88	82	75
Age 40 thru 44	287	283	279	274	268	262	257	250	244	237	230	222
Age 45 thru 49	319	324	328	331	331	331	331	331	330	328	326	323
Age 50 thru 54	243	236	229	224	220	216	210	203	197	190	183	175
Age 55 thru 59	357	366	375	381	389	397	404	410	415	419	422	424
Age 60 thru 64	194	184	173	163	152	141	133	125	117	109	101	94
Age 65 thru 84	717	697	677	658	639	619	597	574	551	528	505	482
Age 85 & Older	194	194	192	191	188	186	183	179	176	172	168	163
Cherokee County												
Total Population	21,511	21,443	21,363	21,267	21,167	21,065	20,969	20,880	20,803	20,733	20,663	20,605
Age 0 thru 4	1,228	1,200	1,176	1,148	1,124	1,101	1,078	1,055	1,032	1,009	987	965
Age 5 thru 9	1,372	1,351	1,329	1,310	1,287	1,264	1,242	1,220	1,198	1,177	1,156	1,136
Age 10 thru 14	1,380	1,350	1,320	1,289	1,256	1,224	1,194	1,165	1,137	1,110	1,082	1,056
Age 15 thru 19	1,469	1,446	1,424	1,399	1,375	1,352	1,329	1,306	1,283	1,261	1,238	1,217
Age 20 thru 24	1,175	1,167	1,149	1,127	1,107	1,085	1,072	1,059	1,046	1,032	1,017	1,002
Age 25 thru 29	1,510	1,538	1,572	1,616	1,652	1,682	1,705	1,730	1,758	1,788	1,819	1,852
Age 30 thru 34	1,017	981	944	906	871	839	808	778	749	721	694	668
Age 35 thru 39	1,308	1,276	1,246	1,215	1,185	1,154	1,124	1,095	1,066	1,039	1,011	985
Age 40 thru 44	1,597	1,592	1,581	1,572	1,564	1,555	1,545	1,534	1,524	1,514	1,504	1,494
Age 45 thru 49	1,694	1,714	1,730	1,738	1,745	1,754	1,763	1,773	1,784	1,795	1,806	1,817
Age 50 thru 54	1,689	1,718	1,741	1,767	1,797	1,828	1,859	1,889	1,919	1,950	1,980	2,010

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Cherokee County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	1,563	1,598	1,639	1,678	1,720	1,758	1,798	1,839	1,881	1,923	1,966	2,011
Age 60 thru 64	1,253	1,272	1,289	1,303	1,313	1,325	1,337	1,350	1,364	1,378	1,392	1,406
Age 65 thru 84	2,727	2,708	2,688	2,663	2,633	2,605	2,575	2,546	2,520	2,493	2,467	2,441
Age 85 & Older	529	532	535	536	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545
Cheyenne County												
Total Population	2,843	2,821	2,797	2,769	2,745	2,716	2,685	2,657	2,629	2,608	2,584	2,559
Age 0 thru 4	97	93	88	82	78	74	70	66	62	58	55	51
Age 5 thru 9	114	107	100	94	89	83	78	72	67	63	58	54
Age 10 thru 14	172	166	160	154	147	140	133	127	121	115	109	103
Age 15 thru 19	196	192	187	182	177	171	167	162	157	152	146	141
Age 20 thru 24	213	232	252	275	297	319	340	363	388	415	443	472
Age 25 thru 29	50	46	42	38	35	32	29	26	24	22	20	18
Age 30 thru 34	85	79	73	67	61	56	52	48	44	40	37	33
Age 35 thru 39	155	151	148	144	142	137	133	128	123	119	115	110
Age 40 thru 44	173	165	159	150	143	137	130	124	117	111	105	99
Age 45 thru 49	272	275	275	274	274	273	272	271	270	268	266	263
Age 50 thru 54	258	265	271	278	286	294	299	304	309	314	318	322
Age 55 thru 59	210	215	219	222	223	223	225	226	226	227	227	227
Age 60 thru 64	163	168	173	177	181	185	186	188	190	192	194	195
Age 65 thru 84	492	470	448	425	401	379	358	338	318	299	280	261
Age 85 & Older	193	197	202	207	211	213	213	214	213	213	211	210
Clark County												
Total Population	2,233	2,222	2,208	2,190	2,176	2,160	2,146	2,130	2,115	2,099	2,086	2,070
Age 0 thru 4	91	87	81	76	72	67	64	60	56	52	49	45
Age 5 thru 9	117	112	106	101	96	92	87	82	78	73	68	64
Age 10 thru 14	159	156	153	150	148	145	141	137	133	129	124	119
Age 15 thru 19	144	138	133	128	122	118	113	108	103	98	93	88
Age 20 thru 24	206	231	255	278	303	328	357	388	421	456	493	532
Age 25 thru 29	64	61	58	55	52	48	46	43	40	37	35	32
Age 30 thru 34	84	81	77	73	69	66	62	59	55	52	49	45
Age 35 thru 39	89	83	78	74	70	66	62	58	54	50	47	43
Age 40 thru 44	146	142	138	133	128	123	118	113	108	103	98	93
Age 45 thru 49	190	191	192	192	191	190	190	188	186	184	181	178
Age 50 thru 54	173	173	172	171	170	170	169	167	165	162	159	156
Age 55 thru 59	186	193	201	208	216	222	227	232	237	241	245	248
Age 60 thru 64	105	103	100	96	92	88	85	82	79	75	72	68
Age 65 thru 84	368	360	352	343	334	324	313	302	291	280	268	256
Age 85 & Older	111	111	112	112	113	113	112	111	109	107	105	103
Clay County												
Total Population	8,438	8,393	8,339	8,294	8,255	8,215	8,175	8,137	8,101	8,072	8,035	7,997
Age 0 thru 4	369	358	346	334	322	311	301	290	280	270	259	249
Age 5 thru 9	360	341	323	306	292	277	263	249	236	223	210	198
Age 10 thru 14	463	442	421	401	380	362	345	328	312	296	280	265
Age 15 thru 19	572	562	551	541	530	519	509	499	488	477	465	453
Age 20 thru 24	737	780	820	858	898	939	981	1,025	1,071	1,119	1,168	1,217

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Clay County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	495	503	515	530	549	562	569	577	585	593	602	611
Age 30 thru 34	370	359	346	335	324	313	301	290	279	269	258	248
Age 35 thru 39	358	339	320	303	288	273	258	244	230	217	204	192
Age 40 thru 44	549	536	522	508	496	483	471	458	445	433	420	406
Age 45 thru 49	656	648	638	627	615	605	597	588	578	568	557	545
Age 50 thru 54	828	853	881	911	941	971	999	1,028	1,056	1,085	1,113	1,140
Age 55 thru 59	647	667	683	700	715	729	745	761	777	793	808	821
Age 60 thru 64	430	432	434	433	433	433	432	430	429	428	426	423
Age 65 thru 84	1,193	1,156	1,117	1,079	1,040	1,003	968	933	898	864	829	795
Age 85 & Older	411	417	422	428	432	435	436	437	437	437	436	434
Cloud County												
Total Population	9,338	9,254	9,168	9,080	8,995	8,902	8,813	8,728	8,647	8,566	8,487	8,402
Age 0 thru 4	517	517	518	518	518	516	513	510	507	504	501	497
Age 5 thru 9	383	366	349	332	316	301	286	272	259	246	233	221
Age 10 thru 14	466	446	427	409	390	372	355	339	324	308	294	279
Age 15 thru 19	756	736	717	698	681	663	646	629	611	593	575	557
Age 20 thru 24	866	896	921	946	970	993	1,018	1,044	1,070	1,095	1,121	1,145
Age 25 thru 29	556	573	591	610	628	645	656	668	682	696	711	725
Age 30 thru 34	286	268	251	234	220	206	193	181	169	158	148	138
Age 35 thru 39	458	440	423	406	390	375	360	345	331	317	303	289
Age 40 thru 44	605	597	588	578	567	554	544	533	522	511	500	489
Age 45 thru 49	696	698	700	701	702	702	701	700	699	698	696	693
Age 50 thru 54	690	691	690	689	690	691	692	692	691	689	687	684
Age 55 thru 59	718	741	767	791	816	838	860	883	907	931	955	978
Age 60 thru 64	436	428	420	412	402	392	384	375	366	358	349	340
Age 65 thru 84	1,506	1,472	1,436	1,399	1,363	1,325	1,288	1,251	1,215	1,179	1,143	1,107
Age 85 & Older	399	385	370	357	342	329	317	306	294	283	271	260
Coffey County												
Total Population	8,728	8,724	8,718	8,709	8,704	8,696	8,684	8,672	8,662	8,653	8,643	8,643
Age 0 thru 4	479	473	466	460	454	447	438	430	420	411	401	391
Age 5 thru 9	425	407	391	372	353	336	320	304	288	273	258	244
Age 10 thru 14	532	516	499	482	467	451	435	419	403	386	370	355
Age 15 thru 19	536	517	496	474	454	434	417	399	382	364	346	329
Age 20 thru 24	840	905	970	1,038	1,104	1,174	1,244	1,319	1,398	1,480	1,566	1,655
Age 25 thru 29	437	435	435	433	431	428	422	416	410	404	397	391
Age 30 thru 34	402	392	381	370	357	345	333	321	309	298	286	275
Age 35 thru 39	392	367	346	327	308	290	273	255	239	223	208	194
Age 40 thru 44	670	660	647	632	617	603	590	576	561	546	530	514
Age 45 thru 49	764	764	763	761	760	755	752	748	742	735	727	719
Age 50 thru 54	890	926	957	991	1,025	1,060	1,094	1,127	1,160	1,192	1,224	1,255
Age 55 thru 59	673	692	716	738	762	785	805	825	845	863	881	899
Age 60 thru 64	493	503	515	525	535	543	549	555	560	566	570	575
Age 65 thru 84	968	946	920	895	870	843	816	788	761	734	707	681
Age 85 & Older	227	221	216	211	207	202	196	190	184	178	172	166

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Comanche County												
Total Population	1,827	1,807	1,784	1,766	1,751	1,735	1,718	1,700	1,682	1,668	1,651	1,633
Age 0 thru 4	54	50	46	42	38	36	33	30	28	26	24	22
Age 5 thru 9	97	95	92	90	88	85	83	80	78	75	73	70
Age 10 thru 14	97	94	90	86	82	78	75	72	68	65	62	59
Age 15 thru 19	122	122	122	123	122	121	121	120	120	119	118	117
Age 20 thru 24	131	141	149	159	171	182	193	206	219	233	248	262
Age 25 thru 29	65	62	60	59	58	56	54	52	50	48	47	45
Age 30 thru 34	103	106	107	109	110	112	112	113	114	115	116	117
Age 35 thru 39	68	63	60	57	54	52	49	46	43	41	38	36
Age 40 thru 44	119	117	114	110	108	104	102	99	96	94	91	88
Age 45 thru 49	134	133	131	130	129	129	127	126	124	123	121	119
Age 50 thru 54	143	141	141	141	141	141	141	140	139	138	136	135
Age 55 thru 59	148	149	150	149	149	149	149	149	149	148	147	146
Age 60 thru 64	127	127	125	124	124	123	122	121	119	118	116	114
Age 65 thru 84	329	318	310	303	295	287	278	269	260	252	243	234
Age 85 & Older	90	89	87	84	82	80	79	77	75	73	71	69
Cowley County												
Total Population	34,694	34,521	34,341	34,158	33,988	33,825	33,662	33,509	33,320	33,157	32,985	32,832
Age 0 thru 4	2,176	2,157	2,140	2,117	2,097	2,074	2,053	2,031	2,007	1,984	1,960	1,936
Age 5 thru 9	1,932	1,871	1,811	1,753	1,700	1,649	1,599	1,549	1,498	1,449	1,400	1,354
Age 10 thru 14	2,232	2,181	2,128	2,072	2,018	1,967	1,918	1,870	1,820	1,772	1,723	1,677
Age 15 thru 19	2,764	2,723	2,685	2,648	2,612	2,577	2,543	2,507	2,467	2,427	2,388	2,349
Age 20 thru 24	3,080	3,169	3,246	3,305	3,370	3,436	3,511	3,590	3,665	3,743	3,819	3,896
Age 25 thru 29	2,028	2,030	2,041	2,043	2,046	2,040	2,032	2,025	2,017	2,010	2,004	1,998
Age 30 thru 34	1,757	1,720	1,684	1,647	1,612	1,575	1,537	1,500	1,463	1,428	1,393	1,358
Age 35 thru 39	1,636	1,556	1,482	1,411	1,345	1,282	1,221	1,162	1,105	1,050	997	948
Age 40 thru 44	2,499	2,473	2,439	2,406	2,371	2,340	2,311	2,281	2,248	2,216	2,182	2,150
Age 45 thru 49	2,727	2,742	2,749	2,758	2,768	2,781	2,791	2,800	2,805	2,812	2,816	2,821
Age 50 thru 54	2,748	2,802	2,859	2,928	2,997	3,060	3,121	3,184	3,242	3,304	3,365	3,428
Age 55 thru 59	2,292	2,337	2,387	2,435	2,476	2,527	2,573	2,620	2,664	2,710	2,755	2,801
Age 60 thru 64	1,733	1,747	1,760	1,778	1,794	1,804	1,813	1,823	1,831	1,840	1,849	1,858
Age 65 thru 84	4,107	4,027	3,943	3,867	3,791	3,718	3,644	3,572	3,494	3,420	3,344	3,270
Age 85 & Older	983	986	987	990	991	995	995	995	994	992	990	988
Crawford County												
Total Population	38,938	39,009	39,075	39,150	39,229	39,297	39,368	39,444	39,542	39,624	39,723	39,823
Age 0 thru 4	2,533	2,533	2,527	2,520	2,510	2,500	2,495	2,488	2,480	2,468	2,455	2,439
Age 5 thru 9	2,190	2,175	2,164	2,150	2,138	2,119	2,097	2,076	2,055	2,032	2,009	1,986
Age 10 thru 14	1,881	1,831	1,781	1,731	1,682	1,635	1,588	1,542	1,497	1,451	1,406	1,361
Age 15 thru 19	2,657	2,582	2,515	2,453	2,394	2,337	2,282	2,223	2,164	2,103	2,043	1,984
Age 20 thru 24	5,239	5,304	5,332	5,335	5,345	5,364	5,410	5,450	5,485	5,511	5,531	5,544
Age 25 thru 29	4,335	4,578	4,855	5,174	5,473	5,761	6,031	6,321	6,634	6,965	7,317	7,687
Age 30 thru 34	2,035	2,014	1,988	1,961	1,932	1,904	1,873	1,843	1,814	1,784	1,754	1,723
Age 35 thru 39	1,781	1,727	1,675	1,626	1,576	1,528	1,479	1,430	1,383	1,336	1,290	1,245
Age 40 thru 44	2,093	2,046	1,997	1,947	1,904	1,861	1,818	1,774	1,731	1,686	1,642	1,597
Age 45 thru 49	2,584	2,594	2,596	2,597	2,598	2,597	2,600	2,601	2,601	2,597	2,592	2,585
Age 50 thru 54	2,430	2,448	2,465	2,489	2,511	2,537	2,562	2,583	2,602	2,618	2,633	2,646

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Crawford County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	2,302	2,361	2,426	2,485	2,550	2,619	2,683	2,747	2,812	2,875	2,939	3,002
Age 60 thru 64	1,613	1,625	1,638	1,641	1,641	1,638	1,640	1,642	1,644	1,644	1,644	1,642
Age 65 thru 84	3,993	3,907	3,824	3,740	3,664	3,580	3,490	3,402	3,316	3,229	3,144	3,059
Age 85 & Older	1,272	1,284	1,292	1,301	1,311	1,317	1,320	1,322	1,324	1,325	1,324	1,323
Decatur County												
Total Population	2,941	2,894	2,847	2,796	2,742	2,692	2,640	2,586	2,533	2,480	2,430	2,380
Age 0 thru 4	114	109	104	99	94	90	85	80	75	71	66	62
Age 5 thru 9	102	94	87	80	74	67	61	56	51	46	42	38
Age 10 thru 14	148	139	130	120	111	103	95	88	81	75	68	63
Age 15 thru 19	231	227	221	216	210	204	199	193	187	180	173	166
Age 20 thru 24	228	248	270	290	310	331	351	371	393	416	439	463
Age 25 thru 29	52	47	43	39	35	31	28	25	22	20	18	16
Age 30 thru 34	67	61	55	49	45	40	36	33	29	26	23	21
Age 35 thru 39	125	117	109	102	94	87	81	75	69	63	58	53
Age 40 thru 44	187	177	167	157	147	139	131	123	115	107	100	93
Age 45 thru 49	283	284	282	280	276	273	270	266	261	256	251	244
Age 50 thru 54	297	306	314	323	329	335	340	345	349	352	355	357
Age 55 thru 59	185	183	184	183	182	182	179	176	173	169	166	162
Age 60 thru 64	180	181	181	181	181	180	178	175	173	170	167	164
Age 65 thru 84	592	573	553	533	512	491	470	448	427	405	384	363
Age 85 & Older	150	148	147	144	142	139	136	132	128	124	120	115
Dickinson County												
Total Population	19,238	19,217	19,189	19,166	19,156	19,145	19,129	19,131	19,128	19,123	19,120	19,114
Age 0 thru 4	971	954	937	920	904	889	872	855	838	821	803	785
Age 5 thru 9	945	910	876	844	816	787	758	731	703	676	649	623
Age 10 thru 14	1,150	1,113	1,073	1,036	1,000	966	933	901	869	837	806	775
Age 15 thru 19	1,393	1,385	1,368	1,348	1,331	1,315	1,302	1,288	1,273	1,256	1,238	1,219
Age 20 thru 24	1,473	1,556	1,656	1,751	1,844	1,935	2,028	2,129	2,235	2,347	2,463	2,584
Age 25 thru 29	1,048	1,058	1,073	1,085	1,094	1,106	1,109	1,113	1,118	1,123	1,127	1,131
Age 30 thru 34	807	782	756	729	701	676	652	629	606	583	561	539
Age 35 thru 39	915	867	824	788	753	717	683	650	618	586	556	527
Age 40 thru 44	1,522	1,508	1,486	1,461	1,443	1,429	1,414	1,398	1,380	1,361	1,340	1,318
Age 45 thru 49	1,738	1,766	1,790	1,819	1,849	1,876	1,901	1,927	1,953	1,976	1,998	2,019
Age 50 thru 54	1,621	1,669	1,713	1,758	1,800	1,839	1,884	1,931	1,976	2,021	2,066	2,109
Age 55 thru 59	1,314	1,342	1,373	1,403	1,438	1,475	1,505	1,536	1,566	1,596	1,625	1,654
Age 60 thru 64	961	962	961	959	954	947	943	940	935	930	924	917
Age 65 thru 84	2,812	2,782	2,746	2,710	2,673	2,635	2,597	2,560	2,521	2,479	2,438	2,394
Age 85 & Older	568	563	557	555	556	553	548	543	537	531	526	520
Doniphan County												
Total Population	7,527	7,446	7,374	7,319	7,267	7,219	7,179	7,145	7,115	7,091	7,067	7,040
Age 0 thru 4	348	334	321	309	299	289	279	269	260	251	243	234
Age 5 thru 9	443	433	423	416	410	404	399	396	392	388	385	381
Age 10 thru 14	494	486	479	474	470	465	462	460	458	457	456	454
Age 15 thru 19	743	737	732	730	731	735	738	743	748	753	758	762
Age 20 thru 24	452	438	426	412	396	379	364	350	338	329	320	313

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Doniphan County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	426	428	431	436	437	437	438	441	444	448	452	455
Age 30 thru 34	364	358	352	346	340	334	328	323	319	316	311	307
Age 35 thru 39	387	377	368	361	354	346	338	330	324	319	312	306
Age 40 thru 44	454	441	428	417	406	395	386	378	370	363	355	347
Age 45 thru 49	647	640	629	620	612	605	599	590	580	570	559	548
Age 50 thru 54	655	656	653	651	650	652	653	652	650	646	642	637
Age 55 thru 59	556	568	575	581	590	598	607	615	622	627	633	638
Age 60 thru 64	337	333	328	323	318	313	308	302	296	289	283	277
Age 65 thru 84	996	989	996	1,004	1,009	1,016	1,023	1,032	1,043	1,056	1,070	1,084
Age 85 & Older	225	228	233	239	245	251	257	264	271	279	288	297
Douglas County												
Total Population	107,276	107,932	108,964	109,957	110,741	111,444	112,048	112,644	113,250	113,841	114,446	115,000
Age 0 thru 4	6,099	6,140	6,195	6,240	6,264	6,276	6,276	6,271	6,264	6,255	6,248	6,240
Age 5 thru 9	4,946	4,932	4,929	4,938	4,952	4,964	4,973	4,990	5,005	5,011	5,016	5,014
Age 10 thru 14	4,901	4,865	4,840	4,813	4,788	4,766	4,755	4,750	4,740	4,722	4,701	4,674
Age 15 thru 19	10,033	10,086	10,209	10,354	10,490	10,621	10,740	10,878	11,012	11,133	11,252	11,363
Age 20 thru 24	16,526	16,565	16,672	16,758	16,771	16,748	16,739	16,712	16,730	16,796	16,874	16,960
Age 25 thru 29	15,151	15,792	16,515	17,243	17,909	18,515	19,067	19,661	20,273	20,885	21,460	22,018
Age 30 thru 34	6,833	6,742	6,671	6,585	6,472	6,336	6,204	6,079	5,953	5,819	5,671	5,515
Age 35 thru 39	5,120	4,913	4,740	4,578	4,408	4,241	4,061	3,889	3,719	3,551	3,380	3,213
Age 40 thru 44	5,581	5,408	5,256	5,088	4,909	4,729	4,568	4,410	4,249	4,085	3,914	3,744
Age 45 thru 49	6,430	6,312	6,147	5,976	5,817	5,673	5,523	5,342	5,148	4,947	4,756	4,571
Age 50 thru 54	6,997	7,057	7,024	7,013	7,018	7,034	7,034	6,989	6,917	6,825	6,737	6,647
Age 55 thru 59	6,184	6,429	6,631	6,795	6,972	7,156	7,321	7,451	7,563	7,659	7,763	7,864
Age 60 thru 64	3,368	3,398	3,409	3,416	3,415	3,409	3,388	3,352	3,308	3,258	3,212	3,166
Age 65 thru 84	7,811	7,959	8,319	8,678	9,004	9,349	9,698	10,086	10,497	10,929	11,395	11,844
Age 85 & Older	1,296	1,334	1,407	1,482	1,552	1,627	1,701	1,784	1,872	1,966	2,067	2,167
Edwards County												
Total Population	3,134	3,102	3,069	3,038	3,009	2,976	2,946	2,915	2,887	2,861	2,831	2,802
Age 0 thru 4	196	194	192	190	187	185	183	180	177	175	172	168
Age 5 thru 9	167	162	157	151	146	140	136	131	126	121	116	112
Age 10 thru 14	171	163	156	150	144	136	130	124	118	112	106	101
Age 15 thru 19	220	215	209	203	198	193	188	184	179	174	169	163
Age 20 thru 24	231	244	258	269	284	296	309	322	336	351	366	381
Age 25 thru 29	95	89	83	79	74	71	66	62	59	55	51	48
Age 30 thru 34	145	141	137	134	130	125	121	117	113	109	105	102
Age 35 thru 39	171	165	160	156	150	145	140	135	130	125	120	116
Age 40 thru 44	230	224	217	210	204	197	192	186	181	175	169	163
Age 45 thru 49	302	306	310	314	319	323	327	330	334	337	340	342
Age 50 thru 54	282	292	302	312	320	329	337	345	354	363	372	380
Age 55 thru 59	201	204	206	208	211	213	214	216	217	219	220	220
Age 60 thru 64	145	141	136	132	128	125	121	117	113	109	105	102
Age 65 thru 84	450	433	417	401	386	371	356	342	327	314	300	286
Age 85 & Older	128	129	129	129	128	127	126	124	123	122	120	118

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Elk County												
Total Population	3,004	2,988	2,965	2,942	2,912	2,886	2,863	2,842	2,819	2,796	2,775	2,754
Age 0 thru 4	123	122	119	115	112	109	106	103	100	97	94	91
Age 5 thru 9	101	95	90	84	79	74	70	65	61	57	53	49
Age 10 thru 14	149	141	133	126	118	112	106	101	95	89	84	79
Age 15 thru 19	219	218	216	213	208	204	201	198	195	191	187	183
Age 20 thru 24	224	236	246	256	264	273	283	294	304	315	325	335
Age 25 thru 29	238	256	275	294	315	336	354	374	395	418	442	467
Age 30 thru 34	106	103	99	95	91	87	84	80	77	73	70	67
Age 35 thru 39	117	111	104	99	94	89	84	79	75	70	66	62
Age 40 thru 44	201	199	198	197	194	191	188	186	183	180	176	173
Age 45 thru 49	218	216	212	207	203	198	194	190	186	182	177	172
Age 50 thru 54	222	221	219	218	217	216	214	212	210	207	205	202
Age 55 thru 59	234	236	238	239	237	236	237	237	236	235	234	232
Age 60 thru 64	197	196	195	197	197	197	195	194	192	190	188	186
Age 65 thru 84	531	518	505	491	477	462	448	434	419	405	391	376
Age 85 & Older	124	120	116	111	106	102	99	95	91	87	83	80
Ellis County												
Total Population	26,939	26,925	26,908	26,856	26,797	26,739	26,692	26,644	26,602	26,559	26,529	26,511
Age 0 thru 4	1,621	1,618	1,617	1,610	1,599	1,584	1,570	1,555	1,540	1,523	1,505	1,487
Age 5 thru 9	1,204	1,173	1,142	1,107	1,071	1,039	1,003	969	935	901	869	837
Age 10 thru 14	1,020	959	904	850	800	752	707	663	622	582	544	508
Age 15 thru 19	1,898	1,825	1,758	1,691	1,625	1,563	1,504	1,444	1,384	1,325	1,267	1,211
Age 20 thru 24	4,219	4,263	4,265	4,251	4,240	4,257	4,276	4,288	4,293	4,289	4,280	4,267
Age 25 thru 29	3,077	3,256	3,458	3,661	3,860	4,025	4,194	4,374	4,565	4,766	4,978	5,200
Age 30 thru 34	1,150	1,117	1,083	1,049	1,014	974	937	901	866	833	800	768
Age 35 thru 39	1,082	1,025	972	922	871	825	779	734	692	651	612	575
Age 40 thru 44	1,379	1,326	1,273	1,221	1,173	1,124	1,079	1,034	989	945	902	860
Age 45 thru 49	1,851	1,832	1,805	1,775	1,746	1,720	1,698	1,673	1,645	1,615	1,584	1,552
Age 50 thru 54	2,162	2,237	2,316	2,393	2,469	2,548	2,623	2,698	2,773	2,847	2,922	2,997
Age 55 thru 59	1,585	1,643	1,704	1,764	1,818	1,872	1,923	1,975	2,027	2,080	2,134	2,188
Age 60 thru 64	875	864	854	842	830	816	801	786	771	755	739	723
Age 65 thru 84	3,187	3,158	3,128	3,094	3,058	3,020	2,979	2,934	2,888	2,840	2,791	2,742
Age 85 & Older	629	629	629	626	623	620	619	616	612	607	602	596
Ellsworth County												
Total Population	6,245	6,225	6,204	6,182	6,160	6,138	6,111	6,089	6,067	6,046	6,024	6,006
Age 0 thru 4	190	183	176	168	159	151	144	137	131	125	118	112
Age 5 thru 9	213	202	193	184	176	168	160	152	144	136	129	122
Age 10 thru 14	271	256	243	229	217	204	193	182	172	162	152	143
Age 15 thru 19	425	418	408	399	389	380	372	364	355	346	336	326
Age 20 thru 24	613	651	688	727	766	802	838	878	919	962	1,007	1,052
Age 25 thru 29	289	283	277	272	265	258	251	244	236	229	222	215
Age 30 thru 34	328	324	321	317	312	306	299	292	286	279	273	267
Age 35 thru 39	339	325	311	297	286	277	265	253	242	231	220	210
Age 40 thru 44	407	391	376	361	347	334	322	310	297	285	272	260
Age 45 thru 49	653	665	674	682	690	696	704	712	719	725	730	735
Age 50 thru 54	567	582	596	611	625	640	655	669	683	696	709	721

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Ellsworth County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	480	495	512	526	540	557	570	584	598	612	625	639
Age 60 thru 64	338	341	341	340	338	336	334	333	331	329	327	324
Age 65 thru 84	915	898	882	866	849	832	811	791	771	751	731	711
Age 85 & Older	217	211	206	203	201	197	193	188	183	178	173	169
Finney County												
Total Population	40,693	40,974	41,236	41,463	41,638	41,757	41,833	41,940	42,113	42,314	42,522	42,762
Age 0 thru 4	3,613	3,561	3,500	3,437	3,374	3,307	3,239	3,170	3,103	3,035	2,965	2,897
Age 5 thru 9	3,774	3,761	3,746	3,724	3,693	3,652	3,611	3,570	3,530	3,489	3,446	3,402
Age 10 thru 14	3,605	3,613	3,622	3,616	3,606	3,589	3,563	3,536	3,513	3,489	3,463	3,437
Age 15 thru 19	3,340	3,328	3,316	3,302	3,278	3,246	3,211	3,174	3,139	3,103	3,065	3,028
Age 20 thru 24	2,213	2,145	2,078	2,024	1,970	1,909	1,848	1,787	1,729	1,671	1,614	1,558
Age 25 thru 29	1,678	1,576	1,479	1,386	1,298	1,218	1,140	1,065	996	930	868	810
Age 30 thru 34	2,216	2,134	2,050	1,968	1,885	1,799	1,722	1,648	1,577	1,507	1,439	1,373
Age 35 thru 39	2,950	2,930	2,897	2,867	2,835	2,796	2,748	2,702	2,658	2,614	2,569	2,525
Age 40 thru 44	3,415	3,468	3,521	3,567	3,601	3,629	3,650	3,672	3,695	3,719	3,740	3,761
Age 45 thru 49	3,274	3,369	3,455	3,533	3,598	3,663	3,724	3,786	3,852	3,919	3,983	4,047
Age 50 thru 54	3,227	3,411	3,598	3,782	3,969	4,155	4,341	4,537	4,744	4,962	5,186	5,418
Age 55 thru 59	2,568	2,735	2,911	3,085	3,265	3,447	3,621	3,806	4,007	4,219	4,441	4,674
Age 60 thru 64	1,631	1,710	1,788	1,866	1,938	2,006	2,072	2,141	2,217	2,295	2,375	2,457
Age 65 thru 84	2,748	2,781	2,812	2,833	2,846	2,852	2,849	2,846	2,847	2,849	2,849	2,849
Age 85 & Older	441	452	463	473	482	489	494	500	506	513	519	526
Ford County												
Total Population	35,812	36,182	36,545	36,921	37,274	37,628	37,964	38,330	38,724	39,104	39,481	39,863
Age 0 thru 4	3,580	3,639	3,704	3,766	3,831	3,892	3,954	4,019	4,085	4,150	4,213	4,277
Age 5 thru 9	3,051	3,073	3,095	3,119	3,142	3,167	3,189	3,212	3,236	3,257	3,277	3,296
Age 10 thru 14	2,933	2,965	2,992	3,020	3,043	3,064	3,086	3,111	3,137	3,161	3,184	3,207
Age 15 thru 19	2,577	2,567	2,564	2,563	2,562	2,560	2,554	2,547	2,540	2,532	2,523	2,515
Age 20 thru 24	2,434	2,418	2,402	2,386	2,356	2,335	2,322	2,309	2,296	2,280	2,261	2,242
Age 25 thru 29	2,803	2,830	2,859	2,892	2,922	2,947	2,968	2,992	3,017	3,041	3,065	3,089
Age 30 thru 34	2,609	2,619	2,628	2,638	2,646	2,649	2,656	2,664	2,674	2,681	2,687	2,692
Age 35 thru 39	2,522	2,538	2,552	2,573	2,588	2,604	2,612	2,622	2,634	2,645	2,656	2,666
Age 40 thru 44	2,550	2,588	2,627	2,661	2,693	2,725	2,755	2,787	2,821	2,854	2,887	2,920
Age 45 thru 49	2,224	2,255	2,277	2,299	2,325	2,348	2,373	2,398	2,425	2,450	2,475	2,498
Age 50 thru 54	2,324	2,412	2,498	2,589	2,680	2,780	2,877	2,980	3,087	3,197	3,309	3,424
Age 55 thru 59	1,818	1,903	1,994	2,082	2,174	2,270	2,361	2,459	2,564	2,672	2,786	2,904
Age 60 thru 64	1,149	1,166	1,179	1,194	1,206	1,216	1,225	1,236	1,249	1,261	1,272	1,284
Age 65 thru 84	2,734	2,708	2,678	2,647	2,617	2,586	2,550	2,516	2,484	2,452	2,420	2,387
Age 85 & Older	504	501	496	492	489	485	482	478	475	471	466	462
Franklin County												
Total Population	27,276	27,344	27,423	27,519	27,607	27,671	27,694	27,709	27,744	27,787	27,851	27,903
Age 0 thru 4	1,683	1,671	1,656	1,636	1,616	1,594	1,571	1,547	1,523	1,499	1,476	1,453
Age 5 thru 9	1,541	1,505	1,476	1,453	1,435	1,415	1,393	1,372	1,351	1,329	1,308	1,285
Age 10 thru 14	1,727	1,691	1,660	1,629	1,599	1,571	1,547	1,524	1,501	1,476	1,452	1,425
Age 15 thru 19	2,105	2,112	2,117	2,128	2,144	2,166	2,183	2,202	2,219	2,235	2,252	2,265
Age 20 thru 24	2,198	2,231	2,252	2,261	2,251	2,228	2,210	2,189	2,182	2,189	2,202	2,221

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Franklin County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	2,302	2,414	2,540	2,678	2,823	2,952	3,065	3,190	3,329	3,477	3,629	3,787
Age 30 thru 34	1,543	1,544	1,544	1,547	1,543	1,536	1,526	1,519	1,515	1,510	1,503	1,494
Age 35 thru 39	1,435	1,391	1,350	1,315	1,285	1,251	1,215	1,181	1,148	1,115	1,081	1,048
Age 40 thru 44	2,116	2,121	2,130	2,135	2,136	2,139	2,143	2,147	2,153	2,156	2,156	2,153
Age 45 thru 49	2,245	2,257	2,246	2,240	2,234	2,224	2,212	2,190	2,163	2,132	2,103	2,072
Age 50 thru 54	1,897	1,905	1,890	1,881	1,876	1,877	1,870	1,854	1,833	1,808	1,783	1,757
Age 55 thru 59	1,497	1,490	1,478	1,460	1,449	1,440	1,425	1,403	1,378	1,350	1,323	1,296
Age 60 thru 64	1,253	1,252	1,239	1,229	1,217	1,204	1,190	1,170	1,149	1,125	1,103	1,079
Age 65 thru 84	3,091	3,108	3,174	3,237	3,292	3,351	3,403	3,461	3,521	3,586	3,658	3,724
Age 85 & Older	643	652	671	690	707	723	741	760	779	800	822	844
Geary County												
Total Population	21,844	21,184	20,700	20,231	19,702	19,136	18,565	17,991	17,427	16,851	16,297	15,746
Age 0 thru 4	2,685	2,656	2,650	2,640	2,619	2,587	2,545	2,503	2,460	2,413	2,366	2,317
Age 5 thru 9	1,747	1,681	1,628	1,577	1,521	1,460	1,402	1,343	1,286	1,228	1,172	1,117
Age 10 thru 14	1,428	1,358	1,301	1,247	1,191	1,135	1,080	1,025	972	919	869	820
Age 15 thru 19	1,286	1,210	1,155	1,103	1,049	994	940	888	836	786	738	692
Age 20 thru 24	1,356	1,245	1,144	1,048	959	881	809	741	678	618	562	510
Age 25 thru 29	1,804	1,744	1,705	1,669	1,617	1,561	1,501	1,442	1,385	1,328	1,273	1,219
Age 30 thru 34	1,335	1,276	1,227	1,179	1,125	1,072	1,018	966	916	867	820	775
Age 35 thru 39	1,168	1,090	1,025	963	903	846	790	736	685	635	589	546
Age 40 thru 44	1,442	1,386	1,336	1,287	1,235	1,179	1,130	1,080	1,031	981	933	886
Age 45 thru 49	1,527	1,498	1,476	1,452	1,426	1,395	1,365	1,333	1,300	1,265	1,229	1,193
Age 50 thru 54	1,643	1,670	1,705	1,744	1,774	1,800	1,822	1,841	1,859	1,872	1,886	1,896
Age 55 thru 59	1,032	1,022	1,022	1,018	1,011	999	988	975	960	944	928	910
Age 60 thru 64	778	763	753	746	734	719	702	685	668	650	632	614
Age 65 thru 84	2,193	2,158	2,136	2,111	2,083	2,047	2,007	1,964	1,920	1,872	1,826	1,777
Age 85 & Older	420	427	437	447	455	461	466	469	471	473	474	474
Gove County												
Total Population	2,597	2,557	2,521	2,479	2,435	2,391	2,349	2,304	2,260	2,218	2,180	2,138
Age 0 thru 4	125	120	115	110	105	100	95	90	85	81	76	71
Age 5 thru 9	126	118	110	103	96	89	83	77	71	66	61	56
Age 10 thru 14	168	161	154	146	139	132	125	119	112	105	99	93
Age 15 thru 19	172	165	158	151	145	139	132	126	120	113	107	101
Age 20 thru 24	211	229	253	273	293	314	334	354	377	401	426	452
Age 25 thru 29	40	36	32	28	25	22	20	18	15	14	12	11
Age 30 thru 34	59	54	50	47	44	40	37	34	31	28	26	23
Age 35 thru 39	70	62	56	49	44	39	35	31	27	24	21	18
Age 40 thru 44	177	170	161	153	146	139	132	126	119	112	106	99
Age 45 thru 49	247	248	247	246	243	241	239	235	232	228	224	219
Age 50 thru 54	243	246	248	249	250	250	250	249	247	245	243	240
Age 55 thru 59	207	212	216	221	224	227	229	230	231	232	232	232
Age 60 thru 64	130	127	125	122	118	114	110	106	102	98	94	90
Age 65 thru 84	508	498	487	475	461	446	432	416	401	385	370	354
Age 85 & Older	114	111	109	106	102	99	96	93	90	86	83	79

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Graham County												
Total Population	2,459	2,408	2,356	2,304	2,250	2,200	2,153	2,104	2,052	2,001	1,952	1,900
Age 0 thru 4	73	68	64	60	56	52	49	45	42	38	35	32
Age 5 thru 9	83	76	70	65	59	55	50	46	42	38	34	31
Age 10 thru 14	103	95	88	80	73	66	60	55	50	45	41	37
Age 15 thru 19	142	134	125	118	110	104	98	92	85	79	74	68
Age 20 thru 24	208	221	233	244	254	266	277	288	299	310	320	330
Age 25 thru 29	89	88	88	86	83	80	78	75	73	70	67	65
Age 30 thru 34	48	43	39	35	31	27	24	22	19	17	15	13
Age 35 thru 39	72	63	55	48	43	38	33	29	26	22	19	17
Age 40 thru 44	239	237	232	226	219	212	207	201	195	188	182	174
Age 45 thru 49	206	201	195	188	182	177	171	165	158	152	145	138
Age 50 thru 54	260	271	281	293	305	313	321	328	335	342	348	354
Age 55 thru 59	123	117	112	107	102	97	92	87	82	77	73	68
Age 60 thru 64	135	128	121	114	107	101	96	90	84	79	74	68
Age 65 thru 84	578	570	560	550	539	528	516	503	488	473	458	441
Age 85 & Older	100	96	93	90	87	84	81	78	74	71	67	64
Grant County												
Total Population	7,585	7,574	7,563	7,538	7,500	7,468	7,443	7,421	7,387	7,362	7,342	7,331
Age 0 thru 4	654	649	645	639	629	619	611	602	592	582	573	563
Age 5 thru 9	523	507	491	475	458	442	426	411	395	380	365	351
Age 10 thru 14	545	527	509	490	472	454	437	420	403	386	370	355
Age 15 thru 19	595	583	571	556	540	526	513	499	485	471	457	443
Age 20 thru 24	646	667	689	707	728	747	765	785	803	823	842	862
Age 25 thru 29	209	190	172	154	137	123	112	101	91	82	73	66
Age 30 thru 34	432	423	416	407	399	392	382	373	363	353	344	335
Age 35 thru 39	371	351	331	312	293	276	260	245	230	216	202	190
Age 40 thru 44	629	630	628	625	617	609	604	599	592	585	578	572
Age 45 thru 49	617	618	618	614	609	606	604	601	596	591	587	581
Age 50 thru 54	690	716	742	768	796	820	845	871	895	920	946	973
Age 55 thru 59	538	564	594	626	658	688	715	743	771	802	834	869
Age 60 thru 64	350	363	371	380	385	393	401	408	415	422	429	436
Age 65 thru 84	696	695	694	692	685	679	673	668	661	654	647	640
Age 85 & Older	90	91	92	93	94	94	95	95	95	95	95	95
Gray County												
Total Population	6,027	6,059	6,088	6,115	6,138	6,155	6,174	6,190	6,201	6,217	6,233	6,256
Age 0 thru 4	468	470	470	468	466	463	461	459	455	452	448	444
Age 5 thru 9	410	401	390	380	370	360	350	340	330	320	310	300
Age 10 thru 14	452	443	435	427	419	409	400	390	380	370	360	351
Age 15 thru 19	455	450	443	436	429	422	416	408	400	392	384	376
Age 20 thru 24	510	532	560	587	612	637	662	688	714	741	769	798
Age 25 thru 29	356	354	353	350	346	341	337	334	329	325	320	315
Age 30 thru 34	317	311	303	295	289	282	275	267	259	252	244	237
Age 35 thru 39	311	302	293	286	279	270	261	252	243	234	225	217
Age 40 thru 44	396	393	386	378	369	360	353	347	339	331	324	316
Age 45 thru 49	448	451	454	455	456	460	462	462	462	462	461	461
Age 50 thru 54	484	503	520	540	557	574	592	610	628	645	663	681

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Gray County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	398	412	430	446	462	478	493	509	524	539	555	571
Age 60 thru 64	332	353	375	399	424	447	469	492	517	543	570	600
Age 65 thru 84	569	566	561	556	550	544	537	529	521	513	505	497
Age 85 & Older	121	118	115	112	110	108	106	103	100	98	95	92
Greeley County												
Total Population	1,218	1,184	1,153	1,124	1,092	1,064	1,032	997	965	935	904	873
Age 0 thru 4	36	32	28	25	22	19	17	15	13	11	10	8
Age 5 thru 9	70	66	62	59	55	52	48	45	41	38	35	33
Age 10 thru 14	77	71	67	62	58	54	50	46	42	39	36	33
Age 15 thru 19	99	96	93	90	87	84	81	78	74	71	68	64
Age 20 thru 24	69	68	67	67	66	65	64	62	61	59	58	56
Age 25 thru 29	34	31	29	28	27	25	23	21	19	18	16	15
Age 30 thru 34	27	25	22	20	18	16	14	12	11	10	8	7
Age 35 thru 39	45	39	34	30	26	23	20	18	15	13	12	10
Age 40 thru 44	118	114	111	106	102	99	95	91	87	83	78	74
Age 45 thru 49	159	164	167	168	170	171	173	173	174	175	175	175
Age 50 thru 54	119	121	124	127	129	131	132	133	134	135	135	135
Age 55 thru 59	79	79	80	81	81	81	80	79	78	77	76	75
Age 60 thru 64	69	70	69	69	68	68	67	66	65	63	62	61
Age 65 thru 84	190	183	177	170	163	157	150	142	136	129	122	115
Age 85 & Older	27	25	23	22	20	19	18	16	15	14	13	12
Greenwood County												
Total Population	7,141	7,099	7,044	6,995	6,938	6,886	6,831	6,772	6,717	6,660	6,605	6,554
Age 0 thru 4	337	328	319	311	303	294	286	278	270	261	253	245
Age 5 thru 9	353	343	332	321	309	298	287	277	267	257	247	237
Age 10 thru 14	368	352	337	323	309	296	283	270	258	246	234	222
Age 15 thru 19	452	441	428	416	404	393	382	371	360	348	337	326
Age 20 thru 24	492	512	527	541	552	563	579	593	608	623	637	652
Age 25 thru 29	548	573	596	621	650	677	698	720	743	768	793	820
Age 30 thru 34	295	290	283	276	268	262	254	247	240	233	226	219
Age 35 thru 39	259	241	224	209	195	182	170	158	147	137	127	117
Age 40 thru 44	517	511	501	491	481	472	463	454	445	435	426	416
Age 45 thru 49	560	563	567	569	571	574	575	575	575	574	573	572
Age 50 thru 54	560	562	563	567	572	576	579	581	582	583	583	583
Age 55 thru 59	564	579	595	608	620	630	642	653	664	675	686	697
Age 60 thru 64	400	401	401	402	400	399	397	394	392	389	386	384
Age 65 thru 84	1,159	1,129	1,101	1,074	1,044	1,017	987	957	927	898	869	841
Age 85 & Older	277	274	270	266	260	253	249	244	239	233	228	223
Hamilton County												
Total Population	2,687	2,702	2,717	2,729	2,735	2,738	2,742	2,747	2,750	2,756	2,762	2,774
Age 0 thru 4	215	219	223	225	227	228	230	232	234	235	236	237
Age 5 thru 9	147	143	140	137	134	130	126	122	118	114	110	107
Age 10 thru 14	155	148	142	136	129	124	118	113	107	102	97	92
Age 15 thru 19	227	229	230	230	231	231	232	232	231	230	229	228
Age 20 thru 24	245	263	279	298	318	339	361	384	408	432	458	485

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Hamilton County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	123	120	117	114	110	106	102	99	95	92	88	85
Age 30 thru 34	152	154	155	155	154	153	151	150	149	148	147	146
Age 35 thru 39	118	112	107	101	96	91	86	82	77	73	68	64
Age 40 thru 44	180	179	178	175	173	171	168	166	163	160	157	154
Age 45 thru 49	222	225	228	233	236	237	239	240	241	242	243	244
Age 50 thru 54	227	239	250	262	274	285	295	305	316	327	339	351
Age 55 thru 59	142	145	150	152	154	157	159	160	162	163	165	167
Age 60 thru 64	108	107	105	103	100	97	95	93	90	88	85	83
Age 65 thru 84	325	317	310	304	296	287	278	268	259	250	241	233
Age 85 & Older	101	102	103	104	103	102	102	101	100	100	99	98
Harper County												
Total Population	5,762	5,696	5,632	5,564	5,493	5,418	5,346	5,274	5,204	5,130	5,062	4,992
Age 0 thru 4	288	279	272	265	257	249	241	233	226	218	210	202
Age 5 thru 9	290	279	269	259	250	240	230	221	211	202	193	185
Age 10 thru 14	304	288	274	260	247	234	222	210	199	187	177	166
Age 15 thru 19	390	381	369	356	344	333	324	314	304	294	283	273
Age 20 thru 24	397	410	420	430	436	444	453	462	470	478	486	493
Age 25 thru 29	366	380	395	411	428	439	449	459	471	482	494	507
Age 30 thru 34	167	156	145	135	125	116	108	100	93	86	80	74
Age 35 thru 39	243	231	219	209	199	188	178	168	158	149	141	132
Age 40 thru 44	385	376	364	353	342	332	322	312	302	291	281	271
Age 45 thru 49	451	446	442	435	428	423	417	411	405	397	390	382
Age 50 thru 54	542	558	572	588	605	618	632	645	658	670	683	695
Age 55 thru 59	429	439	454	464	473	481	489	497	504	512	519	526
Age 60 thru 64	285	278	271	263	255	248	240	233	226	218	211	204
Age 65 thru 84	983	958	934	909	883	857	830	804	778	752	726	700
Age 85 & Older	242	237	232	227	221	216	211	205	199	194	188	182
Harvey County												
Total Population	34,823	34,629	34,659	34,920	35,138	35,347	35,527	35,702	35,885	36,082	36,292	36,491
Age 0 thru 4	2,212	2,195	2,191	2,196	2,198	2,197	2,193	2,187	2,180	2,171	2,163	2,154
Age 5 thru 9	2,035	1,999	1,976	1,972	1,969	1,966	1,960	1,956	1,952	1,945	1,937	1,927
Age 10 thru 14	2,078	2,023	1,984	1,959	1,936	1,911	1,889	1,869	1,848	1,825	1,801	1,775
Age 15 thru 19	2,594	2,570	2,554	2,563	2,574	2,591	2,604	2,620	2,633	2,643	2,651	2,657
Age 20 thru 24	2,694	2,710	2,753	2,803	2,834	2,851	2,869	2,882	2,912	2,965	3,024	3,089
Age 25 thru 29	2,392	2,460	2,551	2,657	2,756	2,842	2,926	3,019	3,118	3,221	3,322	3,423
Age 30 thru 34	1,720	1,693	1,677	1,669	1,660	1,647	1,630	1,617	1,604	1,591	1,574	1,556
Age 35 thru 39	1,805	1,751	1,709	1,683	1,651	1,618	1,583	1,550	1,518	1,485	1,449	1,414
Age 40 thru 44	2,344	2,312	2,294	2,292	2,281	2,272	2,263	2,255	2,247	2,237	2,220	2,202
Age 45 thru 49	2,683	2,636	2,573	2,526	2,490	2,457	2,421	2,372	2,318	2,261	2,206	2,152
Age 50 thru 54	2,665	2,666	2,650	2,662	2,671	2,685	2,695	2,691	2,679	2,662	2,647	2,631
Age 55 thru 59	2,160	2,177	2,191	2,208	2,230	2,251	2,267	2,274	2,275	2,273	2,273	2,272
Age 60 thru 64	1,377	1,342	1,303	1,274	1,248	1,222	1,193	1,160	1,125	1,088	1,054	1,021
Age 65 thru 84	4,782	4,786	4,889	5,024	5,142	5,271	5,400	5,541	5,689	5,844	6,011	6,169
Age 85 & Older	1,282	1,309	1,364	1,432	1,498	1,566	1,634	1,709	1,787	1,871	1,960	2,049

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Haskell County												
Total Population	4,334	4,351	4,372	4,385	4,400	4,411	4,416	4,427	4,440	4,454	4,466	4,481
Age 0 thru 4	316	309	303	296	290	284	277	271	265	258	252	245
Age 5 thru 9	380	380	381	381	383	384	383	382	381	380	379	377
Age 10 thru 14	316	309	302	295	287	278	271	263	256	249	242	235
Age 15 thru 19	331	327	322	317	311	307	302	297	292	287	281	276
Age 20 thru 24	360	370	380	391	402	410	419	429	439	449	458	468
Age 25 thru 29	214	207	202	196	191	185	178	172	166	160	155	149
Age 30 thru 34	306	314	319	322	324	325	327	329	331	334	336	339
Age 35 thru 39	174	164	154	146	139	132	124	117	111	104	98	92
Age 40 thru 44	282	275	269	263	257	252	246	241	235	229	223	218
Age 45 thru 49	372	380	387	392	398	405	410	415	421	427	432	437
Age 50 thru 54	352	365	377	388	402	415	428	442	456	470	484	498
Age 55 thru 59	270	282	298	315	329	344	358	373	388	405	422	440
Age 60 thru 64	183	188	194	199	203	206	210	214	218	222	226	230
Age 65 thru 84	409	411	412	412	411	410	409	407	405	404	401	400
Age 85 & Older	69	70	72	72	73	74	74	75	76	76	77	77
Hodgeman County												
Total Population	2,093	2,089	2,084	2,082	2,081	2,078	2,079	2,074	2,070	2,063	2,061	2,058
Age 0 thru 4	127	127	128	128	130	130	129	127	126	123	121	118
Age 5 thru 9	69	62	57	52	48	43	39	35	31	28	25	22
Age 10 thru 14	128	120	112	105	98	92	86	80	74	68	62	57
Age 15 thru 19	181	178	174	170	165	161	157	153	147	141	135	129
Age 20 thru 24	232	266	297	327	360	396	435	477	522	570	620	671
Age 25 thru 29	87	85	83	81	79	77	74	71	68	65	62	59
Age 30 thru 34	72	69	66	63	60	57	54	51	48	44	41	39
Age 35 thru 39	70	63	56	50	45	40	36	32	28	25	22	19
Age 40 thru 44	179	176	172	169	166	162	159	154	149	143	138	132
Age 45 thru 49	194	196	198	199	200	199	199	197	195	192	189	185
Age 50 thru 54	192	197	202	208	212	217	221	223	225	226	226	226
Age 55 thru 59	121	121	122	124	124	124	123	122	120	118	116	114
Age 60 thru 64	81	78	75	72	68	65	62	59	56	53	49	46
Age 65 thru 84	283	275	268	261	254	245	236	226	216	205	195	184
Age 85 & Older	77	76	74	73	72	70	69	67	65	62	60	57
Jackson County												
Total Population	14,400	14,543	14,715	14,851	14,970	15,063	15,138	15,190	15,239	15,279	15,308	15,322
Age 0 thru 4	950	956	967	975	981	982	980	976	971	965	958	950
Age 5 thru 9	884	881	879	875	872	868	864	860	855	848	840	830
Age 10 thru 14	907	892	880	868	857	846	835	824	811	797	781	765
Age 15 thru 19	1,058	1,067	1,076	1,086	1,095	1,103	1,113	1,123	1,131	1,136	1,139	1,140
Age 20 thru 24	1,326	1,404	1,488	1,561	1,624	1,684	1,742	1,795	1,862	1,943	2,029	2,120
Age 25 thru 29	910	947	997	1,051	1,100	1,143	1,180	1,219	1,260	1,302	1,341	1,379
Age 30 thru 34	688	681	675	665	653	640	628	616	604	591	576	560
Age 35 thru 39	792	781	774	762	752	741	727	713	699	683	666	647
Age 40 thru 44	1,062	1,072	1,082	1,094	1,104	1,109	1,112	1,115	1,117	1,117	1,112	1,105
Age 45 thru 49	1,122	1,121	1,110	1,099	1,087	1,073	1,059	1,039	1,016	991	966	941
Age 50 thru 54	1,038	1,041	1,036	1,023	1,017	1,010	1,004	992	976	957	938	917

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Jackson County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	1,034	1,060	1,079	1,093	1,108	1,125	1,139	1,147	1,152	1,153	1,153	1,153
Age 60 thru 64	670	670	662	655	648	640	631	619	605	590	575	559
Age 65 thru 84	1,604	1,609	1,638	1,661	1,678	1,695	1,711	1,729	1,747	1,763	1,781	1,793
Age 85 & Older	355	361	372	383	394	404	413	423	433	443	453	463
Jefferson County												
Total Population	19,748	19,819	19,924	20,011	20,072	20,117	20,135	20,141	20,147	20,153	20,157	20,157
Age 0 thru 4	975	953	936	918	898	878	854	830	805	779	755	730
Age 5 thru 9	1,091	1,070	1,051	1,034	1,019	1,005	988	972	955	936	917	897
Age 10 thru 14	1,213	1,184	1,159	1,133	1,109	1,087	1,066	1,045	1,024	1,000	975	949
Age 15 thru 19	1,402	1,393	1,390	1,388	1,385	1,382	1,380	1,379	1,374	1,367	1,358	1,347
Age 20 thru 24	1,693	1,770	1,838	1,895	1,940	1,974	2,014	2,048	2,095	2,157	2,221	2,289
Age 25 thru 29	1,394	1,475	1,570	1,672	1,767	1,859	1,936	2,022	2,114	2,210	2,305	2,402
Age 30 thru 34	732	701	674	645	617	588	561	535	510	485	459	435
Age 35 thru 39	1,055	1,018	986	954	923	892	860	829	798	766	733	701
Age 40 thru 44	1,542	1,540	1,538	1,532	1,520	1,502	1,490	1,479	1,465	1,449	1,428	1,404
Age 45 thru 49	1,722	1,713	1,690	1,663	1,639	1,616	1,590	1,555	1,517	1,477	1,437	1,397
Age 50 thru 54	1,576	1,577	1,565	1,557	1,549	1,541	1,528	1,507	1,482	1,453	1,425	1,397
Age 55 thru 59	1,436	1,453	1,457	1,457	1,460	1,463	1,463	1,457	1,445	1,430	1,415	1,399
Age 60 thru 64	1,079	1,081	1,081	1,077	1,070	1,064	1,054	1,040	1,023	1,005	986	968
Age 65 thru 84	2,421	2,464	2,544	2,620	2,691	2,764	2,832	2,906	2,983	3,062	3,145	3,223
Age 85 & Older	417	427	445	466	485	502	519	537	557	577	598	619
Jewell County												
Total Population	3,072	3,009	2,940	2,872	2,801	2,733	2,661	2,597	2,538	2,474	2,412	2,351
Age 0 thru 4	74	67	61	56	52	48	43	39	36	32	29	26
Age 5 thru 9	118	110	102	93	86	79	72	67	61	56	51	46
Age 10 thru 14	149	140	130	121	113	105	98	90	84	77	71	65
Age 15 thru 19	204	198	189	181	172	164	156	149	142	134	127	119
Age 20 thru 24	188	200	218	235	251	264	276	289	303	318	333	348
Age 25 thru 29	50	45	40	37	33	30	27	24	21	19	17	15
Age 30 thru 34	70	64	59	53	48	43	39	35	31	28	25	23
Age 35 thru 39	76	67	59	51	44	39	34	29	26	22	19	17
Age 40 thru 44	223	216	208	201	193	186	178	171	163	155	147	140
Age 45 thru 49	256	248	237	226	213	203	194	185	176	167	157	148
Age 50 thru 54	389	400	409	420	430	437	443	450	455	460	464	466
Age 55 thru 59	289	296	303	306	307	309	309	310	311	311	310	309
Age 60 thru 64	212	210	206	202	198	194	188	183	179	173	168	162
Age 65 thru 84	637	615	591	567	543	520	496	473	451	428	405	382
Age 85 & Older	137	133	128	123	118	112	108	103	99	94	89	85
Johnson County												
Total Population	552,605	559,441	565,898	572,521	578,987	585,030	590,110	594,942	599,916	604,890	610,051	614,875
Age 0 thru 4	37,885	38,071	38,217	38,359	38,451	38,486	38,446	38,353	38,242	38,123	38,017	37,907
Age 5 thru 9	35,871	35,894	35,947	36,071	36,249	36,430	36,589	36,778	36,948	37,089	37,225	37,302
Age 10 thru 14	36,223	36,224	36,231	36,295	36,408	36,531	36,648	36,796	36,924	37,023	37,114	37,144
Age 15 thru 19	37,748	38,457	39,089	39,821	40,642	41,505	42,329	43,207	44,086	44,951	45,831	46,654
Age 20 thru 24	35,312	35,818	36,431	36,909	37,059	36,864	36,656	36,412	36,413	36,655	37,008	37,474

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Johnson County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	38,149	38,785	39,514	40,235	40,869	41,396	41,816	42,302	42,845	43,390	43,869	44,325
Age 30 thru 34	38,648	38,978	39,255	39,509	39,690	39,781	39,824	39,936	40,097	40,243	40,309	40,332
Age 35 thru 39	37,782	37,557	37,369	37,239	37,091	36,872	36,512	36,194	35,909	35,612	35,259	34,889
Age 40 thru 44	45,128	45,690	46,231	46,811	47,342	47,751	48,092	48,488	48,929	49,352	49,688	49,987
Age 45 thru 49	44,097	43,892	43,249	42,601	42,029	41,523	40,945	40,167	39,297	38,348	37,423	36,483
Age 50 thru 54	42,170	42,430	42,159	42,007	42,013	42,091	42,105	41,882	41,510	41,025	40,539	40,016
Age 55 thru 59	40,755	42,582	44,136	45,527	47,026	48,623	50,121	51,444	52,681	53,829	55,022	56,188
Age 60 thru 64	23,225	23,773	24,113	24,454	24,766	25,078	25,284	25,396	25,461	25,480	25,511	25,520
Age 65 thru 84	50,357	51,630	53,717	55,827	57,869	59,957	61,957	64,110	66,366	68,780	71,398	73,957
Age 85 & Older	9,255	9,660	10,240	10,856	11,483	12,142	12,786	13,477	14,208	14,990	15,838	16,697
Kearny County												
Total Population	4,671	4,690	4,705	4,719	4,740	4,754	4,770	4,783	4,798	4,817	4,837	4,859
Age 0 thru 4	314	305	297	288	280	271	264	257	249	242	234	227
Age 5 thru 9	363	357	351	346	340	334	328	321	315	308	302	296
Age 10 thru 14	379	370	361	353	345	338	330	322	314	306	298	290
Age 15 thru 19	412	414	416	415	415	413	414	413	412	411	410	408
Age 20 thru 24	400	419	432	445	461	476	493	510	527	545	562	580
Age 25 thru 29	262	264	265	267	271	272	271	271	271	271	272	272
Age 30 thru 34	221	214	208	202	195	189	182	176	170	164	158	153
Age 35 thru 39	221	210	198	187	179	170	162	154	146	138	131	124
Age 40 thru 44	354	354	353	351	348	346	344	341	339	336	334	331
Age 45 thru 49	395	405	414	423	433	442	450	458	467	475	484	493
Age 50 thru 54	349	360	373	384	395	406	418	429	440	452	464	476
Age 55 thru 59	304	319	336	353	370	387	404	421	439	459	479	500
Age 60 thru 64	182	185	186	189	191	193	194	196	197	199	200	202
Age 65 thru 84	473	473	475	477	479	481	481	480	479	479	478	477
Age 85 & Older	42	41	40	39	38	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
Kingman County												
Total Population	8,103	8,075	8,049	8,017	7,979	7,936	7,886	7,842	7,803	7,760	7,723	7,695
Age 0 thru 4	333	317	302	287	273	259	246	232	220	207	195	184
Age 5 thru 9	417	399	382	365	348	332	316	301	285	270	256	242
Age 10 thru 14	491	468	446	424	402	381	362	343	325	306	288	272
Age 15 thru 19	670	669	664	656	649	640	631	622	613	602	590	579
Age 20 thru 24	647	695	750	815	870	927	981	1,040	1,103	1,170	1,239	1,313
Age 25 thru 29	295	287	280	272	262	252	242	233	224	215	206	198
Age 30 thru 34	269	256	242	229	217	205	193	181	170	160	150	140
Age 35 thru 39	338	317	296	276	257	239	223	208	193	179	166	153
Age 40 thru 44	591	576	560	543	528	511	496	481	465	448	432	415
Age 45 thru 49	859	890	921	944	966	988	1,009	1,030	1,051	1,070	1,089	1,107
Age 50 thru 54	644	655	666	683	698	710	718	726	733	739	745	751
Age 55 thru 59	620	644	670	689	710	731	748	766	784	801	818	836
Age 60 thru 64	357	347	336	324	313	301	291	280	269	258	247	237
Age 65 thru 84	1,254	1,236	1,217	1,196	1,175	1,151	1,124	1,096	1,069	1,040	1,011	982
Age 85 & Older	318	319	317	314	311	309	306	303	299	295	291	286

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Kiowa County												
Total Population	2,745	2,691	2,631	2,577	2,522	2,467	2,413	2,363	2,317	2,261	2,208	2,155
Age 0 thru 4	171	169	166	164	160	157	154	151	148	144	140	136
Age 5 thru 9	117	110	103	96	90	84	78	73	68	63	58	54
Age 10 thru 14	156	149	143	135	128	122	116	110	105	99	94	88
Age 15 thru 19	180	173	165	158	152	145	138	132	126	120	114	108
Age 20 thru 24	181	181	180	179	177	174	173	172	170	168	166	163
Age 25 thru 29	133	132	130	129	126	124	121	118	116	113	110	107
Age 30 thru 34	93	88	84	81	77	73	69	65	62	58	55	52
Age 35 thru 39	78	71	64	58	53	48	44	39	36	32	29	26
Age 40 thru 44	151	144	136	128	120	113	107	101	96	90	85	79
Age 45 thru 49	227	223	217	212	207	202	197	193	188	183	177	172
Age 50 thru 54	275	276	277	278	278	279	279	280	280	279	277	276
Age 55 thru 59	261	272	283	295	308	319	329	340	351	361	372	382
Age 60 thru 64	128	124	120	116	112	108	104	100	97	93	89	85
Age 65 thru 84	466	450	434	418	404	389	375	360	346	331	317	303
Age 85 & Older	128	129	129	130	130	130	129	129	128	127	125	124
Labette County												
Total Population	21,643	21,535	21,423	21,302	21,183	21,060	20,949	20,845	20,745	20,643	20,542	20,435
Age 0 thru 4	1,230	1,210	1,189	1,166	1,144	1,121	1,101	1,080	1,060	1,039	1,018	996
Age 5 thru 9	1,186	1,150	1,115	1,083	1,049	1,015	984	953	922	892	862	833
Age 10 thru 14	1,339	1,299	1,258	1,214	1,176	1,138	1,103	1,069	1,034	1,000	967	933
Age 15 thru 19	1,616	1,596	1,574	1,553	1,529	1,508	1,487	1,465	1,442	1,419	1,395	1,372
Age 20 thru 24	1,567	1,595	1,621	1,638	1,654	1,674	1,696	1,718	1,740	1,761	1,782	1,800
Age 25 thru 29	1,500	1,531	1,569	1,616	1,659	1,694	1,718	1,745	1,774	1,805	1,837	1,870
Age 30 thru 34	918	883	848	812	777	742	712	682	654	626	599	573
Age 35 thru 39	1,143	1,096	1,051	1,007	969	932	895	859	824	790	757	724
Age 40 thru 44	1,697	1,700	1,698	1,699	1,695	1,689	1,683	1,678	1,673	1,667	1,660	1,653
Age 45 thru 49	1,601	1,604	1,609	1,609	1,608	1,607	1,606	1,605	1,604	1,602	1,599	1,595
Age 50 thru 54	1,640	1,653	1,661	1,670	1,684	1,695	1,712	1,727	1,740	1,751	1,761	1,769
Age 55 thru 59	1,646	1,690	1,735	1,777	1,821	1,868	1,914	1,961	2,008	2,055	2,102	2,149
Age 60 thru 64	1,250	1,285	1,321	1,353	1,381	1,408	1,435	1,464	1,495	1,525	1,557	1,587
Age 65 thru 84	2,586	2,518	2,448	2,378	2,310	2,242	2,178	2,116	2,054	1,993	1,932	1,871
Age 85 & Older	724	725	726	727	727	727	725	723	721	718	714	710
Lane County												
Total Population	1,730	1,693	1,659	1,624	1,582	1,541	1,502	1,462	1,425	1,390	1,354	1,317
Age 0 thru 4	84	81	78	76	73	70	67	64	61	59	56	53
Age 5 thru 9	64	59	53	48	43	39	36	32	29	26	23	21
Age 10 thru 14	105	100	95	90	84	79	74	69	65	61	57	53
Age 15 thru 19	109	104	99	94	90	86	82	78	74	70	66	62
Age 20 thru 24	128	134	142	151	159	166	172	178	184	191	198	205
Age 25 thru 29	44	41	39	38	36	34	32	30	28	27	25	23
Age 30 thru 34	34	30	26	22	19	16	14	12	11	9	8	7
Age 35 thru 39	90	85	80	75	71	66	62	58	54	50	47	43
Age 40 thru 44	139	135	131	127	122	117	112	108	103	99	95	90
Age 45 thru 49	166	166	166	166	164	163	161	159	158	156	153	151
Age 50 thru 54	164	165	165	164	163	161	160	159	157	155	153	151

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Lane County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	140	142	144	143	141	140	140	139	138	137	136	134
Age 60 thru 64	108	107	107	107	107	106	105	103	101	100	98	96
Age 65 thru 84	279	270	261	252	241	231	220	210	201	191	182	173
Age 85 & Older	76	74	73	71	69	67	65	63	61	59	57	55
Leavenworth County												
Total Population	75,945	76,165	76,379	76,610	76,827	76,971	76,984	76,977	77,007	77,066	77,166	77,237
Age 0 thru 4	4,485	4,426	4,365	4,308	4,249	4,187	4,115	4,040	3,963	3,888	3,815	3,743
Age 5 thru 9	4,545	4,468	4,395	4,329	4,271	4,213	4,158	4,107	4,055	4,000	3,946	3,886
Age 10 thru 14	5,025	4,974	4,933	4,888	4,850	4,815	4,780	4,751	4,720	4,686	4,653	4,612
Age 15 thru 19	5,367	5,388	5,405	5,443	5,492	5,544	5,585	5,632	5,677	5,719	5,761	5,795
Age 20 thru 24	5,905	6,021	6,138	6,204	6,218	6,207	6,189	6,164	6,180	6,238	6,315	6,411
Age 25 thru 29	5,559	5,700	5,846	6,005	6,160	6,293	6,398	6,518	6,651	6,788	6,920	7,051
Age 30 thru 34	4,513	4,458	4,413	4,369	4,312	4,239	4,159	4,088	4,024	3,962	3,894	3,825
Age 35 thru 39	4,980	4,816	4,650	4,490	4,331	4,174	4,024	3,882	3,746	3,612	3,476	3,343
Age 40 thru 44	7,317	7,436	7,563	7,700	7,828	7,928	8,014	8,112	8,221	8,330	8,428	8,521
Age 45 thru 49	6,211	6,150	6,026	5,895	5,779	5,681	5,576	5,444	5,300	5,148	5,000	4,851
Age 50 thru 54	5,821	5,849	5,812	5,796	5,803	5,812	5,804	5,766	5,710	5,641	5,574	5,502
Age 55 thru 59	4,902	5,000	5,062	5,109	5,166	5,226	5,276	5,303	5,316	5,316	5,319	5,316
Age 60 thru 64	3,129	3,134	3,116	3,100	3,088	3,076	3,049	3,010	2,965	2,915	2,867	2,817
Age 65 thru 84	7,221	7,364	7,634	7,910	8,171	8,427	8,671	8,934	9,211	9,510	9,836	10,153
Age 85 & Older	965	981	1,021	1,064	1,109	1,149	1,186	1,226	1,268	1,313	1,362	1,411
Lincoln County												
Total Population	3,351	3,335	3,322	3,304	3,289	3,270	3,248	3,228	3,210	3,192	3,175	3,160
Age 0 thru 4	157	153	149	145	140	135	130	126	121	117	112	107
Age 5 thru 9	154	148	142	135	129	124	118	112	106	101	95	90
Age 10 thru 14	154	145	137	128	120	113	105	98	91	85	79	73
Age 15 thru 19	214	209	203	197	191	184	178	172	166	160	153	147
Age 20 thru 24	285	307	332	358	384	411	437	464	493	523	555	587
Age 25 thru 29	180	185	189	196	199	202	204	206	208	210	211	213
Age 30 thru 34	110	105	100	94	87	82	77	72	68	63	59	55
Age 35 thru 39	135	128	121	114	109	103	97	92	86	81	75	70
Age 40 thru 44	193	183	173	163	153	144	136	128	120	112	105	98
Age 45 thru 49	347	350	354	356	359	360	361	361	361	359	357	355
Age 50 thru 54	390	409	427	442	458	474	489	505	520	534	549	563
Age 55 thru 59	253	260	267	274	281	286	291	294	298	301	304	307
Age 60 thru 64	163	160	158	155	153	149	145	140	136	132	128	124
Age 65 thru 84	532	515	498	481	465	447	429	411	393	375	358	340
Age 85 & Older	84	78	72	66	61	56	51	47	43	39	35	31
Linn County												
Total Population	10,180	10,194	10,220	10,237	10,246	10,246	10,227	10,211	10,200	10,196	10,196	10,199
Age 0 thru 4	508	497	485	471	458	447	434	422	409	396	383	371
Age 5 thru 9	587	582	581	583	583	579	576	573	570	567	563	559
Age 10 thru 14	564	549	534	518	505	494	482	470	458	446	434	422
Age 15 thru 19	635	629	622	616	610	604	599	594	589	583	577	569
Age 20 thru 24	813	850	886	919	948	970	988	1006	1,031	1,063	1,100	1,141

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Linn County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	823	876	932	993	1,054	1,114	1,167	1,226	1,291	1,360	1,431	1,504
Age 30 thru 34	523	528	535	539	542	542	542	543	544	545	545	545
Age 35 thru 39	434	415	398	383	370	357	342	328	314	301	287	274
Age 40 thru 44	765	771	777	782	783	781	782	784	786	786	785	783
Age 45 thru 49	734	719	698	674	652	634	614	592	569	546	522	500
Age 50 thru 54	766	756	739	725	715	706	694	679	661	641	622	603
Age 55 thru 59	748	748	747	741	735	730	723	713	701	688	674	660
Age 60 thru 64	577	573	562	551	539	527	515	502	487	472	457	442
Age 65 thru 84	1,439	1,434	1,449	1,461	1,466	1,472	1,476	1,481	1,487	1,494	1,502	1,507
Age 85 & Older	264	267	275	281	286	289	293	298	303	308	314	319
Logan County												
Total Population	2,667	2,630	2,595	2,563	2,526	2,492	2,456	2,419	2,384	2,355	2,322	2,291
Age 0 thru 4	134	128	123	118	114	109	104	100	95	91	87	83
Age 5 thru 9	159	155	153	150	147	144	140	136	133	129	126	122
Age 10 thru 14	139	131	124	117	111	104	99	93	87	82	77	73
Age 15 thru 19	198	194	189	184	178	174	169	165	160	156	151	146
Age 20 thru 24	65	61	57	52	48	44	41	38	36	33	31	28
Age 25 thru 29	138	136	132	129	125	122	119	116	113	110	107	104
Age 30 thru 34	127	126	126	125	123	121	119	116	114	112	110	109
Age 35 thru 39	84	76	69	64	59	54	50	45	41	38	34	31
Age 40 thru 44	198	192	186	180	174	169	164	159	154	149	143	138
Age 45 thru 49	298	305	311	318	325	332	338	344	350	357	363	369
Age 50 thru 54	256	263	269	276	280	285	290	295	300	305	310	315
Age 55 thru 59	177	181	186	190	194	199	202	205	208	212	215	219
Age 60 thru 64	118	114	111	108	104	100	96	93	89	86	83	80
Age 65 thru 84	472	463	453	445	436	427	417	406	396	387	377	367
Age 85 & Older	104	105	106	107	108	108	108	108	108	108	108	107
Lyon County												
Total Population	35,820	35,830	35,842	35,866	35,889	35,897	35,893	35,888	35,898	35,909	35,913	35,934
Age 0 thru 4	2,568	2,572	2,574	2,577	2,576	2,571	2,566	2,561	2,555	2,548	2,540	2,531
Age 5 thru 9	2,025	1,977	1,933	1,890	1,848	1,808	1,765	1,721	1,679	1,636	1,593	1,552
Age 10 thru 14	2,015	1,963	1,912	1,862	1,812	1,761	1,713	1,665	1,618	1,571	1,524	1,478
Age 15 thru 19	2,667	2,598	2,534	2,470	2,407	2,346	2,289	2,229	2,169	2,110	2,050	1,992
Age 20 thru 24	4,246	4,248	4,221	4,190	4,171	4,153	4,143	4,129	4,112	4,092	4,067	4,039
Age 25 thru 29	3,283	3,373	3,483	3,607	3,713	3,802	3,881	3,964	4,053	4,146	4,242	4,342
Age 30 thru 34	1,811	1,777	1,738	1,699	1,655	1,609	1,569	1,530	1,493	1,455	1,417	1,380
Age 35 thru 39	1,640	1,567	1,499	1,435	1,377	1,320	1,262	1,205	1,151	1,098	1,047	999
Age 40 thru 44	2,311	2,275	2,236	2,201	2,164	2,127	2,092	2,056	2,019	1,981	1,942	1,904
Age 45 thru 49	2,780	2,822	2,857	2,888	2,916	2,948	2,981	3,011	3,042	3,072	3,098	3,125
Age 50 thru 54	2,704	2,789	2,874	2,955	3,042	3,133	3,226	3,320	3,414	3,508	3,602	3,698
Age 55 thru 59	2,147	2,220	2,301	2,386	2,475	2,569	2,651	2,736	2,824	2,914	3,006	3,101
Age 60 thru 64	1,546	1,590	1,635	1,678	1,718	1,754	1,791	1,829	1,869	1,909	1,949	1,990
Age 65 thru 84	3,171	3,139	3,111	3,080	3,052	3,019	2,978	2,937	2,896	2,856	2,815	2,774
Age 85 & Older	906	920	934	948	963	977	986	995	1,004	1,013	1,021	1,029

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Marion County												
Total Population	12,932	12,890	12,840	12,791	12,744	12,702	12,660	12,609	12,563	12,517	12,486	12,452
Age 0 thru 4	572	556	540	525	511	497	484	470	457	443	430	417
Age 5 thru 9	579	550	525	499	476	452	431	411	390	371	352	334
Age 10 thru 14	820	801	781	761	739	722	704	685	666	648	630	612
Age 15 thru 19	1,019	1,015	1,004	992	981	972	963	953	943	931	920	908
Age 20 thru 24	1,079	1,128	1,175	1,219	1,263	1,309	1,355	1,402	1,451	1,502	1,555	1,609
Age 25 thru 29	623	631	641	651	659	666	669	672	676	680	684	689
Age 30 thru 34	489	473	455	438	420	405	390	374	360	345	332	318
Age 35 thru 39	555	526	497	471	447	424	403	382	361	341	323	305
Age 40 thru 44	880	863	846	826	809	792	777	761	744	727	711	694
Age 45 thru 49	1,174	1,199	1,227	1,255	1,279	1,302	1,326	1,348	1,371	1,394	1,418	1,441
Age 50 thru 54	1,054	1,082	1,107	1,139	1,172	1,205	1,235	1,265	1,294	1,323	1,354	1,385
Age 55 thru 59	841	852	862	870	881	891	901	910	918	926	934	941
Age 60 thru 64	698	700	705	708	707	705	704	702	701	699	698	696
Age 65 thru 84	1,966	1,933	1,895	1,858	1,821	1,784	1,744	1,704	1,665	1,625	1,587	1,550
Age 85 & Older	583	581	580	579	579	576	574	570	566	562	558	553
Marshall County												
Total Population	10,008	9,920	9,830	9,744	9,656	9,566	9,475	9,383	9,300	9,218	9,139	9,054
Age 0 thru 4	498	492	485	477	466	457	446	435	425	414	404	393
Age 5 thru 9	394	372	352	334	317	299	281	265	249	234	220	206
Age 10 thru 14	463	429	397	366	337	312	290	268	248	228	210	193
Age 15 thru 19	783	770	753	736	722	706	693	678	662	646	629	612
Age 20 thru 24	764	796	832	875	908	940	973	1,006	1,041	1,077	1,113	1,149
Age 25 thru 29	505	515	526	536	550	562	565	568	573	578	583	588
Age 30 thru 34	283	265	248	232	216	201	187	174	162	150	139	129
Age 35 thru 39	329	299	270	244	221	201	183	166	150	136	123	110
Age 40 thru 44	813	801	787	773	758	743	730	715	700	685	669	652
Age 45 thru 49	948	954	956	955	955	955	956	955	954	951	948	942
Age 50 thru 54	1,008	1,047	1,088	1,132	1,171	1,211	1,249	1,287	1,326	1,366	1,406	1,445
Age 55 thru 59	647	652	656	656	660	661	661	661	660	659	657	654
Age 60 thru 64	554	557	560	562	562	559	556	553	550	547	543	539
Age 65 thru 84	1,647	1,606	1,565	1,520	1,477	1,432	1,387	1,343	1,299	1,256	1,213	1,169
Age 85 & Older	372	365	355	346	336	327	318	309	301	291	282	273
McPherson County												
Total Population	30,195	30,290	30,385	30,479	30,572	30,647	30,716	30,796	30,893	30,996	31,101	31,206
Age 0 thru 4	1,624	1,608	1,591	1,569	1,551	1,532	1,511	1,490	1,468	1,447	1,424	1,401
Age 5 thru 9	1,511	1,473	1,438	1,405	1,369	1,333	1,296	1,259	1,224	1,189	1,154	1,120
Age 10 thru 14	1,572	1,508	1,443	1,382	1,323	1,264	1,213	1,162	1,112	1,063	1,015	968
Age 15 thru 19	2,432	2,409	2,379	2,345	2,313	2,285	2,259	2,230	2,200	2,168	2,135	2,101
Age 20 thru 24	2,762	2,846	2,926	3,011	3,104	3,191	3,268	3,349	3,433	3,519	3,605	3,691
Age 25 thru 29	1,950	2,004	2,068	2,122	2,164	2,202	2,238	2,276	2,317	2,360	2,403	2,446
Age 30 thru 34	1,312	1,289	1,266	1,248	1,229	1,207	1,178	1,151	1,126	1,101	1,076	1,052
Age 35 thru 39	1,250	1,185	1,123	1,066	1,014	963	914	866	820	776	734	694
Age 40 thru 44	1,981	1,938	1,892	1,846	1,798	1,753	1,714	1,674	1,633	1,591	1,549	1,507
Age 45 thru 49	2,695	2,746	2,793	2,837	2,879	2,919	2,963	3,006	3,049	3,090	3,129	3,166
Age 50 thru 54	2,642	2,738	2,830	2,934	3,039	3,143	3,248	3,356	3,465	3,576	3,689	3,802

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
McPherson County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	2,182	2,276	2,379	2,470	2,563	2,658	2,755	2,856	2,962	3,070	3,180	3,291
Age 60 thru 64	1,430	1,457	1,487	1,517	1,544	1,567	1,586	1,606	1,627	1,648	1,670	1,691
Age 65 thru 84	3,971	3,940	3,906	3,871	3,833	3,789	3,741	3,692	3,644	3,595	3,545	3,494
Age 85 & Older	881	873	864	856	849	841	832	823	813	803	793	782
Meade County												
Total Population	4,745	4,765	4,781	4,799	4,813	4,829	4,840	4,848	4,862	4,877	4,896	4,916
Age 0 thru 4	320	315	310	306	301	296	292	286	281	276	270	264
Age 5 thru 9	358	354	350	344	339	334	329	324	319	313	307	301
Age 10 thru 14	370	368	366	364	361	357	353	348	344	339	334	330
Age 15 thru 19	344	345	343	340	337	336	334	332	329	326	323	320
Age 20 thru 24	343	359	377	395	416	438	456	475	495	516	538	560
Age 25 thru 29	261	261	260	261	262	261	259	257	255	253	251	249
Age 30 thru 34	223	215	206	198	189	181	174	167	160	153	146	140
Age 35 thru 39	295	294	296	298	299	300	298	295	293	292	290	288
Age 40 thru 44	281	273	263	252	242	234	227	219	211	203	196	188
Age 45 thru 49	431	444	460	474	487	498	510	522	534	546	559	571
Age 50 thru 54	426	456	484	516	547	579	611	645	681	719	760	802
Age 55 thru 59	191	193	193	193	193	193	192	191	191	190	189	188
Age 60 thru 64	150	145	140	136	131	127	122	118	114	110	106	102
Age 65 thru 84	602	593	584	574	563	551	540	528	516	504	492	480
Age 85 & Older	150	150	149	148	146	144	143	141	139	137	135	133
Miami County												
Total Population	32,310	32,570	32,794	32,986	33,151	33,279	33,347	33,395	33,451	33,514	33,589	33,652
Age 0 thru 4	2,106	2,110	2,110	2,113	2,109	2,105	2,091	2,075	2,057	2,037	2,017	1,996
Age 5 thru 9	1,860	1,829	1,797	1,769	1,745	1,719	1,693	1,668	1,641	1,612	1,583	1,551
Age 10 thru 14	2,037	1,997	1,959	1,918	1,882	1,847	1,815	1,783	1,750	1,714	1,677	1,637
Age 15 thru 19	2,269	2,265	2,260	2,257	2,255	2,257	2,256	2,256	2,253	2,246	2,238	2,225
Age 20 thru 24	3,045	3,239	3,430	3,597	3,738	3,847	3,961	4,076	4,223	4,403	4,602	4,820
Age 25 thru 29	2,371	2,492	2,633	2,791	2,955	3,108	3,228	3,361	3,506	3,660	3,815	3,976
Age 30 thru 34	1,485	1,449	1,416	1,382	1,344	1,307	1,268	1,232	1,197	1,161	1,123	1,085
Age 35 thru 39	1,884	1,835	1,782	1,736	1,693	1,644	1,596	1,550	1,504	1,457	1,407	1,358
Age 40 thru 44	2,697	2,712	2,725	2,727	2,719	2,713	2,712	2,711	2,709	2,703	2,689	2,671
Age 45 thru 49	2,759	2,755	2,725	2,692	2,659	2,630	2,593	2,543	2,486	2,424	2,362	2,298
Age 50 thru 54	2,613	2,650	2,646	2,640	2,637	2,637	2,638	2,624	2,600	2,568	2,535	2,498
Age 55 thru 59	1,944	1,951	1,947	1,938	1,937	1,937	1,924	1,903	1,875	1,842	1,810	1,776
Age 60 thru 64	1,525	1,532	1,518	1,499	1,481	1,462	1,444	1,419	1,390	1,357	1,325	1,291
Age 65 thru 84	3,167	3,200	3,279	3,347	3,405	3,464	3,515	3,570	3,626	3,684	3,748	3,802
Age 85 & Older	548	554	567	580	592	602	613	624	634	646	658	668
Mitchell County												
Total Population	6,134	6,066	5,999	5,933	5,861	5,785	5,711	5,639	5,569	5,494	5,426	5,360
Age 0 thru 4	257	249	242	234	226	218	210	202	195	187	180	173
Age 5 thru 9	262	249	237	226	215	204	193	183	173	164	155	146
Age 10 thru 14	327	310	293	277	261	246	233	220	208	195	184	173
Age 15 thru 19	637	630	622	614	606	596	587	577	567	556	546	535
Age 20 thru 24	332	336	342	345	348	350	352	354	355	357	358	359

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Mitchell County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	196	190	182	177	170	164	157	150	144	138	132	126
Age 30 thru 34	203	193	184	176	165	155	147	139	131	123	116	109
Age 35 thru 39	218	201	186	171	159	147	136	126	116	107	98	90
Age 40 thru 44	462	456	448	440	431	421	412	403	395	385	376	367
Age 45 thru 49	530	531	531	532	532	532	531	530	528	525	522	519
Age 50 thru 54	591	603	613	623	632	643	653	663	672	680	688	695
Age 55 thru 59	532	553	577	601	625	647	667	689	710	732	755	779
Age 60 thru 64	369	375	380	384	388	391	393	395	397	399	400	402
Age 65 thru 84	965	942	920	897	874	849	823	797	773	747	723	700
Age 85 & Older	253	248	242	236	229	222	217	211	205	199	193	187
Montgomery County												
Total Population	33,192	32,909	32,626	32,338	32,040	31,741	31,442	31,152	30,875	30,600	30,316	30,034
Age 0 thru 4	1,995	1,973	1,952	1,923	1,894	1,866	1,838	1,810	1,782	1,755	1,726	1,697
Age 5 thru 9	1,718	1,656	1,595	1,540	1,484	1,432	1,379	1,328	1,278	1,229	1,182	1,136
Age 10 thru 14	2,011	1,950	1,891	1,832	1,774	1,713	1,660	1,607	1,556	1,505	1,454	1,404
Age 15 thru 19	2,330	2,274	2,221	2,166	2,115	2,066	2,017	1,968	1,918	1,869	1,819	1,771
Age 20 thru 24	2,379	2,420	2,447	2,469	2,479	2,491	2,518	2,544	2,570	2,593	2,614	2,633
Age 25 thru 29	1,977	1,987	2,008	2,036	2,068	2,085	2,087	2,091	2,098	2,106	2,115	2,124
Age 30 thru 34	1,481	1,431	1,379	1,329	1,278	1,232	1,186	1,142	1,099	1,058	1,017	977
Age 35 thru 39	1,685	1,620	1,560	1,501	1,445	1,393	1,338	1,285	1,233	1,184	1,135	1,089
Age 40 thru 44	2,238	2,201	2,160	2,121	2,081	2,036	1,997	1,958	1,919	1,880	1,840	1,800
Age 45 thru 49	2,428	2,410	2,389	2,368	2,344	2,322	2,300	2,276	2,254	2,230	2,204	2,177
Age 50 thru 54	2,773	2,820	2,861	2,909	2,962	3,016	3,064	3,112	3,160	3,206	3,251	3,295
Age 55 thru 59	2,399	2,433	2,475	2,504	2,536	2,570	2,603	2,636	2,668	2,699	2,729	2,757
Age 60 thru 64	2,116	2,172	2,228	2,281	2,325	2,368	2,410	2,454	2,501	2,549	2,596	2,643
Age 65 thru 84	4,510	4,405	4,301	4,198	4,094	3,992	3,889	3,788	3,689	3,591	3,493	3,396
Age 85 & Older	1,152	1,157	1,159	1,161	1,161	1,159	1,156	1,153	1,150	1,146	1,141	1,135
Morris County												
Total Population	5,971	5,951	5,931	5,912	5,901	5,889	5,875	5,860	5,849	5,841	5,831	5,816
Age 0 thru 4	251	241	231	223	215	208	199	191	182	174	166	157
Age 5 thru 9	281	269	258	247	237	225	215	205	194	184	174	165
Age 10 thru 14	324	309	293	277	261	248	235	222	210	198	186	174
Age 15 thru 19	436	432	426	420	417	411	405	398	391	383	374	365
Age 20 thru 24	564	621	680	743	808	868	935	1,007	1,086	1,170	1,259	1,350
Age 25 thru 29	301	304	308	312	318	323	323	323	323	323	322	321
Age 30 thru 34	195	184	175	165	157	149	140	132	124	116	109	101
Age 35 thru 39	273	259	244	230	217	205	194	182	171	160	150	140
Age 40 thru 44	429	420	411	401	393	386	378	369	359	349	339	327
Age 45 thru 49	496	492	490	486	481	478	474	468	462	455	447	438
Age 50 thru 54	594	614	630	644	656	669	685	700	714	726	737	745
Age 55 thru 59	403	407	410	413	417	421	422	422	422	422	420	418
Age 60 thru 64	354	359	364	370	373	376	378	379	380	380	380	379
Age 65 thru 84	810	779	750	721	692	664	636	609	581	554	526	499
Age 85 & Older	260	261	261	260	259	258	256	253	250	247	242	237

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Morton County												
Total Population	3,096	3,075	3,055	3,025	2,992	2,958	2,924	2,893	2,862	2,832	2,803	2,778
Age 0 thru 4	214	208	201	193	186	178	172	166	160	154	147	141
Age 5 thru 9	237	234	229	224	218	213	207	202	196	191	185	180
Age 10 thru 14	225	220	215	210	206	200	193	187	181	175	170	164
Age 15 thru 19	209	203	196	189	181	173	167	161	154	148	142	136
Age 20 thru 24	187	188	192	194	195	196	197	197	198	198	198	199
Age 25 thru 29	91	84	78	71	65	59	54	50	45	42	38	35
Age 30 thru 34	119	113	107	101	95	90	85	80	75	71	66	62
Age 35 thru 39	147	140	132	126	120	115	109	103	98	92	87	82
Age 40 thru 44	200	192	184	176	166	157	150	143	136	129	122	116
Age 45 thru 49	363	382	402	417	433	450	466	482	499	517	535	554
Age 50 thru 54	218	218	218	217	217	216	215	214	213	211	210	208
Age 55 thru 59	223	229	236	243	250	255	259	263	268	272	277	281
Age 60 thru 64	152	151	151	150	148	148	146	144	142	140	138	136
Age 65 thru 84	436	436	435	432	429	424	419	415	410	404	399	394
Age 85 & Older	75	77	79	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
Nemaha County												
Total Population	10,407	10,389	10,368	10,352	10,332	10,306	10,281	10,271	10,256	10,240	10,229	10,212
Age 0 thru 4	574	555	537	518	499	482	466	449	432	415	399	382
Age 5 thru 9	657	641	623	605	588	570	552	535	517	500	482	464
Age 10 thru 14	690	669	647	626	606	587	568	548	529	509	489	469
Age 15 thru 19	776	767	754	742	728	714	703	691	677	663	648	632
Age 20 thru 24	894	964	1,049	1,131	1,211	1,291	1,372	1,462	1,557	1,659	1,766	1,877
Age 25 thru 29	435	433	433	435	434	431	425	420	415	409	404	398
Age 30 thru 34	327	308	290	271	254	237	222	208	195	182	169	157
Age 35 thru 39	462	437	411	388	367	349	329	311	293	275	258	242
Age 40 thru 44	840	842	838	837	833	827	824	820	815	808	800	791
Age 45 thru 49	875	893	908	922	937	951	964	977	989	999	1,008	1,015
Age 50 thru 54	822	854	887	920	951	981	1,011	1,043	1,073	1,103	1,134	1,163
Age 55 thru 59	576	588	600	612	625	636	645	654	663	670	678	684
Age 60 thru 64	415	411	405	397	390	382	374	367	359	351	343	334
Age 65 thru 84	1,652	1,628	1,600	1,573	1,543	1,510	1,478	1,447	1,414	1,380	1,345	1,309
Age 85 & Older	412	399	386	375	366	358	348	339	328	317	306	295
Neosho County												
Total Population	16,297	16,238	16,181	16,113	16,046	15,974	15,911	15,847	15,788	15,733	15,683	15,625
Age 0 thru 4	997	994	991	988	988	986	980	974	968	963	957	951
Age 5 thru 9	826	797	772	746	720	694	670	647	623	601	579	557
Age 10 thru 14	952	920	888	856	825	796	769	741	715	689	663	638
Age 15 thru 19	1,229	1,208	1,184	1,161	1,138	1,116	1,098	1,078	1,057	1,036	1,015	994
Age 20 thru 24	1,212	1,241	1,262	1,284	1,301	1,319	1,343	1,366	1,389	1,412	1,435	1,456
Age 25 thru 29	1,084	1,109	1,141	1,178	1,213	1,241	1,262	1,283	1,308	1,334	1,362	1,390
Age 30 thru 34	734	713	692	670	649	629	608	588	569	550	532	514
Age 35 thru 39	851	821	789	758	730	702	676	650	625	600	577	553
Age 40 thru 44	1,093	1,071	1,051	1,031	1,009	987	968	948	928	908	888	867
Age 45 thru 49	1,375	1,397	1,417	1,431	1,446	1,462	1,478	1,495	1,511	1,527	1,543	1,557
Age 50 thru 54	1,277	1,297	1,313	1,332	1,354	1,376	1,397	1,418	1,438	1,457	1,476	1,494

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Neosho County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	1,185	1,225	1,269	1,306	1,344	1,381	1,421	1,462	1,504	1,547	1,590	1,634
Age 60 thru 64	797	794	794	791	788	783	779	774	769	765	760	754
Age 65 thru 84	2,166	2,128	2,090	2,050	2,007	1,966	1,925	1,885	1,845	1,805	1,766	1,726
Age 85 & Older	519	523	528	531	534	536	537	538	539	539	540	540
Ness County												
Total Population	2,667	2,598	2,534	2,470	2,394	2,322	2,247	2,173	2,100	2,030	1,959	1,891
Age 0 thru 4	110	105	99	94	88	83	78	73	68	63	59	55
Age 5 thru 9	104	96	89	82	75	68	62	57	52	47	42	38
Age 10 thru 14	134	126	119	112	104	98	90	84	78	72	66	61
Age 15 thru 19	137	127	117	108	99	91	84	77	71	65	59	54
Age 20 thru 24	156	163	173	181	190	197	202	208	213	219	224	230
Age 25 thru 29	26	22	18	15	12	10	9	7	6	5	4	3
Age 30 thru 34	73	68	64	60	56	53	48	44	41	37	34	31
Age 35 thru 39	64	55	47	40	34	29	25	21	18	15	13	11
Age 40 thru 44	244	237	229	221	212	202	194	186	177	169	160	152
Age 45 thru 49	272	269	267	264	259	255	250	245	238	232	226	219
Age 50 thru 54	284	290	293	298	300	302	303	303	303	303	301	299
Age 55 thru 59	207	208	211	211	211	211	209	206	204	201	198	195
Age 60 thru 64	144	139	135	130	124	117	112	106	100	95	90	84
Age 65 thru 84	575	560	545	530	512	493	472	452	432	412	393	374
Age 85 & Older	137	133	128	124	118	113	109	104	99	95	90	85
Norton County												
Total Population	5,579	5,554	5,526	5,491	5,455	5,420	5,387	5,355	5,322	5,288	5,257	5,233
Age 0 thru 4	214	209	203	196	189	182	177	171	165	160	154	149
Age 5 thru 9	227	218	208	198	188	179	171	163	155	147	140	133
Age 10 thru 14	291	282	273	265	256	247	238	230	222	214	206	199
Age 15 thru 19	364	362	356	350	345	340	335	330	324	319	313	308
Age 20 thru 24	518	538	563	590	614	639	662	686	711	737	764	792
Age 25 thru 29	317	315	312	309	305	300	296	291	287	282	277	273
Age 30 thru 34	299	292	285	276	267	259	251	244	236	229	222	214
Age 35 thru 39	329	316	303	289	277	267	256	246	235	225	215	206
Age 40 thru 44	465	461	459	458	457	454	451	448	445	440	436	433
Age 45 thru 49	510	519	526	529	533	539	545	551	557	562	566	571
Age 50 thru 54	411	415	419	422	424	425	428	430	432	433	435	436
Age 55 thru 59	384	393	401	408	415	422	429	436	443	450	456	463
Age 60 thru 64	304	308	313	318	324	328	331	333	336	339	342	345
Age 65 thru 84	661	638	615	592	569	546	525	504	483	462	443	424
Age 85 & Older	285	288	290	291	292	293	292	292	291	289	288	287
Osage County												
Total Population	17,664	17,740	17,837	17,923	17,987	18,045	18,080	18,112	18,143	18,172	18,203	18,223
Age 0 thru 4	783	758	734	714	691	668	645	621	597	574	552	530
Age 5 thru 9	1,028	1,015	1,004	993	984	975	965	957	948	937	926	913
Age 10 thru 14	1,132	1,113	1,094	1,077	1,060	1,044	1,030	1,017	1,002	986	970	952
Age 15 thru 19	1,377	1,399	1,421	1,445	1,469	1,495	1,520	1,547	1,574	1,598	1,621	1,643
Age 20 thru 24	1,300	1,339	1,381	1,419	1,447	1,460	1,479	1,493	1,516	1,551	1,588	1,629

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Osage County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	1,256	1,319	1,396	1,470	1,543	1,613	1,672	1,738	1,809	1,883	1,956	2,030
Age 30 thru 34	837	836	837	837	836	830	821	815	808	802	793	783
Age 35 thru 39	798	761	727	695	665	637	608	581	554	527	500	474
Age 40 thru 44	1,456	1,466	1,475	1,484	1,488	1,485	1,488	1,492	1,495	1,495	1,490	1,483
Age 45 thru 49	1,557	1,565	1,561	1,551	1,540	1,536	1,526	1,510	1,490	1,467	1,446	1,423
Age 50 thru 54	1,380	1,393	1,390	1,392	1,397	1,403	1,404	1,398	1,389	1,376	1,364	1,351
Age 55 thru 59	1,175	1,186	1,193	1,192	1,195	1,200	1,199	1,193	1,184	1,172	1,162	1,151
Age 60 thru 64	892	885	870	853	834	817	801	782	761	739	718	697
Age 65 thru 84	2,205	2,210	2,244	2,275	2,300	2,330	2,357	2,389	2,422	2,455	2,491	2,522
Age 85 & Older	488	495	510	526	538	552	565	579	594	610	626	642
Osborne County												
Total Population	3,772	3,708	3,646	3,579	3,511	3,447	3,382	3,315	3,253	3,192	3,131	3,070
Age 0 thru 4	148	142	137	131	125	119	113	107	102	96	91	86
Age 5 thru 9	135	125	115	106	98	90	83	76	70	64	58	53
Age 10 thru 14	201	188	176	164	153	141	132	122	113	105	97	89
Age 15 thru 19	310	307	302	296	290	286	280	274	268	261	254	247
Age 20 thru 24	265	279	297	315	336	355	370	386	403	421	439	458
Age 25 thru 29	98	92	87	81	75	70	66	61	57	53	49	45
Age 30 thru 34	89	82	75	69	64	59	54	49	45	41	37	34
Age 35 thru 39	112	101	90	80	71	63	57	51	45	40	35	31
Age 40 thru 44	313	306	301	295	287	280	273	266	258	250	242	234
Age 45 thru 49	358	359	358	356	352	349	345	341	337	332	327	321
Age 50 thru 54	401	418	430	441	451	461	473	483	494	504	513	521
Age 55 thru 59	219	215	211	207	203	200	195	190	184	179	174	168
Age 60 thru 64	246	248	252	256	257	257	256	255	253	252	251	249
Age 65 thru 84	673	647	621	595	568	542	516	491	467	443	419	396
Age 85 & Older	204	199	194	187	181	175	169	163	157	151	145	138
Ottawa County												
Total Population	6,317	6,347	6,374	6,399	6,421	6,442	6,459	6,479	6,499	6,522	6,544	6,572
Age 0 thru 4	316	312	309	304	298	292	286	281	275	268	262	255
Age 5 thru 9	300	289	278	267	257	246	236	226	216	207	197	188
Age 10 thru 14	367	357	347	338	330	321	311	301	291	281	271	261
Age 15 thru 19	454	449	439	429	419	412	405	398	390	381	371	362
Age 20 thru 24	531	581	638	687	743	797	856	921	990	1,065	1,144	1,227
Age 25 thru 29	292	289	288	287	285	283	279	274	270	265	260	256
Age 30 thru 34	320	319	317	314	310	306	301	296	290	285	280	274
Age 35 thru 39	264	247	230	215	201	189	177	165	153	143	132	123
Age 40 thru 44	559	560	562	563	563	562	562	560	558	555	551	547
Age 45 thru 49	590	600	607	615	622	630	636	642	647	651	654	656
Age 50 thru 54	575	596	613	632	650	667	684	701	717	733	748	763
Age 55 thru 59	420	426	431	438	446	452	456	460	463	466	468	471
Age 60 thru 64	385	394	406	418	424	432	438	444	450	456	462	467
Age 65 thru 84	805	796	784	774	762	749	734	718	703	686	670	654
Age 85 & Older	139	132	125	118	111	104	98	92	86	80	74	68

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Pawnee County												
Total Population	6,398	6,317	6,244	6,168	6,092	6,018	5,933	5,859	5,790	5,721	5,654	5,582
Age 0 thru 4	265	253	241	230	219	210	200	191	181	173	164	155
Age 5 thru 9	338	331	325	318	312	304	296	288	280	272	265	257
Age 10 thru 14	304	287	271	255	240	226	212	200	188	177	166	155
Age 15 thru 19	553	545	537	527	517	507	497	487	477	467	457	446
Age 20 thru 24	516	535	558	582	608	635	655	677	701	725	749	773
Age 25 thru 29	280	271	266	260	253	245	236	228	221	213	206	199
Age 30 thru 34	298	289	277	265	253	243	232	223	213	204	195	186
Age 35 thru 39	275	256	239	224	211	198	185	172	161	149	139	129
Age 40 thru 44	485	476	470	462	452	442	432	423	413	404	394	384
Age 45 thru 49	509	499	486	472	459	448	437	426	415	404	392	380
Age 50 thru 54	664	686	705	730	752	774	793	814	835	857	878	898
Age 55 thru 59	441	444	450	453	455	457	458	459	461	461	462	462
Age 60 thru 64	395	398	401	403	404	403	403	403	404	403	403	402
Age 65 thru 84	770	738	707	676	645	614	586	558	531	505	479	454
Age 85 & Older	305	309	311	311	312	312	311	310	309	307	305	302
Phillips County												
Total Population	5,142	5,066	4,990	4,912	4,832	4,752	4,670	4,589	4,511	4,433	4,355	4,278
Age 0 thru 4	250	243	235	229	220	212	204	196	189	181	174	167
Age 5 thru 9	252	242	232	222	212	203	194	185	176	167	159	151
Age 10 thru 14	265	250	234	219	204	191	179	168	157	146	136	126
Age 15 thru 19	397	393	387	382	378	372	366	359	353	346	338	331
Age 20 thru 24	260	264	271	279	283	285	288	292	295	298	300	303
Age 25 thru 29	130	120	112	103	96	90	83	77	71	66	61	56
Age 30 thru 34	169	158	149	139	129	120	112	104	97	90	84	78
Age 35 thru 39	228	215	201	188	177	167	156	146	137	128	119	111
Age 40 thru 44	381	376	368	360	351	342	334	325	317	308	299	290
Age 45 thru 49	406	400	395	387	380	372	365	357	349	341	333	324
Age 50 thru 54	512	519	523	526	529	532	536	539	541	543	544	544
Age 55 thru 59	497	518	542	565	590	611	631	651	672	694	716	738
Age 60 thru 64	283	279	275	270	264	260	254	249	243	237	231	225
Age 65 thru 84	915	897	880	864	846	827	806	785	764	743	722	701
Age 85 & Older	197	192	186	179	173	168	162	156	150	145	139	133
Pottawatomie County												
Total Population	20,105	20,306	20,498	20,691	20,878	21,068	21,253	21,449	21,650	21,845	22,043	22,238
Age 0 thru 4	1,328	1,321	1,319	1,314	1,309	1,302	1,293	1,284	1,274	1,262	1,250	1,237
Age 5 thru 9	1,234	1,209	1,184	1,158	1,134	1,109	1,085	1,060	1,035	1,010	984	958
Age 10 thru 14	1,337	1,310	1,283	1,254	1,226	1,201	1,175	1,149	1,123	1,095	1,067	1,039
Age 15 thru 19	1,506	1,504	1,496	1,489	1,480	1,472	1,466	1,458	1,448	1,436	1,424	1,410
Age 20 thru 24	1,744	1,849	1,954	2,063	2,165	2,269	2,379	2,496	2,620	2,748	2,880	3,016
Age 25 thru 29	1,363	1,402	1,444	1,488	1,529	1,565	1,593	1,624	1,656	1,688	1,722	1,755
Age 30 thru 34	979	963	947	927	904	884	862	842	822	802	781	761
Age 35 thru 39	996	957	920	887	858	829	797	766	735	705	676	647
Age 40 thru 44	1,554	1,555	1,550	1,542	1,537	1,530	1,525	1,519	1,512	1,502	1,491	1,479
Age 45 thru 49	1,680	1,713	1,741	1,766	1,788	1,815	1,843	1,870	1,896	1,920	1,942	1,962
Age 50 thru 54	1,660	1,720	1,778	1,842	1,912	1,981	2,051	2,121	2,191	2,262	2,332	2,402

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Pottawatomie County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	1,419	1,492	1,569	1,646	1,726	1,807	1,888	1,973	2,062	2,153	2,247	2,343
Age 60 thru 64	855	869	882	896	904	914	925	936	946	955	963	971
Age 65 thru 84	2,032	2,022	2,007	1,993	1,978	1,961	1,941	1,921	1,900	1,877	1,854	1,829
Age 85 & Older	418	420	424	426	428	429	430	430	430	430	430	429
Pratt County												
Total Population	9,440	9,418	9,394	9,369	9,342	9,320	9,298	9,283	9,264	9,249	9,238	9,224
Age 0 thru 4	504	494	484	473	463	453	442	431	419	406	394	381
Age 5 thru 9	486	474	462	450	438	425	411	398	384	370	356	342
Age 10 thru 14	466	444	422	401	379	359	340	322	304	286	269	253
Age 15 thru 19	659	634	612	589	569	548	528	507	486	464	443	423
Age 20 thru 24	1,138	1,220	1,302	1,381	1,462	1,547	1,635	1,727	1,823	1,922	2,024	2,126
Age 25 thru 29	460	460	460	459	458	457	452	447	441	435	430	423
Age 30 thru 34	354	342	330	317	304	292	279	267	255	243	231	220
Age 35 thru 39	376	354	333	313	295	278	261	244	228	213	198	185
Age 40 thru 44	591	569	546	524	503	482	463	444	425	406	386	367
Age 45 thru 49	827	824	820	818	813	808	803	796	788	779	769	757
Age 50 thru 54	1,064	1,129	1,192	1,255	1,313	1,373	1,436	1,501	1,567	1,635	1,703	1,770
Age 55 thru 59	500	500	501	500	501	501	498	495	491	487	482	476
Age 60 thru 64	426	421	416	411	404	397	390	383	375	367	359	350
Age 65 thru 84	1,227	1,189	1,148	1,110	1,072	1,034	996	959	920	882	844	806
Age 85 & Older	362	364	366	368	368	366	364	362	358	354	350	345
Rawlins County												
Total Population	2,423	2,373	2,315	2,260	2,204	2,150	2,098	2,043	1,989	1,934	1,876	1,821
Age 0 thru 4	60	54	48	43	38	33	30	26	23	19	17	14
Age 5 thru 9	79	71	63	56	50	45	40	35	30	26	22	19
Age 10 thru 14	118	108	99	90	82	73	66	59	52	46	40	35
Age 15 thru 19	151	142	131	121	112	104	96	88	80	72	64	57
Age 20 thru 24	297	341	386	430	471	514	558	604	652	699	745	790
Age 25 thru 29	18	15	13	11	9	7	6	5	4	3	3	2
Age 30 thru 34	43	38	33	29	25	21	18	15	13	11	9	8
Age 35 thru 39	71	63	55	48	42	36	32	27	23	20	17	14
Age 40 thru 44	167	158	148	139	129	120	111	103	94	85	76	68
Age 45 thru 49	219	213	205	198	191	183	174	164	154	144	133	122
Age 50 thru 54	257	259	258	257	254	251	246	240	233	224	213	202
Age 55 thru 59	188	185	184	179	175	170	164	157	149	141	132	123
Age 60 thru 64	157	155	150	146	142	137	131	124	117	110	102	94
Age 65 thru 84	465	441	415	390	366	343	318	294	269	244	220	197
Age 85 & Older	133	130	127	123	118	113	108	102	96	90	83	76
Reno County												
Total Population	63,915	63,948	63,953	63,950	63,916	63,850	63,795	63,750	63,732	63,728	63,740	63,753
Age 0 thru 4	3,928	3,906	3,878	3,848	3,817	3,786	3,754	3,721	3,688	3,654	3,620	3,585
Age 5 thru 9	3,631	3,581	3,535	3,484	3,431	3,373	3,315	3,259	3,203	3,148	3,094	3,040
Age 10 thru 14	3,484	3,390	3,298	3,209	3,118	3,029	2,943	2,858	2,775	2,694	2,614	2,536
Age 15 thru 19	4,131	4,058	3,989	3,918	3,847	3,777	3,710	3,640	3,571	3,501	3,432	3,363
Age 20 thru 24	4,791	4,867	4,913	4,962	5,004	5,040	5,099	5,158	5,215	5,268	5,319	5,366

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Reno County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	4,380	4,451	4,537	4,626	4,711	4,783	4,828	4,878	4,933	4,993	5,056	5,121
Age 30 thru 34	3,229	3,180	3,128	3,072	3,010	2,948	2,883	2,820	2,761	2,704	2,648	2,592
Age 35 thru 39	3,085	2,955	2,830	2,713	2,605	2,503	2,397	2,293	2,194	2,099	2,008	1,920
Age 40 thru 44	4,404	4,333	4,255	4,181	4,105	4,027	3,959	3,888	3,817	3,745	3,673	3,601
Age 45 thru 49	5,163	5,194	5,225	5,250	5,262	5,276	5,297	5,315	5,333	5,349	5,363	5,374
Age 50 thru 54	5,498	5,660	5,805	5,960	6,122	6,286	6,453	6,623	6,795	6,969	7,146	7,324
Age 55 thru 59	4,649	4,821	5,002	5,171	5,345	5,522	5,698	5,880	6,070	6,266	6,468	6,674
Age 60 thru 64	3,127	3,163	3,202	3,241	3,273	3,295	3,317	3,340	3,364	3,389	3,414	3,439
Age 65 thru 84	8,389	8,320	8,247	8,165	8,078	7,983	7,889	7,794	7,700	7,606	7,512	7,416
Age 85 & Older	2,026	2,069	2,109	2,150	2,188	2,222	2,253	2,283	2,313	2,343	2,373	2,402
Republic County												
Total Population	4,730	4,637	4,535	4,435	4,329	4,225	4,119	4,020	3,919	3,826	3,733	3,640
Age 0 thru 4	182	174	166	158	149	142	134	126	118	111	104	97
Age 5 thru 9	183	173	163	154	145	135	126	118	109	101	94	87
Age 10 thru 14	198	181	165	150	137	124	113	103	93	84	75	68
Age 15 thru 19	334	326	313	300	286	272	261	249	237	225	213	201
Age 20 thru 24	358	387	419	462	504	546	579	616	655	697	741	786
Age 25 thru 29	71	64	57	50	44	39	35	31	27	24	21	18
Age 30 thru 34	137	128	119	110	101	93	85	78	72	66	60	54
Age 35 thru 39	137	123	110	98	87	78	69	62	55	48	42	37
Age 40 thru 44	326	313	298	283	269	255	242	229	216	203	190	178
Age 45 thru 49	448	443	437	429	419	409	400	390	379	368	356	343
Age 50 thru 54	501	511	518	525	532	539	541	543	543	543	541	538
Age 55 thru 59	368	370	369	364	358	350	344	338	331	324	316	306
Age 60 thru 64	292	287	285	282	276	269	261	254	246	238	230	222
Age 65 thru 84	994	963	929	891	852	812	774	736	699	662	626	589
Age 85 & Older	201	194	187	179	170	162	155	147	139	132	124	116
Rice County												
Total Population	10,413	10,392	10,368	10,330	10,291	10,257	10,225	10,198	10,175	10,159	10,136	10,118
Age 0 thru 4	575	569	561	550	540	530	521	512	503	494	485	475
Age 5 thru 9	503	485	470	455	439	423	408	393	378	364	350	337
Age 10 thru 14	567	548	528	505	484	464	447	429	412	396	379	363
Age 15 thru 19	928	913	897	881	865	852	839	826	812	797	781	766
Age 20 thru 24	1,344	1,394	1,446	1,500	1,560	1,616	1,672	1,731	1,789	1,850	1,911	1,973
Age 25 thru 29	539	547	556	562	564	563	564	565	567	569	571	573
Age 30 thru 34	399	389	378	368	359	348	337	326	315	306	296	286
Age 35 thru 39	373	350	329	309	290	274	257	241	226	212	198	186
Age 40 thru 44	643	628	612	595	578	564	550	536	522	508	494	480
Age 45 thru 49	897	915	932	947	960	973	987	1,000	1,014	1,028	1,041	1,054
Age 50 thru 54	814	839	861	883	905	929	951	974	997	1,021	1,045	1,068
Age 55 thru 59	622	634	647	656	666	674	682	690	699	707	715	723
Age 60 thru 64	468	463	460	455	448	442	435	429	423	417	410	403
Age 65 thru 84	1,406	1,381	1,352	1,324	1,294	1,268	1,239	1,211	1,184	1,157	1,129	1,101
Age 85 & Older	335	337	339	340	339	337	336	335	334	333	331	330

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Riley County												
Total Population	61,170	60,669	60,348	60,103	59,897	59,694	59,491	59,298	59,101	58,839	58,554	58,271
Age 0 thru 4	4,034	4,046	4,061	4,069	4,072	4,072	4,070	4,071	4,073	4,070	4,064	4,056
Age 5 thru 9	2,446	2,364	2,292	2,227	2,162	2,094	2,028	1,965	1,902	1,840	1,778	1,717
Age 10 thru 14	2,021	1,916	1,825	1,738	1,656	1,580	1,508	1,438	1,370	1,303	1,237	1,175
Age 15 thru 19	6,064	5,889	5,758	5,632	5,518	5,409	5,298	5,184	5,066	4,942	4,816	4,694
Age 20 thru 24	16,661	16,574	16,502	16,425	16,365	16,340	16322	16296	16,255	16,182	16,092	15,991
Age 25 thru 29	7,825	8,020	8,254	8,531	8,798	9,000	9,186	9,387	9,602	9,817	10,037	10,262
Age 30 thru 34	3,493	3,437	3,386	3,332	3,269	3,212	3,151	3,094	3,038	2,980	2,921	2,862
Age 35 thru 39	2,295	2,190	2,095	2,005	1,926	1,848	1,768	1,691	1,617	1,545	1,474	1,406
Age 40 thru 44	2,161	2,053	1,958	1,875	1,792	1,714	1,641	1,570	1,500	1,430	1,363	1,298
Age 45 thru 49	2,612	2,563	2,523	2,484	2,448	2,417	2,389	2,358	2,325	2,288	2,249	2,210
Age 50 thru 54	2,530	2,518	2,501	2,491	2,488	2,490	2,499	2,504	2,504	2,498	2,489	2,478
Age 55 thru 59	2,351	2,410	2,485	2,562	2,641	2,727	2,808	2,891	2,976	3,058	3,140	3,223
Age 60 thru 64	1,257	1,240	1,226	1,214	1,201	1,186	1,174	1,161	1,149	1,134	1,118	1,102
Age 65 thru 84	4,323	4,321	4,324	4,325	4,332	4,340	4,348	4,352	4,354	4,349	4,340	4,329
Age 85 & Older	1,097	1,128	1,158	1,193	1,229	1,265	1,301	1,336	1,370	1,403	1,436	1,468
Rooks County												
Total Population	5,105	5,052	4,999	4,945	4,893	4,838	4,783	4,731	4,679	4,630	4,581	4,530
Age 0 thru 4	246	238	231	223	216	209	201	194	186	179	171	164
Age 5 thru 9	234	222	210	198	187	176	166	157	147	138	129	121
Age 10 thru 14	283	269	255	241	228	215	203	191	180	169	158	148
Age 15 thru 19	358	352	345	338	332	325	318	310	302	294	286	277
Age 20 thru 24	495	530	567	605	644	683	720	760	802	847	892	938
Age 25 thru 29	164	155	146	138	129	121	114	107	100	93	87	81
Age 30 thru 34	224	216	207	199	190	182	174	166	158	150	143	135
Age 35 thru 39	211	195	181	168	156	144	133	123	114	104	96	88
Age 40 thru 44	398	391	382	373	365	357	349	340	331	322	312	302
Age 45 thru 49	498	511	522	532	540	546	554	561	568	574	579	583
Age 50 thru 54	379	381	382	383	385	387	388	388	387	386	384	382
Age 55 thru 59	358	367	376	384	391	397	402	407	412	417	421	424
Age 60 thru 64	224	217	211	205	199	192	185	179	172	165	159	152
Age 65 thru 84	825	803	782	760	737	714	690	666	643	619	596	572
Age 85 & Older	208	205	202	198	194	190	186	182	177	173	168	163
Rush County												
Total Population	3,260	3,230	3,202	3,175	3,143	3,114	3,085	3,057	3,031	3,003	2,976	2,943
Age 0 thru 4	178	177	176	175	173	171	168	166	163	160	157	153
Age 5 thru 9	128	121	115	109	103	98	92	87	82	77	72	67
Age 10 thru 14	162	154	147	139	132	126	120	113	107	101	95	89
Age 15 thru 19	204	200	196	192	187	181	177	172	168	162	157	151
Age 20 thru 24	296	321	347	376	405	435	465	498	532	568	605	643
Age 25 thru 29	143	142	140	139	138	135	133	130	128	125	122	119
Age 30 thru 34	109	104	99	94	87	82	77	73	68	64	60	56
Age 35 thru 39	128	119	112	106	101	95	89	84	78	73	68	63
Age 40 thru 44	218	212	205	197	190	184	178	172	165	159	152	145
Age 45 thru 49	277	276	273	270	266	262	259	256	252	248	243	237
Age 50 thru 54	302	308	313	318	322	326	329	332	335	337	338	338

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Rush County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	259	266	275	282	288	295	300	304	309	313	317	320
Age 60 thru 64	153	149	145	141	137	132	128	123	119	114	110	105
Age 65 thru 84	573	553	534	515	495	476	457	437	418	399	380	361
Age 85 & Older	130	128	125	122	119	116	113	110	107	103	100	96
Russell County												
Total Population	6,516	6,438	6,356	6,272	6,190	6,110	6,027	5,950	5,879	5,807	5,731	5,655
Age 0 thru 4	331	326	321	315	310	305	299	292	286	279	272	265
Age 5 thru 9	272	258	245	233	221	208	197	186	175	165	155	146
Age 10 thru 14	338	325	310	296	282	270	257	245	233	222	210	199
Age 15 thru 19	349	333	317	302	289	275	263	251	238	226	214	202
Age 20 thru 24	565	607	654	699	741	782	826	873	924	977	1,032	1,088
Age 25 thru 29	209	200	194	189	185	178	170	163	156	149	143	136
Age 30 thru 34	235	225	215	205	194	185	175	166	158	149	141	133
Age 35 thru 39	240	221	201	183	167	152	139	127	116	106	96	87
Age 40 thru 44	490	482	476	468	461	453	443	434	425	415	405	394
Age 45 thru 49	565	566	562	558	554	550	546	541	537	531	524	516
Age 50 thru 54	561	565	569	571	574	578	580	582	583	583	582	580
Age 55 thru 59	506	513	518	520	521	524	526	527	528	529	528	526
Age 60 thru 64	384	382	378	377	375	371	366	361	356	351	345	339
Age 65 thru 84	1,117	1,079	1,039	999	960	923	886	850	814	779	742	707
Age 85 & Older	354	356	357	357	356	356	354	352	350	346	342	337
Saline County												
Total Population	55,092	55,243	55,383	55,528	55,684	55,831	55,978	56,126	56,270	56,438	56,608	56,785
Age 0 thru 4	3,588	3,565	3,544	3,517	3,494	3,474	3,452	3,428	3,402	3,376	3,349	3,320
Age 5 thru 9	3,353	3,298	3,242	3,192	3,138	3,081	3,030	2,977	2,923	2,870	2,817	2,763
Age 10 thru 14	3,561	3,515	3,473	3,424	3,374	3,326	3,279	3,231	3,182	3,134	3,085	3,036
Age 15 thru 19	3,462	3,396	3,338	3,287	3,241	3,190	3,140	3,088	3,033	2,978	2,923	2,869
Age 20 thru 24	4,369	4,472	4,541	4,606	4,677	4,749	4,835	4,922	5,007	5,092	5,175	5,254
Age 25 thru 29	3,661	3,694	3,747	3,800	3,838	3,867	3,884	3,903	3,923	3,947	3,972	3,998
Age 30 thru 34	3,028	2,973	2,909	2,838	2,772	2,706	2,643	2,582	2,522	2,463	2,404	2,346
Age 35 thru 39	3,147	3,063	2,985	2,915	2,854	2,790	2,717	2,644	2,572	2,503	2,435	2,370
Age 40 thru 44	3,863	3,818	3,765	3,714	3,666	3,619	3,575	3,529	3,480	3,431	3,381	3,331
Age 45 thru 49	4,457	4,505	4,546	4,592	4,628	4,669	4,713	4,754	4,793	4,832	4,869	4,904
Age 50 thru 54	4,424	4,542	4,654	4,775	4,910	5,043	5,176	5,310	5,444	5,580	5,717	5,856
Age 55 thru 59	4,006	4,190	4,392	4,582	4,775	4,977	5,181	5,394	5,616	5,848	6,089	6,339
Age 60 thru 64	2,429	2,459	2,489	2,521	2,546	2,569	2,590	2,611	2,633	2,655	2,677	2,699
Age 65 thru 84	6,468	6,449	6,427	6,405	6,380	6,351	6,319	6,285	6,248	6,213	6,175	6,136
Age 85 & Older	1,276	1,304	1,331	1,360	1,391	1,420	1,444	1,468	1,492	1,516	1,540	1,564
Scott County												
Total Population	4,344	4,287	4,228	4,168	4,099	4,032	3,960	3,886	3,814	3,747	3,689	3,629
Age 0 thru 4	288	284	282	279	274	268	262	255	248	242	236	229
Age 5 thru 9	224	213	202	192	183	173	163	153	144	135	127	119
Age 10 thru 14	254	240	226	212	199	187	175	164	153	143	133	124
Age 15 thru 19	328	320	312	303	293	283	273	262	252	242	233	223
Age 20 thru 24	366	385	400	414	427	442	455	467	480	493	507	520

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Scott County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	163	155	147	140	133	126	119	112	105	99	93	87
Age 30 thru 34	211	206	201	196	190	185	178	171	164	158	152	146
Age 35 thru 39	176	162	149	138	126	115	105	96	87	80	72	66
Age 40 thru 44	308	299	288	277	266	255	245	234	223	213	203	194
Age 45 thru 49	396	393	388	382	375	368	361	354	346	338	330	322
Age 50 thru 54	447	457	467	474	478	482	486	490	493	495	498	500
Age 55 thru 59	410	425	445	466	490	510	524	539	554	569	586	603
Age 60 thru 64	250	252	252	252	250	248	246	243	240	236	234	230
Age 65 thru 84	411	389	366	344	322	301	283	265	248	231	216	201
Age 85 & Older	112	107	103	99	93	89	85	81	77	73	69	65
Sedgwick County												
Total Population	480,866	478,581	479,042	482,732	485,791	488,672	491,124	493,527	496,002	498,432	500,903	503,158
Age 0 thru 4	38,416	38,367	38,504	38,863	39,127	39,330	39,464	39,577	39,680	39,779	39,886	39,985
Age 5 thru 9	33,483	33,016	32,779	32,833	32,908	32,985	33,047	33,150	33,239	33,283	33,315	33,319
Age 10 thru 14	34,807	34,574	34,561	34,811	35,032	35,259	35,512	35,820	36,119	36,376	36,619	36,828
Age 15 thru 19	33,367	33,225	33,354	33,782	34,233	34,685	35,097	35,554	36,003	36,414	36,824	37,211
Age 20 thru 24	31,799	31,083	30,571	30,207	29,643	28,975	28,436	27,823	27,385	27,139	26,925	26,756
Age 25 thru 29	35,547	35,806	36,358	37,134	37,841	38,432	38,913	39,481	40,089	40,703	41,247	41,770
Age 30 thru 34	31,188	31,049	31,103	31,322	31,398	31,406	31,385	31,440	31,523	31,599	31,602	31,571
Age 35 thru 39	29,196	28,598	28,236	28,104	27,918	27,674	27,338	27,048	26,772	26,488	26,154	25,809
Age 40 thru 44	35,135	34,894	34,866	35,062	35,142	35,172	35,245	35,354	35,458	35,533	35,523	35,481
Age 45 thru 49	37,510	36,959	36,240	35,754	35,337	34,991	34,596	34,032	33,393	32,701	32,046	31,399
Age 50 thru 54	35,992	36,004	35,789	35,873	36,045	36,280	36,458	36,453	36,340	36,155	35,995	35,830
Age 55 thru 59	29,300	29,679	29,972	30,360	30,771	31,237	31,617	31,873	32,065	32,206	32,382	32,556
Age 60 thru 64	18,731	18,617	18,462	18,430	18,395	18,338	18,210	18,016	17,795	17,554	17,336	17,124
Age 65 thru 84	47,208	47,204	48,220	49,552	50,747	52,015	53,280	54,686	56,178	57,746	59,437	61,044
Age 85 & Older	9,187	9,506	10,027	10,645	11,254	11,893	12,526	13,220	13,963	14,756	15,612	16,475
Seward County												
Total Population	24,769	25,043	25,294	25,532	25,768	26,008	26,239	26,476	26,707	26,943	27,189	27,451
Age 0 thru 4	2,868	2,951	3,030	3,114	3,192	3,265	3,343	3,423	3,503	3,583	3,666	3,751
Age 5 thru 9	2,092	2,101	2,109	2,111	2,113	2,121	2,124	2,127	2,128	2,128	2,127	2,128
Age 10 thru 14	1,916	1,919	1,918	1,913	1,906	1,898	1,893	1,887	1,880	1,872	1,864	1,856
Age 15 thru 19	1,899	1,891	1,883	1,874	1,869	1,862	1,854	1,844	1,832	1,820	1,807	1,796
Age 20 thru 24	1,452	1,420	1,383	1,341	1,300	1,266	1,238	1,209	1,179	1,149	1,117	1,087
Age 25 thru 29	1,716	1,706	1,700	1,693	1,687	1,679	1,667	1,654	1,640	1,627	1,614	1,601
Age 30 thru 34	1,610	1,597	1,578	1,555	1,531	1,509	1,490	1,471	1,450	1,430	1,409	1,388
Age 35 thru 39	1,793	1,799	1,810	1,819	1,824	1,831	1,832	1,833	1,834	1,834	1,835	1,836
Age 40 thru 44	1,796	1,816	1,829	1,846	1,864	1,880	1,895	1,909	1,922	1,935	1,947	1,960
Age 45 thru 49	1,837	1,893	1,945	1,994	2,043	2,096	2,148	2,201	2,255	2,309	2,365	2,421
Age 50 thru 54	1,664	1,738	1,812	1,895	1,978	2,059	2,141	2,226	2,314	2,405	2,501	2,600
Age 55 thru 59	1,245	1,302	1,361	1,416	1,475	1,534	1,591	1,652	1,715	1,780	1,849	1,920
Age 60 thru 64	817	839	862	884	905	925	942	961	980	999	1,020	1,041
Age 65 thru 84	1,620	1,614	1,604	1,594	1,584	1,572	1,558	1,544	1,529	1,514	1,499	1,485
Age 85 & Older	444	457	470	483	497	511	523	535	546	558	569	581

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Shawnee County												
Total Population	176,066	176,721	177,713	178,611	179,412	180,178	180,822	181,520	182,290	183,095	183,981	184,838
Age 0 thru 4	12,542	12,661	12,784	12,878	12,951	13,006	13,039	13,068	13,096	13,126	13,161	13,198
Age 5 thru 9	11,090	11,089	11,125	11,163	11,216	11,269	11,323	11,400	11,476	11,542	11,607	11,665
Age 10 thru 14	11,175	11,152	11,158	11,186	11,211	11,237	11,269	11,323	11,377	11,420	11,462	11,496
Age 15 thru 19	11,942	11,989	12,080	12,200	12,340	12,482	12,614	12,767	12,919	13,060	13,204	13,343
Age 20 thru 24	10,320	10,019	9,762	9,491	9,157	8,803	8,492	8,162	7,891	7,682	7,487	7,310
Age 25 thru 29	12,527	12,848	13,246	13,656	14,030	14,354	14,619	14,929	15,265	15,613	15,940	16,267
Age 30 thru 34	10,157	10,161	10,178	10,154	10,099	10,019	9,947	9,899	9,860	9,818	9,751	9,674
Age 35 thru 39	9,566	9,392	9,240	9,088	8,927	8,745	8,557	8,387	8,223	8,059	7,879	7,698
Age 40 thru 44	12,109	12,070	12,055	12,023	11,960	11,884	11,818	11,769	11,719	11,660	11,573	11,477
Age 45 thru 49	13,309	13,118	12,831	12,541	12,280	12,047	11,806	11,519	11,215	10,901	10,606	10,318
Age 50 thru 54	14,551	14,668	14,636	14,623	14,655	14,715	14,755	14,730	14,667	14,581	14,508	14,435
Age 55 thru 59	13,251	13,645	13,971	14,250	14,564	14,882	15,163	15,399	15,616	15,819	16,046	16,278
Age 60 thru 64	8,363	8,398	8,385	8,356	8,321	8,291	8,234	8,153	8,063	7,965	7,879	7,795
Age 65 thru 84	21,488	21,746	22,343	22,920	23,460	24,033	24,613	25,263	25,960	26,701	27,508	28,291
Age 85 & Older	3,676	3,765	3,919	4,082	4,241	4,411	4,573	4,752	4,943	5,148	5,370	5,593
Sheridan County												
Total Population	2,442	2,413	2,382	2,351	2,316	2,282	2,246	2,217	2,184	2,154	2,124	2,092
Age 0 thru 4	116	112	108	105	101	97	92	88	84	80	76	72
Age 5 thru 9	90	83	77	70	64	58	53	48	44	40	36	32
Age 10 thru 14	124	115	105	96	88	81	74	68	62	56	51	46
Age 15 thru 19	209	206	199	193	186	180	174	168	162	156	149	142
Age 20 thru 24	224	242	265	288	310	334	354	376	400	424	450	475
Age 25 thru 29	40	36	32	30	27	24	22	20	17	16	14	12
Age 30 thru 34	60	55	50	46	41	37	34	31	28	25	22	20
Age 35 thru 39	65	57	50	44	39	34	30	27	23	20	17	15
Age 40 thru 44	207	203	198	192	186	180	174	168	162	156	149	142
Age 45 thru 49	238	237	235	232	228	223	219	214	209	204	198	192
Age 50 thru 54	290	303	315	325	335	344	352	361	368	375	382	387
Age 55 thru 59	178	180	183	185	188	189	189	189	188	187	186	184
Age 60 thru 64	107	103	98	92	87	82	77	73	68	64	59	55
Age 65 thru 84	443	434	423	411	398	384	370	356	342	327	313	298
Age 85 & Older	51	47	44	42	38	35	32	30	27	24	22	20
Sherman County												
Total Population	5,871	5,802	5,730	5,661	5,585	5,503	5,423	5,340	5,269	5,199	5,134	5,064
Age 0 thru 4	310	301	293	284	275	266	258	249	241	233	226	218
Age 5 thru 9	295	284	275	266	257	247	237	228	218	210	202	194
Age 10 thru 14	317	302	288	273	260	247	235	224	213	202	192	182
Age 15 thru 19	511	499	489	480	471	460	449	438	428	418	408	398
Age 20 thru 24	413	409	402	395	387	380	374	368	363	357	351	344
Age 25 thru 29	264	257	252	248	245	240	233	227	221	215	210	205
Age 30 thru 34	226	217	205	196	186	177	169	160	153	145	138	131
Age 35 thru 39	223	209	197	185	174	163	152	142	133	125	117	109
Age 40 thru 44	384	374	363	353	344	333	324	314	305	296	288	279
Age 45 thru 49	517	520	524	527	529	532	533	533	534	535	537	537
Age 50 thru 54	489	498	504	510	514	517	522	527	532	537	542	547

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Sherman County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	403	408	414	419	425	431	435	438	442	446	450	455
Age 60 thru 64	363	367	370	373	372	372	373	373	374	375	376	376
Age 65 thru 84	912	903	892	881	867	853	838	823	809	796	782	768
Age 85 & Older	244	254	262	271	279	285	291	296	303	309	315	321
Smith County												
Total Population	3,816	3,752	3,685	3,621	3,559	3,489	3,420	3,355	3,288	3,228	3,165	3,102
Age 0 thru 4	136	131	127	123	120	116	111	106	101	97	92	87
Age 5 thru 9	137	128	119	110	101	93	86	80	73	67	62	56
Age 10 thru 14	183	171	160	149	139	129	120	111	103	95	87	80
Age 15 thru 19	247	240	231	222	215	207	199	190	182	174	165	157
Age 20 thru 24	289	314	344	380	414	449	481	515	552	591	632	674
Age 25 thru 29	106	102	99	95	91	86	82	78	74	70	66	62
Age 30 thru 34	82	74	67	60	53	48	43	39	34	31	27	24
Age 35 thru 39	133	121	109	100	91	83	75	68	62	56	50	45
Age 40 thru 44	314	310	302	294	287	279	271	263	254	246	236	227
Age 45 thru 49	355	357	356	352	349	344	340	336	331	325	319	311
Age 50 thru 54	323	325	324	325	324	322	320	318	314	310	306	300
Age 55 thru 59	300	305	312	315	317	317	317	317	316	315	313	311
Age 60 thru 64	199	193	187	180	174	167	161	154	147	140	134	127
Age 65 thru 84	808	783	756	730	704	676	648	620	592	565	537	509
Age 85 & Older	204	198	192	186	180	173	166	160	153	146	139	132
Stafford County												
Total Population	4,221	4,162	4,102	4,046	3,985	3,927	3,871	3,814	3,757	3,702	3,648	3,591
Age 0 thru 4	179	171	162	153	143	135	128	121	114	107	100	94
Age 5 thru 9	218	208	200	192	183	174	166	158	150	142	134	127
Age 10 thru 14	243	229	215	202	190	179	168	158	148	138	129	120
Age 15 thru 19	390	391	388	386	384	381	378	375	372	368	363	358
Age 20 thru 24	282	296	309	323	335	347	358	370	382	395	407	419
Age 25 thru 29	130	124	118	113	109	103	98	93	88	83	79	74
Age 30 thru 34	116	108	100	92	86	80	74	68	62	57	53	48
Age 35 thru 39	181	167	154	142	130	120	111	102	94	86	78	72
Age 40 thru 44	364	358	351	344	337	330	324	317	309	302	294	285
Age 45 thru 49	392	393	392	390	385	382	380	377	374	370	365	360
Age 50 thru 54	444	463	483	504	527	549	568	586	605	625	645	664
Age 55 thru 59	277	279	281	282	282	282	282	281	280	279	277	275
Age 60 thru 64	224	221	220	219	217	214	211	208	205	201	198	194
Age 65 thru 84	594	568	544	522	498	475	452	430	408	387	367	347
Age 85 & Older	187	186	185	182	179	176	173	170	166	162	159	154
Stanton County												
Total Population	2,205	2,193	2,179	2,160	2,146	2,127	2,109	2,091	2,067	2,047	2,025	2,010
Age 0 thru 4	156	153	150	146	142	139	136	132	128	124	120	117
Age 5 thru 9	144	139	135	130	125	120	116	111	106	101	97	93
Age 10 thru 14	156	151	147	142	136	130	126	121	116	111	106	102
Age 15 thru 19	157	154	150	146	144	141	138	134	131	127	123	119
Age 20 thru 24	123	121	119	116	114	111	108	106	103	100	97	94

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Stanton County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	109	106	103	100	96	92	89	86	82	79	76	73
Age 30 thru 34	116	113	109	105	102	98	94	90	86	83	79	76
Age 35 thru 39	130	125	119	115	110	105	100	96	91	87	82	78
Age 40 thru 44	197	198	197	198	199	198	198	198	197	195	194	193
Age 45 thru 49	181	182	184	183	183	183	183	182	181	180	179	178
Age 50 thru 54	203	216	229	242	258	271	284	299	313	329	345	362
Age 55 thru 59	88	87	87	85	83	81	79	78	76	74	72	71
Age 60 thru 64	84	82	81	79	79	78	77	75	73	72	70	68
Age 65 thru 84	305	308	309	310	310	312	312	312	311	310	309	308
Age 85 & Older	56	58	60	63	65	68	69	71	73	75	76	78
Stevens County												
Total Population	5,511	5,528	5,542	5,553	5,558	5,570	5,576	5,591	5,610	5,628	5,634	5,652
Age 0 thru 4	422	420	414	409	404	399	395	391	387	382	377	372
Age 5 thru 9	414	409	403	397	391	385	379	374	368	362	355	349
Age 10 thru 14	422	416	413	410	405	400	394	389	384	378	372	367
Age 15 thru 19	439	436	428	421	415	412	408	403	399	394	388	382
Age 20 thru 24	430	446	470	492	511	525	543	563	584	605	626	649
Age 25 thru 29	240	231	223	216	211	205	198	191	185	178	172	166
Age 30 thru 34	359	363	367	369	369	369	369	369	370	371	371	371
Age 35 thru 39	222	208	194	183	173	163	154	145	136	128	120	112
Age 40 thru 44	450	450	448	444	440	439	437	436	434	432	428	425
Age 45 thru 49	516	537	555	574	592	610	628	647	668	689	709	730
Age 50 thru 54	362	371	382	392	401	411	419	429	438	448	457	467
Age 55 thru 59	294	298	302	308	313	319	323	328	332	337	340	345
Age 60 thru 64	273	281	290	295	301	309	315	321	328	336	342	349
Age 65 thru 84	521	511	498	486	473	462	450	439	429	418	406	395
Age 85 & Older	147	151	155	157	159	162	164	166	168	170	171	173
Sunny County												
Total Population	24,009	23,633	23,447	23,447	23,397	23,338	23,267	23,183	23,088	22,969	22,862	22,737
Age 0 thru 4	1,385	1,346	1,317	1,295	1,270	1,246	1,220	1,193	1,166	1,137	1,109	1,081
Age 5 thru 9	1,372	1,318	1,278	1,250	1,224	1,197	1,171	1,146	1,121	1,093	1,065	1,036
Age 10 thru 14	1,584	1,520	1,472	1,440	1,406	1,375	1,345	1,316	1,287	1,254	1,222	1,188
Age 15 thru 19	1,961	1,935	1,924	1,931	1,940	1,945	1,952	1,961	1,967	1,968	1,968	1,965
Age 20 thru 24	1,770	1,793	1,837	1,899	1,944	1,977	2,009	2,036	2,077	2,132	2,192	2,258
Age 25 thru 29	1,216	1,212	1,223	1,243	1,259	1,265	1,269	1,276	1,283	1,289	1,293	1,294
Age 30 thru 34	918	879	848	825	800	773	746	721	697	673	648	623
Age 35 thru 39	1,096	1,038	993	957	919	884	849	815	782	749	715	682
Age 40 thru 44	1,671	1,622	1,584	1,564	1,537	1,508	1,484	1,461	1,436	1,408	1,376	1,344
Age 45 thru 49	2,314	2,285	2,249	2,223	2,199	2,178	2,157	2,126	2,088	2,045	2,003	1,960
Age 50 thru 54	2,166	2,172	2,170	2,192	2,216	2,240	2,256	2,263	2,261	2,253	2,247	2,239
Age 55 thru 59	1,673	1,684	1,693	1,708	1,726	1,746	1,758	1,764	1,765	1,760	1,758	1,754
Age 60 thru 64	1,078	1,051	1,025	1,008	988	969	947	922	895	866	839	813
Age 65 thru 84	3,084	3,045	3,076	3,125	3,154	3,191	3,231	3,277	3,324	3,369	3,418	3,457
Age 85 & Older	721	733	758	787	815	844	873	906	939	973	1,009	1,043

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Thomas County												
Total Population	7,369	7,294	7,215	7,141	7,068	6,995	6,914	6,834	6,751	6,670	6,598	6,528
Age 0 thru 4	390	375	363	350	338	326	313	300	288	275	263	251
Age 5 thru 9	427	412	395	380	365	351	336	321	307	293	279	266
Age 10 thru 14	459	444	429	413	396	380	364	349	334	319	305	291
Age 15 thru 19	684	667	649	633	618	602	584	566	547	529	511	493
Age 20 thru 24	475	457	435	416	399	382	367	352	337	321	306	291
Age 25 thru 29	471	474	477	479	477	477	474	471	467	464	460	456
Age 30 thru 34	307	299	290	279	270	261	251	241	231	221	212	203
Age 35 thru 39	271	250	232	215	199	184	170	156	144	132	121	111
Age 40 thru 44	487	473	456	439	424	407	393	379	364	349	335	321
Age 45 thru 49	576	567	558	551	543	535	527	517	506	495	484	473
Age 50 thru 54	858	914	970	1,025	1,082	1,141	1,199	1,260	1,322	1,387	1,455	1,525
Age 55 thru 59	433	439	448	456	464	470	474	478	481	484	487	490
Age 60 thru 64	341	341	341	342	340	338	336	334	331	327	324	320
Age 65 thru 84	963	952	938	925	911	895	878	861	842	823	804	785
Age 85 & Older	227	230	234	238	242	246	248	249	250	251	252	252
Trego County												
Total Population	2,839	2,792	2,742	2,697	2,649	2,600	2,551	2,507	2,465	2,422	2,375	2,330
Age 0 thru 4	128	124	121	116	113	109	105	100	96	92	88	84
Age 5 thru 9	121	115	110	104	99	94	88	83	78	74	69	65
Age 10 thru 14	144	137	129	122	115	108	102	96	90	85	79	74
Age 15 thru 19	184	174	164	154	144	136	128	121	113	106	99	92
Age 20 thru 24	196	208	221	238	255	266	278	291	305	320	334	349
Age 25 thru 29	95	92	88	85	81	77	73	70	67	63	60	57
Age 30 thru 34	90	86	82	79	75	71	66	62	59	55	52	49
Age 35 thru 39	99	90	82	75	68	63	58	53	48	43	39	35
Age 40 thru 44	158	147	136	127	117	108	101	94	87	80	74	68
Age 45 thru 49	357	365	373	380	387	393	399	404	409	413	416	418
Age 50 thru 54	276	285	291	299	305	311	317	322	327	332	336	339
Age 55 thru 59	167	167	166	163	160	157	154	151	149	146	142	138
Age 60 thru 64	143	139	135	132	128	124	119	115	111	107	102	98
Age 65 thru 84	505	487	468	447	426	407	389	372	355	337	319	302
Age 85 & Older	176	176	176	176	176	176	174	173	171	169	166	162
Wabaunsee County												
Total Population	6,985	6,982	6,982	6,966	6,942	6,910	6,868	6,817	6,759	6,701	6,638	6,565
Age 0 thru 4	332	323	313	302	290	280	269	258	248	237	226	215
Age 5 thru 9	394	389	386	384	383	380	375	371	366	361	355	349
Age 10 thru 14	395	382	371	361	352	342	332	323	313	303	292	281
Age 15 thru 19	499	496	490	482	476	470	467	463	458	452	445	437
Age 20 thru 24	590	619	653	686	715	735	755	774	797	827	859	892
Age 25 thru 29	360	366	377	388	397	405	408	412	416	419	422	423
Age 30 thru 34	317	314	310	306	303	298	291	285	279	273	266	258
Age 35 thru 39	303	288	275	261	247	236	224	212	200	189	177	166
Age 40 thru 44	559	556	551	545	536	526	519	513	505	496	485	473
Age 45 thru 49	683	686	683	674	667	662	656	646	633	620	606	592
Age 50 thru 54	625	631	633	633	635	634	633	629	623	614	606	597

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Wabaunsee County (cont'd)												
Age 55 thru 59	514	519	519	522	525	529	528	524	519	512	506	499
Age 60 thru 64	372	371	366	359	352	346	339	330	320	310	301	291
Age 65 thru 84	860	855	860	861	856	853	852	851	850	849	847	842
Age 85 & Older	182	187	195	202	208	214	220	226	232	239	245	250
Wallace County												
Total Population	1,478	1,456	1,434	1,409	1,383	1,354	1,331	1,306	1,283	1,261	1,237	1,218
Age 0 thru 4	85	83	80	79	76	73	70	68	65	62	59	56
Age 5 thru 9	58	52	48	43	38	34	31	27	24	22	19	17
Age 10 thru 14	123	119	116	112	108	104	100	95	91	87	82	78
Age 15 thru 19	93	87	80	74	68	64	59	54	50	46	42	38
Age 20 thru 24	167	183	199	213	227	240	254	269	284	300	317	333
Age 25 thru 29	44	41	39	38	36	34	32	30	28	26	24	23
Age 30 thru 34	39	36	34	31	29	26	24	22	20	18	16	15
Age 35 thru 39	37	32	28	24	21	18	16	14	12	10	9	8
Age 40 thru 44	88	83	77	72	68	63	59	55	51	47	43	40
Age 45 thru 49	143	143	141	138	135	133	130	127	124	121	117	113
Age 50 thru 54	156	160	162	165	166	166	167	168	168	168	167	166
Age 55 thru 59	115	118	121	124	126	127	128	129	130	130	130	130
Age 60 thru 64	58	55	53	50	48	45	43	40	38	35	33	31
Age 65 thru 84	217	210	202	193	184	175	167	159	150	142	134	126
Age 85 & Older	55	54	54	53	53	52	51	49	48	47	45	44
Washington County												
Total Population	5,672	5,596	5,521	5,448	5,372	5,297	5,221	5,149	5,077	5,004	4,932	4,860
Age 0 thru 4	247	237	227	217	208	199	191	183	175	167	159	152
Age 5 thru 9	300	291	283	275	268	260	252	244	236	228	220	213
Age 10 thru 14	305	291	277	263	249	237	225	213	203	192	182	172
Age 15 thru 19	419	417	413	409	405	401	396	392	387	382	376	371
Age 20 thru 24	286	292	301	311	317	324	329	336	342	348	355	361
Age 25 thru 29	188	181	174	167	160	153	147	141	135	129	124	118
Age 30 thru 34	222	214	207	200	194	187	180	172	166	159	153	147
Age 35 thru 39	234	219	205	191	179	168	158	148	138	129	120	112
Age 40 thru 44	430	424	417	411	402	394	388	381	374	366	359	351
Age 45 thru 49	534	545	554	561	569	577	585	593	600	608	615	621
Age 50 thru 54	474	480	487	493	501	507	513	519	524	529	533	537
Age 55 thru 59	419	424	429	435	440	445	448	451	454	457	460	462
Age 60 thru 64	369	373	375	377	377	377	376	376	376	376	375	374
Age 65 thru 84	971	940	909	880	851	822	793	766	738	711	684	658
Age 85 & Older	274	268	263	258	252	246	240	234	229	223	217	211
Wichita County												
Total Population	2,148	2,107	2,069	2,029	1,992	1,956	1,918	1,875	1,839	1,797	1,761	1,725
Age 0 thru 4	118	111	105	99	94	88	83	78	73	68	64	60
Age 5 thru 9	164	159	155	150	145	141	136	131	127	122	117	113
Age 10 thru 14	151	147	143	138	133	127	122	117	113	108	103	99
Age 15 thru 19	120	114	109	103	98	94	89	84	80	75	71	67
Age 20 thru 24	129	128	127	126	126	127	126	124	123	121	119	118

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Wichita County (cont'd)												
Age 25 thru 29	84	79	74	70	65	61	58	54	50	47	44	41
Age 30 thru 34	105	100	95	90	85	81	76	72	68	64	61	57
Age 35 thru 39	123	119	116	112	108	105	101	96	92	89	85	81
Age 40 thru 44	125	119	112	106	100	95	90	85	80	76	71	67
Age 45 thru 49	192	191	190	188	187	185	184	181	179	176	174	171
Age 50 thru 54	228	237	248	258	268	279	288	297	307	316	326	336
Age 55 thru 59	141	143	144	145	146	145	145	145	145	144	144	144
Age 60 thru 64	114	113	112	112	112	112	111	110	109	107	106	104
Age 65 thru 84	286	281	274	268	262	255	249	242	235	228	221	214
Age 85 & Older	68	66	65	64	63	61	60	59	58	56	55	53
Wilson County												
Total Population	9,695	9,649	9,595	9,543	9,486	9,425	9,368	9,308	9,255	9,193	9,147	9,102
Age 0 thru 4	464	452	440	426	413	401	390	378	367	355	344	334
Age 5 thru 9	482	463	446	430	416	400	385	370	356	341	327	314
Age 10 thru 14	589	569	548	528	507	486	468	450	433	415	399	383
Age 15 thru 19	707	703	699	693	687	680	673	666	659	651	643	636
Age 20 thru 24	671	689	700	712	723	738	753	767	781	795	809	822
Age 25 thru 29	631	647	664	681	697	713	725	737	750	763	777	792
Age 30 thru 34	487	484	480	474	467	460	452	445	438	431	425	418
Age 35 thru 39	395	373	351	333	315	297	281	265	250	235	221	209
Age 40 thru 44	633	618	601	583	564	547	533	518	503	487	473	458
Age 45 thru 49	798	802	806	810	814	816	818	819	820	820	821	821
Age 50 thru 54	904	935	965	998	1,032	1,064	1,096	1,129	1,162	1,195	1,230	1,265
Age 55 thru 59	644	651	661	669	675	678	684	689	695	699	704	709
Age 60 thru 64	545	548	550	552	555	559	559	559	559	559	560	560
Age 65 thru 84	1,376	1,343	1,311	1,279	1,246	1,211	1,177	1,143	1,110	1,077	1,045	1,014
Age 85 & Older	369	372	373	375	375	375	374	373	372	370	369	367
Woodson County												
Total Population	3,390	3,347	3,306	3,264	3,220	3,177	3,139	3,096	3,059	3,021	2,987	2,948
Age 0 thru 4	160	156	153	151	147	143	139	134	130	126	121	117
Age 5 thru 9	162	160	157	154	151	147	143	139	135	131	127	122
Age 10 thru 14	106	95	85	76	68	61	55	50	44	39	35	31
Age 15 thru 19	232	227	219	211	202	194	187	180	173	166	158	151
Age 20 thru 24	247	252	253	251	249	248	249	250	250	249	248	245
Age 25 thru 29	297	317	342	375	407	438	463	488	517	547	580	614
Age 30 thru 34	129	124	119	113	107	102	97	92	87	82	78	73
Age 35 thru 39	125	115	106	97	89	81	75	68	63	57	52	47
Age 40 thru 44	216	208	201	193	186	178	171	164	157	150	143	135
Age 45 thru 49	270	264	257	249	242	235	229	222	215	208	201	193
Age 50 thru 54	342	353	365	377	385	395	404	413	421	429	436	442
Age 55 thru 59	219	218	218	215	212	209	207	203	200	196	192	188
Age 60 thru 64	214	216	218	219	221	221	221	220	219	218	217	215
Age 65 thru 84	516	490	464	437	411	386	364	342	321	300	281	261
Age 85 & Older	155	152	149	146	143	139	135	131	127	123	118	114

Appendix L (cont'd)

Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2010 through 2021

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>
Wyandotte County												
Total Population	152,099	150,880	149,713	148,647	147,630	146,606	145,475	144,464	143,631	142,918	142,344	141,796
Age 0 thru 4	13,549	13,608	13,649	13,677	13,692	13,691	13,676	13,665	13,666	13,682	13,718	13,765
Age 5 thru 9	10,816	10,644	10,508	10,411	10,339	10,271	10,187	10,126	10,074	10,026	9,989	9,948
Age 10 thru 14	11,107	10,989	10,874	10,772	10,683	10,608	10,553	10,522	10,499	10,477	10,463	10,441
Age 15 thru 19	10,280	10,106	9,999	9,932	9,895	9,856	9,792	9,748	9,710	9,677	9,656	9,633
Age 20 thru 24	9,857	9,503	9,138	8,753	8,347	7,933	7,562	7,200	6,901	6,658	6,444	6,259
Age 25 thru 29	11,458	11,451	11,475	11,521	11,558	11,561	11,543	11,555	11,592	11,640	11,680	11,724
Age 30 thru 34	11,479	11,563	11,648	11,741	11,802	11,844	11,873	11,942	12,043	12,155	12,258	12,362
Age 35 thru 39	9,132	8,933	8,751	8,602	8,463	8,313	8,140	7,988	7,855	7,729	7,599	7,475
Age 40 thru 44	11,393	11,397	11,405	11,417	11,416	11,401	11,398	11,419	11,459	11,504	11,539	11,575
Age 45 thru 49	11,643	11,534	11,314	11,091	10,903	10,743	10,567	10,354	10,133	9,903	9,689	9,479
Age 50 thru 54	10,715	10,683	10,541	10,428	10,339	10,273	10,197	10,082	9,948	9,803	9,670	9,537
Age 55 thru 59	9,112	9,194	9,224	9,233	9,264	9,302	9,303	9,278	9,244	9,202	9,175	9,148
Age 60 thru 64	5,851	5,766	5,626	5,482	5,350	5,221	5,089	4,946	4,802	4,658	4,524	4,393
Age 65 thru 84	12,921	12,670	12,623	12,555	12,464	12,390	12,316	12,270	12,239	12,232	12,252	12,255
Age 85 & Older	2,786	2,839	2,938	3,032	3,115	3,199	3,279	3,369	3,466	3,572	3,688	3,802

Source: *Moody's Economy.com*